



Comparison of Post-Operative Clinical Outcome of Patients with Posterior Instrumentation After Spinal Cord Injury in Thoracic, Thoracolumbar, and Lumbar Region at Haji Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan from 2016 to 2018

Budi Achmad M. Siregar^{1*}, Pranajaya Dharma Kadar²,
Aga Shahri Putera Ketaren³

¹Resident of Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara / Haji Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan, Indonesia

²Consultant Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Spine Division, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara / Haji Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan, Indonesia

³Consultant Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Upper Extremity Division, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara / Haji Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan, Indonesia

Abstract : Introduction : Spinal cord injury is a damaging situation related to severe disability and death after trauma. And the term spinal cord injury refers to damage of the spinal cord resulting from trauma. Spinal injuries treatment is still in debate for some cases, whether using conservative or surgical methods.

Material and Methods : The study was a retrospective, unpaired observational analytic study with a cross-sectional approach. It was conducted at Haji Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan from January 2016 to December 2018. Clinical outcome of patients were calculated using SF 36, ODI, and VAS. Data would be tested using the Saphiro-Wilk test. We were using the significance level of 1% (0.01) and the relative significance level of 10% (0.1).

Results : Clinical outcomes of patients with spinal cord injuries before posterior instrumentation rated using ODI and VAS were 75.93 ± 6.75 and 4.75 ± 0.98 respectively. Meanwhile, the scores were 10.75 ± 3.29 (ODI) and 1.77 ± 0.72 (VAS) post-operatively. Using SF-36, the scores were 72.9 ± 16.5 (PF); 58 ± 23.1 (PH); 63.1 ± 21.8 (EP); 62.5 ± 12 (ENE); 84.1 ± 14.8 (EMO); 79.6 ± 23.5 (SF); 62 ± 125.3 (PAIN); 49.5 ± 3.4 (GH); and 72 ± 7.8 (HC) pre-operatively. After posterior instrumentation, the scores were 94.5 ± 6.7 (PF); 100 ± 0 (PH); 79.9 ± 32.9 (EP); 88.6 ± 13.7 (ENE); 92.3 ± 1.7 (EMO); 100 ± 0 (SF); 99.9 ± 10.4 (PAIN); 89.3 ± 14.9 (GH); and 92.4 ± 9.7 (HC). **Discussion :** In this study, patients with thoracic, thoracolumbar and lumbar injuries who underwent surgery experienced significant improvements in quality of life. This is indicated by the significant difference in ODI, VAS, and SF-36 scores before and after surgery. The results of this study were consistent with other studies conducted by Hao et al, which showed that there was an improvement in the quality of life of patients after surgery. **Conclusion :** There are significant improvements in patient's quality of life after posterior instrumentation of the spinal cord injury in thoracic, thoracolumbar, and lumbar regions based on the clinical outcomes.

Keywords : *clinical outcome; posterior instrumentation; spinal cord injury; ODI; SF-36; VAS.*