



International Journal of PharmTech Research CODEN (USA): IJPRIF, ISSN: 0974-4304, ISSN(Online): 2455-9563 Vol.9, No.12, pp 792-798, 2016

Some Techniques Used to Minimize Fuel Consumption and Wheel Slip in Crop Production Growth on Clay Loam Soil

Tayel, M. Y.¹, M. Ismaiel², S. M. Shaaban¹ and H. A. Mansour¹*

 ¹Water Relations and Field Irrigation Dept., Agricultural Division, National Research Centre (NRC), 33 Elbehouth St., El-Dokki, Giza, Cairo, Egypt.
²Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute (SWERI), Agricutlural Research Center, 9 Cairo University St., Giza, Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract : Field expriment was conducted on clay loam soil at El-Dokki, Giza Governorate as a cooperative work between the National Research Centre and the Agricutlural Research Center to study the effects of soil water content at plowing $\theta 1$, $\theta 2$ and $\theta 3$ (8.1, 17.1; 26.4 % w/w), plowing depth D1, D2 and D3 (10, 15; 20 cm) and plowing speed S1 and S2 (1.99; 10.33 km/h), respectively on minimizing both fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip. It was found that:Increasing (D) and (θ)had positive effects on fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip, whearas increasing (S) has positive effect on the 1-set and negative one on the 2nd. The maximum values and the minimum one of fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip were achieved in the following interactions:(D3xS2x $\theta 3$, D1xS2x $\theta 1$)and (D3xS1x $\theta 3$ and D1xS1x $\theta 2$), respectively. The main effect of :(D, S; θ) and their interaction have significant effects on fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip.

Introduction:

Performance data from various tractors and implements are essential for both their manufacture and management. Proper selection of trctors and implements for a particular farm conditions is a must to minimize energy consumption used in crop production Al-Suhaibani¹. It becomes more critical as energy costs escalate since the field lools constitute a major portion of the total cost of cropproduction system. Global researches indicated that the draft requirement of chisel plow was about half of that of the moldboard one equal in width and operation depth Kohnke². According to Shafei ³ extensive activities for replacing moldboard plow by chsel one in dry farming have done allover the world.It is worthy to mention that both water and fossil fuel are two limited and precious nutral resources in many countries including Egypt. Agriculture consumes energy in different aspects i.e., soil tilth, irrigation, fertilizer processing, crop harvesting and transportation ex. Onley water pumping can use as 13 % of the energy used in agriculture (Pimental and Giampietro⁴, and Smajestria et al., ⁵).

The following techniques have been used to decrease fuel consumption and increase it's use efficiency 1-Many researchers showed that increasing of overall energy efficiency and subsequently decreasing fuel consumptioncan be achieved through optimal splitting the gross tractor weight between the front and rear axles and using correct matching of tractor, the company tools and agricultural machinery (Mobarak⁶, Nasr⁷, Iowa State University⁸, Srivastava⁹). This is important to to transfer a much energy power as possible to the drabor . Too little weight or ballast results in excess drive wheel slip. Conversely, carry much ballast or weights on a tractor dramatically lowers wheel slip but results in greater rolling resistance as the tractor sinks too far into the

soil, causing wheelsto be constantly climbing out of a deep rut. Since only wheels on powered axles supply traction. It is also important to distribute ballast properly between front and rear axles. Optinal weight split between axles is affected by tractor style and whether the attached implements are pulled or mounted -2-Seedbed preparation should be performed at the right conditions concerning soil moisture content, plowing speed and plowing depth (Korayem and Ismail ¹⁰, Tayel et al., ¹¹, Bayoume ¹²).

Nasr et al., ¹³ reported that increasing plowing deph increased both cohesion and adhesion forces of soil. They added that this increased rolling resistance of tractor and decreased its tractive efficiency. Hrrigan and Roosenberg ¹⁴ stated that plow draft can vary greatly in the same soil group depending on it's conditions. Lee et al., ¹⁵ Said that the power tillers depends on the purpoe of the operations, size of tracors, power tillers and field shope. Ahmed ¹⁶ found that the draft force and tillage energy required using chisel plow are linear function with both plowing speed and depth. Adewoyin and Ajav ¹⁷ mentioned that theincrease both plowing speed and depth increased tractor fuel consumption. According the same authers plowing depth was the most impactful factor in tractor consumption during fuel plowing process. According to Iowa State University ⁸ to maximize transfer of power from drive axles to the drawbar the optimum amounts of wheelslip depends on the soil surface and should be in ⁶following ranges: a) 6-13% on firm untilled soil., b)More slip 8-16% on a tilled surface, c) With slightly more yet on a non-cohesive sandy soil and d) 4-8% on concret. Checking wheel slip is a must to be determined to know if tractor is optimally applying fuel and horsepower to the drawbar. Al-Ani and Al-Ani ¹⁸ studied the relationship between tractor practical speed and different soil moisture content on plowing soil layer.

The present experiment was conducted to study the influence of seedbed preparation conditions concerning soil moisture content at plowing, plowing speed and plowing depth on fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip.

Materials and Methods

Field expremints was conducted at the experimental station of the Agicultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculure and Land Reclamation, El-Dokki, Giza, during the year 2016 as a cooperative work between the National Research Centre and Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute. The objectives of the present research was to studying the effect of seedbed preparation conditions i.e. soil moisture content at plowing Θ 1, Θ 2 and Θ 3 (8.1, 17.1; 26.4 % w/w), plowing depth D1, D2 and D3 (10, 15; 20 cm) and plowing speed S1 and S2 (1.99; 10.33 km/h) on minimizing both fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip.

Fuel consumption:

Fuel tank was completely filled at the beginning of plowing each plot under the specified working conditions and refilled at its end using 500 ml measuring cylinder.tractor wheel slip% was measured after Srivstava (1990) using the following equation:

Wheel slip % = [(L1-L2)/L1] 100

Where: L1 = advance distance per 10 wheel revolution with no pull (m), L2 = advance distance per 10 wheel revolution with pull (m).

The experiment layout was in random plots split twice. Three replicates were used. Data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis after Snedecor and Cochran¹⁹.

Results and Discussions:

The main effect of Θ, D and S:

Table (1) and all figures (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6) indicates the main effects of plowing depth (D), soil moisture (Θ) at plowig and plowing speed (S) on fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip. The increases in fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip were: (91.72; 151.67% and (30.11; 97.22%), respectively at D2=15 cm and D3=20cm, relative to D1 =10 cm.

Soil Moisture	Tractor	plowing	Fuel	Wheel
content at plowing	speed	depth	consumption	slippage
w/w%	(km/h)	(cm)	(L.fed ⁻¹)	(%)
Θ1	speed 1	10	6.8	4.8
8.11	1.93	15	8.06	8
		20	12.73	10.9
	speed 2	10	5.73	4.18
	10.33	15	13.66	5.36
		20	17.4	8.35
Θ2	speed 1	10	8.6	3.6
17.06	1.93	15	18.33	4.74
		20	19.27	11.72
	speed 2	10	7.13	6.5
	10.33	15	16.2	7.5
		20	22.53	13.3
Θ3	speed 1	10	5.86	11.72
26.37	1.93	15	10.47	14.52
		20	13.73	15.14
	speed 2	10	7.26	5.86
	10.33	15	12.56	7.55
		20	18.4	12.88
		10	6.89	6.11
		15	13.21	7.95
Mean of tillage depth (cm)		20	17.34	12.05
		1.93	11.53	9.46
Mean of tractor speed (Km/h)		10.33	13.42	7.94
		Θ1=8.11	10.73	6.93
		Θ2 =17.06	15.34	7.89
Mean of tillage at moisture content (w/w %)		Θ3 =26.37	11.38	11.28
LSD _{0.05} of means			1.12	2.01
LSD _{0.05} of interactions			0.08	0.05

Table (1): Effect of soil moisture, tractor speed and plowing depth on fuel consumption andwheel slippage in clay loam soil



Fig. (1) Effect of soil tillage moisture, soil tillage depth, and tractor tillage speed on fuel consumption.



Fig. (2) Effect of soil tillage moisture, soil tillage depth, and tractor tillage speed on wheel slippage .



Soil depth (cm)

Fig. (3) Relationship between soil depth and fuel consumption



Soil moisture content (w/w)

Fig. (4) Relationship between Soil moisture content and fuel consumption



1- Relationship between soil tillage charactristics and wheel slippage percent:

Fig. (5) Relationship between soil depth and wheel slippage percent



Fig. (6) Relationship between Soil moisture content and wheel slippage percent

The interaction of DxSxΘ:

Regarding the effect of the interaction $DxSx\Theta$ on fuel consumption and wheel slip, the obtained data can be seen in Table (1) and Figs. (7 and 8). It is obvious that the interaction: $DxSx\Theta$ have pronounced effect on both fuel consumption and wheel slip. The maximum and the minimum values of fuel consumption and wheel slip were achieved in the interactions: $(D3xS2x\Theta2)$; $(D1xS2x\Theta1)$ and $(D3xS1x\Theta3$, $D1xS1x\Theta2)$, respectively. The main effects of D, S and Θ and their interactions on both fuel consumption and wheel slip were significant at the 5% level.

Data obtained could be due to one or more of the following reasons: 1- the weight of the above soil layers on the lower ones (overburden pressure) is vertically prossing downward on chizel increased with depth., 2- increasing plowing depth increased cohesion and adhesion forces, 3- increasing plowing depth increased soil pulverization, 4- increasing plowing speed increased soil pulverization, 5- soil organic mtter that acts as a lubricant material relieving friction among sol particles decreases with depth, and 6-weight of the tools used was not properly distributed between the front and rear axles.

Conclusion:

There is an increased need to study the beneficial effects and the deterimental ones of some seedbed preparation conditions concerning soil moisture content at plowing (Θ), plowing depth (D) and plowing speed (S) on fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip. Results of the study showed that:

- 1. Increasing D and Θ in the ranges: 10-20 cm, 8.1-26.4 (w/w %) increased both fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip.
- 2. Increasing (S) from 1.39 10.33 km/h has positive effect on fuel consumption and negative one on tractor wheel slip.
- The maximum fuel consumption (22.53) and the minimum one (5.73 l/fed) were achieved in the following interactions: D3xS2x Θ2 (20 cm x 10.33 km/h x 17.1 w/w %) and D1xS2xΘ1 (10 cm x 10.33 km/h x 8.1 w/w %, respectively.
- 4. The maximum tractor wheel slip (15.14%) and the minimum one (3.6%) were found in the following interactions: $D3xS1x\Theta3$ and $D1xS1x\Theta2$, respectively.
- 5. Both the main effect of : D, S, and Θ and their interaction have significant effects on fuel consumption and tractor wheel slip at the 5% level.

References:

- 1. Al-Suhaibani, S.A., 1992. Use efficiency of farm machinery in Saudi Arabia. ASAE Paper No. 92-1044, ASAE, St. Joseph, Michigan, USA.
- 2. Kohnke, H.(1968).Soil Physics, Tata Megraw-Hill-Pub-Conf.
- 3. A. Shafei , 1995. Tillage Implements, (fifth ed.)University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran
- 4. Pimental, D. and Giampietro, M. (1994).Food.Land, Pupulation and the U.S. Economy.Carring Capacity network.http://www.diff.com. page 55.htm.
- 5. Smajestria, A.C., Castro, B.F. and Clark, G.A. (2002). Energy requirments for drip irrigation in North Florida Uiversity, Univ. of Folrida, IFAS Extension Bul-289.
- 6. Mobarak, M.M. (1985): Agricultural tractors Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University(Arabic).
- 7. Nasr, G.M. (2008). Agicultural Machinization, faculty of Agriculture, Cairo Univ. (Arabic).
- 8. Iowa State Unversity (2010). Ballasting tractor for fuel efficiency, University Extension.
- 9. Srivastava, A.C.(1990). Elements of farm machinery, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi, pp. 89-117.
- 10. Korayem, A. and Ismail, N.A. (1991).Effect of moisture content on soil disturbance and draft of flat chisel. Alex. J. Agriculure Research. 19(1):1-12.
- 11. Tayel, M.Y., Shaaban, S.M., Mansour, H.A. (2015). Effect of plowing conditions on the tractor wheel slippage and fuel consumption in sandy soil. International Journal of ChemTech Research, Vol.8, No.12 pp 151-159.
- 12. Bayoume, D. S. I. (2016). Design of a combined machine for seedbed preparation for onion. MSc. Thesis, Agri Eng. Dept., Faculty of Agric. Cairo Univesity.
- 13. Nasr, G.E, Tayel, M. Y., Abdelhay, Y.B., Sabreen, Kh. P., and Dina, S. (2016). Technical evaluation of a combined machine for seedbed preparation. International Journal of ChemTech Research, Vol.9, No.5. pp 193-199.
- 14. Hrrigan, T. M. and Roosenberg, R. (2002).Estimating tillage draft tech. guide 209-210.Agric. Eng. Michigan State Univ., USA.
- 15. Lee, K.S., Pork, S.H., Pork, W.Y. and Lee, C.S.(2003). Strip tillage Characteristics of rotary tillage blades for use in dry land direct rice seeder. Soil and Tillage Research. 71(1):25-32.
- 16. Ahmed, Sh. A. H. (2011).Effect of tractor forward speed on field performance of tillage implements.M.Sc., University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- 17. Adewoyin, A. O., and E. A. Ajav. 2013. Fuel consumption of some tractor models for ploughing operations in the sandy-loam soil of Nigeria at various speeds and ploughing depths. AgricEngInt: CIGR Journal Vol. 15(3):67-74.

- 18. A-Ani, A. N. and Al-Ani, F.S. (2010). The relationship between tractor practical speed and soil moisture content on plowing, soil layer. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Scince, 41(3):124-129 (Arabic).
- 19. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods || 7th Edin. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
