



Effect of water regime and varietal differences on yield, its components and chemical constituent of Sunflower plant

Amal G. Ahmed*, M.S.Hassanein and Nabila, M. Zaki

Field Crops Research Department, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth St.,(former El- Tahrir St.)Dokki, Giza, Egypt. Postal Code: 12622.

Abstract : This investigation was carried in order to evaluate the effect of water regime on growth characters, yield, yield components and some chemical constituent of two sunflower cultivars. The two field experiments were conducted in Wadi El-Rayyan, El-Fayoum Governorate, Egypt, in the two summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 seasons, under newly reclaimed sandy soil. Water regime treatments i.e.(Normal irrigation, omitting the 3rd irrigation, omitting the 4th irrigation and omitting the 5th irrigation) were added in the main plots, while the two sunflower cultivars Pioneer-6480 and Haisun-354 were allocated in the sub plots. The results could be summarized as follows.

1-It is clear from data that water regime had a significant effect on growth characters at 60 and 75 days from sowing except LA (cm²), where normal irrigation gave the highest values followed by omitting the 4th irrigation. Analysis of variance showed significant difference among water regime, where normal irrigation outweigh the other treatments followed by omitting the 4th irrigation.

2-Pioneer-6480 cultivar significantly surpassed Haisun-354 cultivar in growth characters at 60 and 75 days after sowing in both seasons. Also results show that variety factor had a significant effect on yield and yield components.

3-Regarding of the interaction between sunflower cultivars and water regime results showed that there were significant differences in growth characters under study at 60 and 75 days from sowing except plant height and LA (cm²) at 60 and 75 days from sowing and SLA (cm² /g) at 75 days from sowing. At the same time the value of interaction in yield and its components did not reach to the significant level except shelling percentage.

Keywords: Sunflower, cultivars, water regime, growth parameters, yield and its components.

Introduction

Sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) is an important source of edible oil in the world because of its high polysaturated fatty acids. Sunflower oil production can be increased by increasing area of sunflower or by increasing total seed and its oil percentage to face urgent demands of increasing population in Egypt. The productivity of sunflower differs greatly by varietal characteristics and also by the environmental conditions i.e. temperature, soil fertility and irrigation regime for that responses of different varieties to water supply is considered an important factors affecting yield of sunflower.

Water is essential at every stage of plant growth, from seed germination to plant maturation¹. As a results of water deficit stress, the physiology of crop is disturbed this causes a large number of changes in

morphology and anatomy of plant and it had many effects on growth and yield of the crop^{2,3}. Increase the irrigation interval reduced yield and its components⁴. *Anwar et al*,⁵ told that all the yield components were affected by the number of irrigation. *Soriano et al*,⁶ concluded that sunflower seed yield was the most sensitive to water stress after anthesis.

The aim of this investigation was to study effect of water regime on growth, yield and yield components of two sunflower cultivars under sandy soil conditions.

Materials and Methods

Two field experiments were conducted during the two successive summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 in Wadi El-Rayyan Region, El-Fayoum Governorate, Egypt, to study the effect of water regime and varietal differences on growth, yield, its components and chemical composition of sunflower plants under newly reclaimed sandy soil. The experiment included eight treatments with four replications which were the combination of two sunflower cultivars, i.e. Pioneer- 6480 and Haisun-354 and four water regime treatment i.e.

1. Normal irrigation.
2. Omitting the 3rd irrigation.
3. Omitting the 4th irrigation.
4. Omitting the 5th irrigation.

Soil samples were taken at depth of 30 cm for mechanical and chemical analysis as described by⁷ and presented at Table (1).

Table (1): Mechanical and chemical analysis of soil at experimental sites (Average of 2014 and 2015 seasons)

Sand %	Silt%	Clay %	Texture	pH	Organic matter O.M.%	Available N ppm	Available K ppm	Available P ppm
73.59	22.47	3.45	Sandy	8.00	0.49	84.00	134.00	12.5

Split plot design with four replications was used, where the treatments of water regime were added randomly in the main plots, on the other hand two sunflower cultivars i.e. Pioneer-6480 and Haisun-354 were allocated in the sub-plots.

Seeds which uniformity in size, shape and color were sown in 15th May and 17th May in two seasons, each plot contains (7) ridges, (5) meter long and 60 cm apart. Sowing was in hills spaced 20cm apart. Three seeds were sown in hills. Phosphorus as super phosphate (15.5 % P₂O₅) was mixed before sowing in the soil. Other agriculture processes were done according to normal practice recommended by Oil Crop Research Section, Agricultural Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. Plants were thinned to one plant per hill after 20 days from sowing. Treatments of water regime were done i.e. (Normal irrigation, omitting the 3rd irrigation, omitting the 4th irrigation and omitting the 5th irrigation).

After 60 and 75 days from sowing samples of five guarded plants were taken random from the middle ridges of each plot to measure growth characters i.e. plant height "cm", number of leaves/plant and total plant dry weight "kg". Leaves area/plant was determined according to⁸, whereas leaf area index (LAI) was determined according to⁹. In addition specific leaf area (SLA) was determined according to¹⁰ and specific leaf weight (SLW) according to¹¹.

At harvest, a random of five plants were taken from the middle ridges of each plot to determine head diameter (cm), weight of head/ plant (g), weight of seeds/head (g), seed index (1000 seeds/g), shelling percentage (seed weight/head weight) and harvest index (seed yield/ biological yield) were calculated. Furthermore, seed, straw and biological yields "Kg/feddan" were collected from the whole area of each experimental unit and then converted into yield per feddan. To determine protein percentage, nitrogen concentration of seed was determined by colorimetry Kjeldahl¹², while the seed oil content by Soxhlet method.

All data were subjected to statistical analysis according to procedure outlined by¹³. Treatments means were compared by L.S.D at 5% level test. Combined analysis was made for the two growing seasons as results followed similar trend.

Results and Discussion

Growth characters:-

1-Effect of water regime:

It is known that the vegetative characteristics of plants have a direct relation with agronomic practices among which irrigation treatments is one of the most important. Such characteristics are in direct correlation with the yield. However, water stress or deficit occurs whenever the loss of water by transpiration exceeds the rate of absorption, which many influences the growth and the yield. Data in table (2) elucidate that growth characters were affected by water regime i.e. plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, total plant dry weight (kg). Leave area index, specific leaf area(cm^2/g), specific leaf weight (g/cm^2), while leaf area (LA) the differences were not great enough to reach the level of significance. Data presented in Table (2) show that normal irrigation surpassed all water regime treatments in all growth characters followed by omitting the 4th irrigation and omitting the 5th irrigation at 60 days and 75 days from sowing in both seasons. The reduction of growth characters especially plant height with omitting one irrigation may be mainly due to the direct effect of limited water supply, which reflects in the reduction in metabolic products^{14,15,16,17}.

The negative action of skipping irrigation on growth characters plant might be reduced the photosynthetic rate which resulted in impede the growth these results are in agreement with those obtained by^{18,19}, also,²⁰ who reported that early water stress affected dry matter production and biomass in plant.

2- Effect of cultivars:

Table (2) indicated that significant differences were found between sunflower cultivars Pioneer- 6480 and Haisun-354 in all growth characters under this study at 60 and 75 days from sowing i.e. plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, total dry weight/plant (kg), leaf area/ plant (cm^2), Leave area index, specific leaf area (cm^2/g) and specific leaf weight (g/cm^2). Plant height, total dry weight/ plant, leaf area/ plant, Leave area index and specific leaf area increased with advancing plant age from 60 to 75 days from sowing, at the same time number of leaves/ plant and SLW decreased with advancing plant age from the first sample (60 days from sowing) to the second sample (75 days from sowing) in both seasons. The sunflower cultivar Pioneer-6480 surpassed significantly Haisun-354 cultivar in all growth parameters at the different stages of growth. It is true to mention that the differences between the two sunflower cultivars under study may be due to the genetic structure differences between cultivars, to the cultivar differences in glucose required for synthesis of different chemical constituents at different plant organs, in carbon equivalent and partitioning of photosynthate among the plant organs of sunflower plant²¹, also, to the great differences between genotypes for mineral elements concentrations²². The decreased number of leaves/plant and specific leaf weight may be due to the differences between cultivars in migration coefficient of dry matter from vegetative organs to head also to the cultivars differences in photosynthate partitioning²¹.

These results are in harmony with results mentioned by^{21,23,24,25}.

3- Effect of interaction between water regime and cultivars:

Data in Table (3) indicated that the interaction between water regime and sunflower cultivars was significant on some growth characters at 60 and 75 days from sowing in both seasons i.e. number of leaves/plant, total dry weight/plant, Leaf area index and specific leaf weight. While specific leaf area was significant at 60 day after sowing only. It is clear from data that the best mean values was obtained from Pioneer- 6480 cultivar with normal irrigation followed by Pioneer- 6480 with omitting the 4th irrigation.

Table (2): Effect of water regime and varietal differences on some growth parameters of sunflower plant at 60 and 75 days after sowing. (Average of 2014 and 2015 seasons).

Characters	Plant height(cm)		Number of leaves/ plant		Total dry weight/plant (kg)		LA (cm ²)		LAI		SLA (cm ² /g)		SLW (g /cm ²)	
	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75
Water regime														
Normal irrigation	285.38	317.75	31.65	27.01	2.547	2.962	1339.60	1372.58	1.495	1.528	186.52	205.89	3.642	3.453
Omitting the 3 rd irrigation	267.15	300.08	25.35	21.33	2.440	2.807	1333.11	1364.75	1.477	1.512	174.01	190.87	3.530	3.088
Omitting the 4 th irrigation	279.25	310.55	29.03	24.54	2.508	2.930	1335.78	1368.75	1.490	1.523	184.03	200.53	3.602	3.395
Omitting the 5 th irrigation	277.26	308.80	28.68	24.28	2.498	2.900	1334.77	1367.31	1.485	1.518	181.52	199.06	3.590	3.310
L.S.D at 5%	1.05	1.44	0.81	0.71	0.006	0.011	n.s	n.s	0.005	0.005	0.82	0.56	0.016	0.007
Cultivars														
Pioneer 6480	279.53	313.07	29.89	25.82	2.508	2.911	1342.36	1381.22	1.493	1.533	184.57	208.19	3.736	3.322
Haisun 354	274.99	304.53	27.47	22.76	2.489	2.888	1329.28	1355.47	1.480	1.508	178.47	189.94	3.446	3.302
L.S.D at 5%	1.12	1.49	0.43	0.47	0.005	0.010	4.75	8.22	0.003	0.004	0.37	1.60	0.008	0.006

Table (3): Effect of interaction between water regime and varietal differences on some growth parameters of sunflower plant at 60 and 75 days after sowing. (Average of 2014 and 2015 seasons).

Treatments	Characters	Plant height(cm)		Number of leaves/ plant		Total dry weight/ plant (kg)		LA (cm ²)		LAI		SLA(cm ² /g)		SLW (g /cm ²)	
		60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75	60	75
Water regime x Cultivars															
Normal irrigation	Pioneer 6480	287.96	320.84	33.83	29.02	2.547	2.970	1345.61	1387.83	1.507	1.540	188.86	215.96	3.793	3.530
	Haisun 354	282.80	310.71	29.48	24.99	2.547	2.953	1333.00	1357.33	1.483	1.517	184.18	195.82	3.490	3.377
Omitting the 3 rd irrigation	Pioneer 6480	269.78	303.70	25.47	22.57	2.457	2.847	1342.22	1372.00	1.480	1.520	177.94	200.81	3.633	2.937
	Haisun 354	264.51	296.47	25.24	20.09	2.423	2.767	1324.00	1357.50	1.473	1.503	170.08	180.74	3.397	3.240
Omitting the 4 th irrigation	Pioneer 6480	280.84	314.66	30.37	25.88	2.520	2.917	1341.40	1383.83	1.497	1.540	186.91	207.80	3.750	3.500
	Haisun 354	277.66	306.43	27.69	23.21	2.497	2.943	1330.17	1353.67	1.483	1.507	181.15	193.27	3.453	3.290
Omitting the 5 th irrigation	Pioneer 6480	279.52	313.07	29.89	25.82	2.507	2.910	1340.27	1381.22	1.490	1.530	184.57	208.19	3.737	3.320
	Haisun 354	274.99	304.53	27.47	22.74	2.490	2.890	1329.28	1353.39	1.480	1.507	178.47	189.94	3.443	3.300
L.S.D. at 5%		n.s	n.s	0.86	0.93	0.009	0.020	n.s	n.s	0.007	0.008	0.73	n.s	0.017	0.013

B-Yield, yield components and some chemical composition:

1-Effect of water regime:

AS can be seen from Table (4) water stress significantly decreased all characters of yield, yield components and some chemical composition i.e. head diameter "cm", weight of head / plant "g", weight of seeds/head "g" , seed index "g", shelling percentage , seed, straw and biological yields "kg"/ feddan, harvest index%, protein percentage and oil percentage in both seasons. It is clear from data that the highest mean value of all characters under study were obtained from normal irrigation followed by omitting the 4th irrigation and then by omitting the 5th irrigation. Effect of water stress is usually accompanied with limited photosynthesis and decrease in photosynthesis leads to decrease in yield²⁶. If water stress occurs during earliest stages of sunflower growth, leaf area expansion goes down slowly but when water stress occurs during reproductive stages leaf aging leads to leaf area reduction ²⁷, seed yield will be decreased ²⁸. It is clear that water stress decreases cell division and cell elongation. Many investigators reported that small leaves because of water stress lead to low seed yield and protein and oil percentages^{29,30}.Seed index was decreased also with water deficit. It clear that normal irrigation increase leaf area and thereby increased rate of photosynthesis and better translocation of photosynthtes from leaves and stem to sink. These results are in harmony with obtained by ^{31,32,33}.

2- Effect of cultivars:

Data in Table (4) indicated that the yield and its components of the two sunflower cultivars were significant different for all characters of yield and its components under study in both seasons. Pioneer- 6480 cultivar was superior in its yield and yield components compared with Haisun-354 cultivar. The superiority of grain yield/fed., and straw yield/fed., in Pioneer-6480 cultivar mainly due to the increase in some yield components. The cultivar differences may be due to the differences in genetic structure between the two sunflower cultivars, to the differences in growth characters and to the differences in photosynthetic partitioning that previously indicated ^{34,35,22}. These results are in good agreement with those reported by ³⁶⁻³⁸.

3- Effect of interaction between water regime and cultivars:

It is obvious from Table (5) that effect of the interaction between water regime and sunflower cultivar failed to reach level of significance at all characters of yield and yield components in both seasons except shelling percentage normal irrigation with Pioneer- 6480 cultivar or Haisun-354 cultivar did not differ with Pioneer- 6480 cultivar with omitting the 4th irrigation or 5th irrigation. All results revealed that the best treatment was Pioneer-6480 cultivar with normal irrigation followed by omitting the 4th irrigation.

Table (4): Effect of water regime and varietal differences on yield , its components and chemical constituent of sunflower plant.(Average of 2014 and 2015 seasons).

Characters Treatments	Head diameter (cm)	Weight of head/plant (g)	Weight of seeds /head (g)	Shelling %	Seed index (g)	Seed yield (kg) /feddan	Straw yield (kg) /feddan	Biological yield (kg) /feddan	Harvest index %	Protein %	Oil %
Water regime											
Normal irrigation	28.01	351.30	187.97	53.51	87.76	1047.93	4215.57	5266.16	19.918	17.310	37.168
Omitting the 3 rd irrigation	22.26	341.92	177.52	51.99	80.01	960.10	4096.96	5027.81	19.102	17.223	37.047
Omitting the 4 th irrigation	25.25	347.67	184.12	53.04	84.04	1008.39	4172.40	5180.37	19.460	17.272	37.110
Omitting the 5 th irrigation	25.17	346.79	183.20	52.85	83.93	1005.47	4161.64	5154.33	19.490	17.267	37.107
L.S.D at 5%	0.89	1.05	0.72	0.27	0.60	13.29	10.97	43.96	0.353	0.010	0.015
Cultivars											
Pioneer 6480	26.54	352.37	186.77	53.04	86.61	1039.35	4225.35	5259.14	19.746	17.344	37.141
Haisun 354	23.81	341.47	179.63	52.65	81.26	971.60	4097.93	5049.69	19.239	17.192	37.075
L.S.D. at 5%	0.47	1.04	0.83	0.22	0.66	8.04	9.20	30.04	0.248	0.124	0.007

References

1. Turner, L.B. (1991).The effect of water stress on the vegetative growth of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.): Comparison of long-term water deficit and short-term developing water stress. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 42: 311-316.
2. Ashraf, M. and J.W. O'Leary (1996).Effect of drought stress on growth, water relations and gas exchange of two lines of sunflower differing in degree of salt tolerance. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*, 157: 729-732.
3. Reisdorph, N.A. and K.I. Koster (1999).Progressive loss of desiccation tolerance in germinating pea (*Pisumsativum*) seeds. *Physiol. Plant*, 105: 266-271.
4. Karam, C.(1978).Effect of irrigation intervals on yield components of sunflower. *Field Crop Abst.*, 31: 90, 1977.
5. Anwar, M., S. Rehman, S. Khan and Z. Quarishi (1995).Response of sunflower varieties to different irrigation regimes during Kharif season in Peshawar Valley. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 11: 273-278.
6. Soriano, M.A., F.J. Villalobos, E. Fereres, F. Orgaz, M. Borin and M. Sattin (1994). Response of sunflower grain yield to water stress applied during different phenological stages. Abano- Padova, Italy, pp: 18-22.
7. Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1978).Methods of analysis for soils, plants and water. Univ. of California Div. Agric. Sci. Priced Publication Vol.4043,12-19.
8. Bremner,P.M. and M.A. Taha (1966).Studies in potato agronomy, development and yield. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 66: 241-252.
9. Watson,D.J.(1952).The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Adv. Agron.* 4:101-145.
10. Abdel-Gawad, A.A., K.A.EL-Shouny, S.S.Saleh and M.A. Ahmed(1980).The relation between the efficiency of leaf surface and the growth of some wheat cultivars in Egypt. *Res. Bull* 1412, Dec.,pp 20.
11. Pearce,R.B., G.E. Carlson, D.K. Barny, R.H. Host and C.H. Hanson (1969).Specific leaf weight and photosynthesis in alfalfa. *Crop Sci.*,9: 423-426.
12. Baethgen W.E. and M.M. Alley(1989).A manual colorimetric procedure for measuring ammonium nitrogen in soil and plant Kjeldahl digests. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 20 (9&10) 961-969.
13. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran(1989).Statistical methods, 8th ed. iowa state univ., Press 2121 South State Avenue Ames, IA 50014 Iowa, USA.
14. El-Mahi, Y.E., A.M.A. Sokrab, E.A. El-Amin and I.S. Ibrahim (2002). Phosphorus and potassium fertilizers effect on growth and yield of irrigated forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) grown on a saline - sodic soil. *Crop Res. (Hisar)*, 23(2): 235.
15. Ahmed, A.G. and B.B. Mekki (2005). Yield and yield components of two maize hybrids as influenced by water deficit during different growth stages .*Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 20(2): 64 -79.
16. Ahmed, A.G. and A.K.M. Salem(2005).Response of grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) to potassium fertilizer rates and omitting irrigation. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 27(2): 59-70.
17. Ahmed, M.A., Magda A.F. Shalaby and M.H. Afifi (2009). Alleviation of water stress effects on maize by Mepiquat chloride. *Modern J. of Appl. Biol. Sci. Crop Sci.*,3(2):1-8.
18. Nayyar, H., S. Kaur, S.S. Kumar and H.D. Upadhyaya (2006).Differential sensitivity of macrocarpa and microcarpa types of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to water stress: association of contrasting stress response with oxidative injury. *J. Integrative Plant Biology*, 48: 1318-1329.
19. Ahmed, A.G., Nabila M. Zaki, Magda H. Mohamed, M.M. Tawfik and M.S. Hassanein(2013).Growth and yield response of two chickpea cultivars (*cicer arietinum* L) to skipping one irrigation.Middle East J. of Agriculture Res., 3(1): 13-18.
20. Gunes A., A. Inal, M.S. Adak, E.G. Bagci, N. Cicek and F. Eraslan (2008).Effect of drought stress implemented at pre- or post- anthesis stage some physiological as screening criteria in chickpea cultivars. *Russian J. of Plant. Physiology*, 55: 59–67.
21. Afifi, M.H.M. and M.A. Ahmed (2004).Evaluation of six sunflower cultivars in photosynthetic partition and migration, growth and yield and its components in newly cultivated land. *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 42(1):1-13.
22. Abou El-Seoud, I.I.A. and H.M. Wafaa (2010).Phosphorus efficiency of different maize (*Zeamays*, L.) genotypes grown on calcareous soil. *Alex. Sci. Exch. J.*, 31(1): 1-9.

23. Hassanein, M.S., EL-Sh.M. Rashad and Magda, A.F. Shalaby(2001). Physiological response of two sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) cultivars to some growth retardants in newly cultivated sandy lands. *Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor* , vol. 39 (4) : 1887 –1905.
24. Youssef, E.A.,M.A. Ahmed, N.M. Badr, M.A.F. Shalaby and K.M. Gamal El-Din (2015).Alleviation of drought effect on sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) cv.Sakha - 53 cultivar by foliar spraying with antioxidant. *Middle East J. of Agric. Res.* 4: 794 – 80.
25. Ahmed,A.G.,M.A.Ahmed, M.S. Hassanein, Nabila M. Zaki and Ebtesam A. El-Housini (2016).Effect of the complete foliar fertilizer nitrophoska foliar 20 /19/19/te and yeast on growth and yield of tow sunflower cultivars under newly reclaimed sandy soil condition.*Res. J. of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sci.*,7(6): 417-428.
26. Mwanamwenge,J., S.P. Loss, K.H.M. Siddique and P.S. Cocks (1999).Effect of water stress during floral initiation, flowering and podding on the growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Eur. J. Agron.*, 11: 1-11.
27. Merrien, A.(1992).Some aspect of sunflower crop physiology. In: proc. of 13 Int. Sunflower Conf., th. Pisa, Italy, pp. 481-498.
28. Baldini, M., F. Cecconi, G.P. Vanzozi and A. Benvenuti (1998).Effect of drought on yield reduction in different sunflower hybrids. *Helia*, 14: 71-76.
29. Alza, J.O. (1995). Resistencia a sequia e interaccion genotipo- ambiente engirasolbajocondicionesambi dextries condistintadisponibilidad de agua. Estudiosgeneticos de estabilidad.Tests de doctorado. Escuelatecnica superior de ingenierosagronomos y de montes. Departamento de genetica. Universidad de Cordoba, espana.
30. Nesmith, D.S. and J.T. Ritchie(1992).Short-and long-term responses of corn to a preanthesis soil water deficit. *Agronomy J.*, 84: 107-113.
31. Unger,P.W.(1992).Time and frequency of irrigation effects on sunflower production and water use. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 46: 1072-1076.
32. Yegappan,T.M., D.M. Paton, C.T. Gates and W.J. Muller (1982).Water stress in sunflower response if cypselasiz. *Ann. Bot.*, 49: 63-68.
33. Elnaz, E. and B. Ahmad (2011).Effect of iron foliar fertilization on growth, seed and oil yield of sunflower grown under different irrigation regimes.*Middle-East J. of Scientific Res.*, 9 (5): 621-627.
34. Zaki,N.M., M.M. EL-Gazzar, Karima M. Gamal EL-Din and M.A. Ahmed (1999). Partition and migration of photosynthates in some maize hybrids. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 14(16): 117-139.
35. Ahmed, M.A. and M.S. EL-S. Hassanein(2000).Partition of photosynthates in yellow miaze hybrids. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 22: 39-63.
36. Ahmed,M.A.,Magda A.F.Shalaby, M.A. Al-Kordy and M.S. Hassanein (2011).Genetic analysis of energy production in white maize hybrids cultivated in newly cultivated sandy land. *J. of Appl. Sci. Res.*, 7(3): 346-356.
37. Refay, Y.A.(2011).Yield and yield component parameters of bread wheat genotypes as affected by sowing dates. *Middle East J. of Sci. Res.*, 5(6): 484-489.
38. Zaki, N. M., Amal G. Ahmed, M.S. Hassanein, F.M. Manal and M.M. Tawfik (2014). Yield and yield components of two maize hybrids as influenced by water deficit and amino cat fertilizer.*Middle East J. of Applied Sci.*, 4(3): 648-654.

Extra page not to be printed.

For IJ section, your Research references , always log on to-

International Journal of ChemTech Research

International Journal of PharmTech Research

www.sphinxesai.com
