

Evaluation of anticancer activity of some Egyptian plants showed free radical scavenging activity

Walid Fayad¹, Salwa M. El-Hallouty¹, Nefissa H. Meky²,
Bassem S.EL-Menshawi ^{†1}, Gamila M. Wassel ^{†1}, Ahmed A. Hasabo¹.

¹ Drug Bioassay-Cell Culture Laboratory, Pharmacognosy Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, 12622, Egypt,

²Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Note: Our Co- Author Prof.Dr. Gamila M. Wassel^{†1} was deceased in 25 Nov, 2012
Our Co- Author Bassem El-Menshawi ^{†1} was deceased in Nov, 2013

Abstract: Twenty plants belonging to 6 families were collected from different areas in Egypt. These plants yielded 25 methanol extracts and were tested for their free radical scavenging activity by using DPPH assay. Thirteen plant extracts showed potent free radical scavenging activity. The extracts were investigated for their anticancer activity in vitro using four human cancer cell lines, namely, A549 (lung carcinoma) and HCT-116 (colorectal carcinoma), HepG2 (hepatocellular carcinoma) and MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma). Cell viability was determined using the MTT assay. Results showed the methanol extracts of four plants possessed both high cytotoxicity against human cancer cells and free radical scavenging activities but showed no cytotoxic effect on human normal immortalized fibroblast cells (BJ-1). These extracts were: bark of *Adenanthera pavonina*, branch of *Albizia stipulate*, leaves of *Derris elliptica* and bark of *Hyphaenethebaica*. The study identified four plant extracts possessing both antioxidant and cancer cytotoxicity activities and at the same time to be safe on normal cells. The purpose of this approach is to provide candidate drugs to be used for cancer chemoprevention by preventing cancer initiation and eradicating any newly formed microscopic cancer cells and to be highly safe to healthy cells. Further studies are recommended to evaluate the antioxidant, anticancer efficacy and general toxicity of the active plant extracts in animal models.

Keywords: free radical scavenging; anti-cancer; Egyptian plants, cancer chemoprevention.

Introduction

The worldwide use of natural products including medicinal plants has become more and more important in primary health care especially in developing countries. Many pharmacognostical and pharmacological investigations are carried out to identify new drugs or to find new lead structures for the development of novel therapeutic agents for the treatment of human diseases such as cancer and infectious diseases ¹.

Cancer is the leading cause of mortality worldwide. According to the cancer reports published by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the World Cancer Research Fund, the incidence of cancer is still increasing especially due to diet, environment and carcinogenic virus infections^{2,3}. In hospitals, conventional

drugs are commonly prescribed to cancer patients. However, due to less toxic and adverse effects of phytochemicals, the research on medicinal plants and cancer has been intensified⁴.

The oxidative stress is defined as the imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants in favor of the oxidants potentially leading to damage in human cells. Physiologically, antioxidants play a major role in preventing the formation of free radicals, which are responsible for many harmful oxidative processes. Antioxidants may be synthetic or of natural source⁵.

Free radicals can react with biomolecules, causing extensive damage to DNA, protein, and lipid, which are considered to be related to aging⁶, degenerative diseases of aging⁷, cancer⁸. Antioxidants play an important role in the later stages of cancer development. There is increasing evidence that oxidative processes promote carcinogenesis, although the mechanisms for this are not well understood. The antioxidants may be able to cause the regression of premalignant lesions and inhibit their development into cancer⁹. The scope for this study is to identify agents for cancer chemoprevention. Indeed, 13 plant extracts were potent in free radical scavenging, however, the authors of this study contemplated that a cytotoxic activity included in the cancer chemopreventive agent would be beneficial to eradicate any microscopic cancer lesions that might evolve in early stages. Additionally, the cytotoxic extracts were tested on normal human cells to select for extracts safe to normal cells and consequently are expected to be safe on prolonged usage.

2. Materials and methods

Plant material:

Table 1: Free radical scavenging activity of methanol plant extracts by using DPPH assay at concentration 100µg/ml.

DPPH scavenging % at 100 µg/ml	part	Plant name	Family	No
94 %	Br	<i>Spondiaslutea</i>	Anacardiaceae	1
90.5 %	Fr	<i>Spondiaslutea</i>	Anacardiaceae	2
27	L	<i>Spondiaspeciosa</i>	Anacardiaceae	3
81	Br	<i>Spondiasmangifera</i>	Anacardiaceae	4
91.2	Br	<i>Aberiasp</i>	Flacourtiaceae	5
91.6	Br	<i>Albizia stipularis</i>	Leguminosae	6
90.2	L	<i>Derris elliptica</i>	Leguminosae	7
94	Bark	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	Leguminosae	8
15	L,Br	<i>Erythrina indica</i>	Leguminosae	9
13	Fl	<i>Tipuanatipu</i>	Leguminosae	10
14	Br	<i>Caesalpinia Caffra</i>	Leguminosae	11
5	Fr	<i>Bauhinia variegata</i>	Leguminosae	12
93	Br	<i>Bauhinia junnejesii</i>	Leguminosae	13
59	Br	<i>Derris elliptica</i>	Leguminosae	14
8	Br	<i>Samanea saman</i>	Leguminosae	15
88	L,Fl	<i>Caesalpinia caffra</i>	Leguminosae	16
83	L,Br	<i>Cassia javanica</i>	Leguminosae	17
92.8	Br	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	Leguminosae	18
69	L&Br	<i>Bauhinia hooker</i>	Leguminosae	19
90	B	<i>Gossypium barbadense</i>	Malvaceae	20
39	L	<i>Hibiscus esculentus</i>	Malvaceae	21
2	B	<i>Hibiscus esculentus</i>	Malvaceae	22
90.7	B	<i>Hyphaenethebaica</i>	Palmae	23
14	L&Fr	<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	Rutaceae	24
48	Br	<i>Glycosmis pentaphylla</i>	Rutaceae	25

Parts abbreviation; Br: branch; B: bark; Fr: Fruits; L: Leaves; FL: Flowers.

The plants for study were randomly collected from different areas in Egypt. Wild plants were collected by the help of Dr. Ashraf Soliman – Faculty of Science – Cairo University & authenticated by Prof. Dr. LotfyBoulos. Cultivated plants were collected and authenticated by Mrs. Teresa Labib - Head specialist for plant identification – El-Orman Garden – Giza. The plant parts collected were branches, barks, leaves, flowers, fruits, herbs and weeds. After collection, plant samples were dried and ground to coarse powder (Table 1).

Extraction:

Whole plant samples were divided into separate plant parts (leaves [L], branches [Br], fruits [Fr], flowers [Fl] and bark [B]) and dried in solar ovens at 50°C. After complete drying, the plant parts were grinded. Powdered plant parts were extracted with methanol at room temperature, using 450 ml methanol for 75 g powder. The powder was soaked in methanol at room temperature overnight. The filtrate was dried in a rotavapour under vacuum at 40 °C. The extract was then freeze dried (lyophilized). The extracts were placed in glass vials and stored at -20 °C. The freeze dried plant extracts were deposited at the Extract Bank of the In vitro Bioassay Laboratory, National Research Centre, Egypt.

DPPH method

The radical scavenging activity of plant extracts was evaluated by using the 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay¹⁰. Plant extracts were screened at 100 µg/ml using 0.1mM DPPH dissolved in methanol. After incubation for 30 min in the dark at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm and a reference wavelength of 690 nm. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) was used as positive control at different concentration ranging from 11 - 2.7 µg/ml. The DPPH / methanol mixture was used as a negative control. The DPPH scavenging activity of plant extracts was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\text{Percentage reduction} = (1 - (X / (av(NC)))) * 100$$

Where x indicates the absorbance of sample and av(NC) indicates the average absorbance of the negative control.

Determination of antioxidant EC₅₀ Values:

EC₅₀ values were calculated for the most active extracts possessing ≥ 80% scavenging activity using probit analysis and utilizing the SPSS computer program (SPSS for windows, statistical analysis software package /version 9/ 1989 SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

Cell culture:

A549 human lung carcinoma was maintained in DMEM medium, HCT-116 (colorectal carcinoma), HepG2 (hepatocellular carcinoma) and MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma) were maintained in RPMI. BJ-1 (human normal immortalized skin fibroblasts) was maintained in DMEM F12 medium. All media was supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum and incubated at 37°C in 5 %CO₂ and 95% humidity. Cells were sub-cultured using trypsin versene 0.15 %. Skin normal human cell line (BJ-1) immortalized normal foreskin fibroblast cell line was generously provided by Professor Stig Linder, Oncology and Pathology department, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. Other cell lines were purchased from Vacsera (Giza, Egypt).

Cell viability assay

After 24 h of seeding 20000 cells per well in case of A-549, HCT-116 and BJ-1, 10000 cells per well in case of HepG2 and MCF-7 cell lines (in 96 well plates), the medium was changed to serum-free medium containing a final concentration of the extracts of 100 µg/ml in triplicates. The cells were treated for 24 h. 100 µg/ml doxorubicin was used as positive control and 0.5 % DMSO was used as negative control. Cell viability was determined using the MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay as described by Mosmann 1983¹¹.

*The equation used for calculation of percentage cytotoxicity: (1 - (av(x) / (av(NC)))) * 100*

Where Av: average, X: absorbance of sample well measured at 595 nm with reference 690 nm, NC: absorbance of negative control measured at 595 nm with reference 690.

Determination of cytotoxicity activity IC₅₀ values

In case of highly active extracts possessing $\geq 75\%$ cytotoxicity on different cancer cell lines, different concentrations were prepared dose response study. The results were used to calculate the IC₅₀ values of each extract using probit analysis and utilizing the SPSS computer program (SPSS for windows, statistical analysis software package / version 9 / 1989 SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

Selectivity Index (SI)

The selectivity index (SI) indicates the cytotoxic selectivity (i.e. safety) of the crude extract against cancer cells versus normal cells (BJ-1, skin human normal immortalized cell line) ¹².

SI= IC₅₀ of plant extract in a normal cell line/ IC₅₀ of the same plant extract in cancer cell line.

Results

Radical scavenging activity

Initially, 25 methanol plant extracts were examined by DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) antioxidant assay. For the plant extracts that showed high free radical scavenging activity ($\geq 80\%$) at one concentration (100 μ g/ml), further dilution were prepared to calculate their EC₅₀ values and were chosen for anticancer activity in vitro investigation by using different human cancer cell lines. The data in table 1: shows the free radical scavenging activity of the 25 plant extracts. Out of them, 13 plant extracts possessed high free radical scavenging activity ($\geq 80\%$). These are the extracts of the following species: (branch extract of *Spondias lutea* (94 %), bark extract of *Adenanthera pavonina* (94 %), *Bauhinia variegata* (93 %), branch extract of *Adenanthera pavonina* (92.8 %), *Albizia stipulata* (91.6 %), *Aberia sp* (91.2 %), *Hyphaenethebaica* (90.7 %), *Spondias lutea* (fr) (90.5%), *Derris elliptica* (90.2 %), *Gossypiumbarbadeinse* (90 %), *Caesalpiniaacaffra* (88 %), *Cassia javanica* (83 %) and *Spondias mangifera* (81 %). According to the obtained results, a dose response study was performed on these plant extracts concentrations 50, 25, 12 and 5 μ g/ml to calculate their EC₅₀ values (Table 2).

Table 2: EC₅₀ (the concentration required to scavenge 50% of the DPPH)

NO.	Family	Plant name	Part	EC ₅₀ (μ g/ml)
1	Anacardiaceae	<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Br	43.6 \pm 1.3
2	Leguminosae	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	B	41.6 \pm 1.2
3	Leguminosae	<i>Bauhinia junnejesii</i>	Br	46 \pm 1.8
4	Leguminosae	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	Br	43 \pm 1.4
5	Leguminosae	<i>Albizia stipulata</i>	Br	43 \pm 1.3
6	Flacourtiaceae	<i>Aberia sp</i>	Br	39 \pm 1.7
7	Anacardiaceae	<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Fr	43 \pm 1.7
8	Leguminosae	<i>Derris elliptica</i>	L	36 \pm 1.6
9	Malvaceae	<i>Gossypiumbarbadeinse</i>	B	25 \pm 0.9
10	Leguminosae	<i>Caesalpiniaacaffra</i>	L&br	39 \pm 1.7
11	Palmae	<i>Hyphaenethebaica</i>	B	44 \pm 1.5
12	Leguminosae	<i>Cassia javanica</i>	L&br	34 \pm 1.2
13	Anacardiaceae	<i>Spondias mangifera</i>	Br	20 \pm 0.8
Positive control	Vitamin C			4.3 \pm 05

Parts abbreviation; Br: branch; B: bark; Fr: Fruits; L: Leaves.

Anticancer and safety to normal cells activities

The 13 methanol plant extracts which possessed high free radical scavenging activity were investigated to evaluate their Cytotoxicity effect against four human cancer cell lines, namely lung cancer cell line (A549), Colon cancer (HCT-116), hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) and breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) (table 3). The plant extracts were also tested at 100 μ g/ml. The data in table 3 showed four plant extracts with high cytotoxic

activity ($\geq 75\%$) against at least one cancer cell line. These included: bark extract of *Adenanthera pavonina* with Cytotoxicity against HepG2 and MCF-7 (75 % and 91 % respectively), *Albizzia stipulate* showed cytotoxicity against HepG2 and MCF-7 (75 % and 86 % respectively), *Derris elliptica* showed cytotoxicity against HCT-116, HepG2 and MCF-7 (93 %, 76 % & 90 % respectively) and *Hyphaenethebaica* showed cytotoxicity against A549 and MCF-7 (87 % and 89 % respectively). For the most active plant extracts a dose response study was made to calculate their IC_{50} values (Table 4). These plant extracts have been further tested for cytotoxicity on human normal cell line (BJ-1) to calculate their SI values (table 5). The concentration range was 50, 25, 12 and 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for all the tested cell lines.

Table 3: Cytotoxicity of methanol plant extracts (100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) on four human tumor cell lines.

Cytotoxicity (%)				Part	Plant name	Family	No
MCF-7	HepG2	HCT-116	A549				
56 %	14 %	16 %	69%	Br	<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Anacardiaceae	1
65 %	25 %	10 %	52 %	Fr	<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Anacardiaceae	2
16 %	12 %	2 %	13 %	Br	<i>Spondiasmangifera</i>	Anacardiaceae	3
10 %	13 %	31 %	48 %	Br	<i>Aberia sp</i>	Flacourtiaceae	4
91 %	75 %	51 %	45 %	Bark	<i>Adenantherapavonina</i>	Leguminosae	5
60 %	52 %	0 %	40 %	Br	<i>Bauhinia junnejesii</i>	Leguminosae	6
13 %	14 %	0%	44 %	Br	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	Leguminosae	7
86 %	75 %	30 %	13 %	Br	<i>Albizzia stipulate</i>	Leguminosae	8
90 %	76 %	93 %	41 %	L	<i>Derris elliptica</i>	Leguminosae	9
15 %	20 %	37 %	25 %	L&br	<i>Caesalpiniaacaffra</i>	Leguminosae	10
35 %	16 %	23 %	44 %	L&br	<i>Cassia javanica</i>	Leguminosae	11
10 %	4 %	4 %	15 %	B	<i>Gossypiumbarbadein se</i>	Malvaceae	12
89%	70 %	28 %	87 %	B	<i>Hyphaenethebaica</i>	Palmae	

Parts abbreviation; Br: branch; B: bark; Fr: Fruits; L: Leaves.

Table 4: IC_{50} values for the active anticancer plant extracts.

$IC_{50}\mu\text{g/ml}$				Part	Plant name	No
MCF-7	HepG2	HCT-116	A549			
37 \pm 1.3	28 \pm 0.9	-	-	B	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	1
29 \pm 1.2	24 \pm 1.1	-	-	Br	<i>Albizzia stipulate</i>	2
34 \pm 0.8	-	37 \pm 1.5	-	L	<i>Derris elliptica</i>	3
38 \pm 1.2	-	-	32 \pm 0.9	B	<i>Hyphaenethebaica</i>	4
26.1 \pm 1.3	21.6 \pm 1.2	37.6 \pm 1.5	28.3 \pm 1.7		Doxorubicin	Positive control

Parts abbreviation; Br: branch; B: bark; Fr: Fruits; L: Leaves.(-) not active on the cancer cell line.

Table 5: The selectivity index (SI) values of the seven active plant extracts.

SI				Part	Plant name	NO.
MCF-7	HepG2	HCT-116	A549			
1.2	1.6	-	-	B	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	1
6.8	8.2	-	-	Br	<i>Albizzia stipulate</i>	2
3.4	-	3.1	-	L	<i>Derris elliptica</i>	3
3.8	-	-	4.6	B	<i>Hyphaenethebaica</i>	4

Parts abbreviation; Br: branch; B: bark; Fr: Fruits; L: Leaves.(-) not active on the cancer cell line.

Discussion

In the etiology of cancer, free radicals are one of the major factors necessary to cause DNA mutation, which in turn triggers the initiation stage of carcinogenesis⁴. Exogenous antioxidants from natural sources can improve the function of the endogenous antioxidant system which is responsible for preventing the formation of free radicals in the body¹³. Unlike cytotoxic agents that damage tumor cells, antioxidants act by preventing the onset of cancer during carcinogenesis, and they are generally beneficial to cells¹⁴. In recent years, interest has grown in the use of natural antioxidants for the prevention or treatment of cancer and different diseases¹⁵. In our research for anticancer drugs from plant extracts which possess remarkable antioxidant activity, four plant extracts showed significant cytotoxic effect against at least one of the tested cancer cell lines. These included: bark extract of *Adenanthera pavonina* possessed cytotoxicity on liver cancer cell line (HepG2) and breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) (table 3) and antioxidant activity (table 1). Reports indicated that the bark extract of *Adenanthera pavonina* had antioxidant activity¹⁶ and antitumor activity on Dalton's ascitic lymphoma in male Swiss albino mice¹⁷. Bark extract of *Hyphaenethebaica* possessed antioxidant activity (table 1) and cytotoxic activity against lung cancer (A549) and breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) (table 3). Others reported that the fruit extract of *Hyphaenethebaica* had antioxidant and anticancer activities against acute myeloid leukemia¹⁸. Leaves extract of *Derris elliptica* possessed antioxidant activity (table 1) and cytotoxic effect on the colon cancer cells and breast cancer cell line (table 3). However, other researchers revealed antioxidant activity¹⁹ and antimicrobial activity²⁰ of *Derris elliptica* extract. The branch extract of *Albizia stipulate* showed high antioxidant activity (table 1) and high cytotoxic activity against hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) and breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) (table 3). It is worth to note that it is the first time to report the in vitro cytotoxic activity of *Albizia stipulate* branch extract against the four cancer cell lines under investigation. The results of the cytotoxicity on human normal cells (BJ-1) of these four plant extracts indicated a very high selectivity of the extracts for cancer cells, as they showed no cytotoxicity on the normal cells throughout the range of concentrations tested (Table 5). In the view of the authors, a plant extract which combines antioxidant and anticancer activities and at the same time safe to healthy cells is a promising cancer chemopreventive candidate. The logic behind this, is that the antioxidant will reduce, if not prevent, the DNA mutations and adducts caused by cytosolic free radicals and consequently prevent the initiation of cancer through induction of mutations. The anticancer activity will be useful in early eliminating any newly formed neoplastic cells that are not clinically detectable. However, these cancer cytotoxic agents should be with minor or no side effects as they are planned to be used for prolonged time preventing cancer formation. That's why experiments in this study were designed to select for plant extracts that are safe to normal cells. Thus, the four pre-mentioned identified plant extracts in this study could be promising cancer chemopreventive agents. Being from a natural source, there is a high probability to be tolerated for prolonged administration. Further studies are recommended to evaluate the anticancer and antioxidant efficacy of the active plant extracts and also their general toxicity profile in animal models.

Acknowledgements

This study was carried out at the Department of Pharmacognosy, National Research Centre, Dokki – Cairo, under project in title:

“Evaluation of some Egyptian plant extracts with preliminary antitumour activity (Comprehensive Biological and phytochemical studies)”, funded by Science & Technology Development Fund (STDF), Academy of Scientific Research and Technology, Egypt, (2013-Current) grant number 1206.

References:

1. Newman D.J, Cragg G.M, Snader K.M., Natural products as sources of new drugs over the period 1981–2002, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2003, 66, 1022-1037.
2. World Health Organization (2008). World cancer report 2008, IARC, France.
3. World Cancer Research Fund / American Institute for Cancer Research (2007). Food, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: A global perspective, AICR, USA.
4. Johnson I.T., Phytochemicals and cancer, *Proc. Nutr. Soc.*, (2007), 66(2), 207-215.
5. Abas F., Lajis N., Israf D., Khozirah Y., Umi K.Y., Antioxidant and nitric oxide inhibition activities of selected malay traditional vegetables. *Food Chem.*, (2006), 95, 566-573.

6. Oliveira B.F.,Nogueira-Machado J.A., Chaves M.M.,The role of oxidative stress in the aging process, Sci. World J., (2010),10, 1121-1128.
7. Oberley T.D., Oxidative damage and cancer,Am.J. Pathol.,(2002),160,403-408.
8. Sangeetha P., Das U.N.,Korathar R.,Suryaprabha P., Increase in free radical generation and lipid peroxidation following chemotherapy in patients with cancer, Free Radic.Biol. Med., (1990),8(1), 15-19.
9. Langseth L., Oxidants, Antioxidants, and Disease prevention International life Sciences Institute press,Washington, DC, USA. (1995),
10. Shen C., Jun H., Choi S., Kim Y., Jung E., Oh G., Joo S., Kim S.,Kim I., Evaluation of Antioxidant Activities and Active Compounds Separated from Water Soluble Extracts of Korean Black Pine Barks,Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society, (2010),31(12), p. 3567-3572.
11. Mosmann T., Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. Journal of immunological methods (1983), 65(1), p. 55-63.
12. Prayong P., Barusrux S., Weerapreeyakul N., Cytotoxic activity screening of some indigenous Thai plants. Fitoterapia, (2008), 79(7), 598-601.
13. Johnson, I.T., New approaches to the role of diet in the preventionOf cancers of the alimentary tract.,Mutat. Res., (2004),551(1-2), 9-28.
14. Valko M., Leibfritz D., Moncol J., Cronin M., Mazur M., Telser J., Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions andhuman disease. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol., (2007), 39, 44-84.
15. Gago-DominguezM., Jiang X., CastelaJ. E., Lipid peroxidation, oxidative stress genes and dietary factors in breast cancer protection, a hypothesis Breast Cancer, (2007), 9(1), 1110.1186.
16. Rodrigo, S. K.,Jayasinghe U. L. B.,Bandara B. M. R.,Antifungal, Antioxidant and Cytotoxic Activity of Acronychiapedunculata and Adenanthera pavonina, Proceedings of the Peradeniya University Research Sessions, Sri. Lanka, (2007),12, I, 30thNovember.
17. Arihara Siva Kumar G., Rajesh Kumar Javvadi*, Vinay Kumar K., Manohar Reddy E., Veera Reddy Y., Harshavardhan G., Akbar M.D.,Effect of methanolic extract OF Adenanthera pavonina Linn on dalton'sascitic lymphoma, Indian Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Biotechnology,(1013),1(1),138-141.
18. Faten, Mohamed Abou –Elalla, Antioxidant and anticancer activities of doum fruitextract (Hyphaenethebaica). African Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry.2009, 3 (10), pp. 197-201.
19. Palasuwan A., Soogaran, S.,Lertlum, T. Pradniwat P.,WiwanitkitV., Inhibition of Heinz body induction in an in vitro model and total antioxidant activity of medicinal Thai plants, Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev., (2005),6(4), 458-463.
20. Khan M.R., Omoloso A.D., Barewai Y., Antimicrobial activity of the Derris elliptica, Derris indica and Derris trifoliata extractives,Fitoterapia,(2006), 77,327–30.
