



## Effect of Soil Salinity on Growth, Yield and Nutrient Balance of Peanut Plants

Abd El-RheemKh. M.<sup>1</sup>, Safi-naz S. Zaki<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soils and Water Use Dept.,<sup>2</sup>Water Relations & Field Irrigations Dept., National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, Postal Code 12622

**Abstract:** A field experiment was carried out at El-Tina Plain in Ismailia Governorate during summer season of 2014, to study the effect of different levels of soil salinity on the growth and yield and content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and its relationship with nutrient balance of peanut plants. Soil salinity levels were 6.3, 7.6, 8.8,9.4,10.6 and 11.8dS m<sup>-1</sup>. All agricultural operations were similarly in all the different areas of salinity. Plant samples were taken and the values of crop in six different areas in soil salinity. Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated system was used to determined nutritional balance. The results indicated that increasing soil salinity decreasing straw and grain yield by 47.7 and 53.6% respectively. While grain content of oil and protein decreased by 7.84 and 12.1 % respectively. Nutrient indices showed that increasing soil salinity decreased N and P in peanut plants, while potassium was not affected. So it must be interest to N and P fertilization under saline soil conditions.

**Key words:** Salinity, peanut plants, Growth, Yield, Nutrient balance.

### Introduction:

El Tina plain region the study area is located at the northwestern part of Sinai Peninsula, Egypt, between longitudes 32°20'35" and 32°33'10" east and latitudes 30°57'25" and 31°04'28" north, approximately 174 km<sup>2</sup>. It is located under arid conditions; the annual rainfall ranges from 33.3 mm to 70.2 mm and occurs over a short period (from October to March). El-Tina plain is almost flat and the ground elevation ranges from 0-5m above sea level. The soils of El-Tina plain were characterized by shallow to deep soil profile underlain by water table at 50-100 cm. Soil texture varies between sandyloam to clay and soils are extremely saline<sup>1</sup>. Nawar<sup>2</sup> classified the soils of El-Tina plain into two orders, Entisols and Aridisols, which include eight subgroups: Typic Aquisalids, Typic Haplosalids, Aquic Torriorthents, Typic Torriorthents, Aquic Torripsamments, Typic Torripsamments, Gypsic Aquisalids and Gypsic Haplosalids.

Soil salinity is the salt content in the soil; the process of increasing the salt content is known as salinization. Salts occur naturally within soils and water. Salinization can be caused by natural processes such as mineral weathering or by the gradual withdrawal of an ocean. It can also come about through artificial processes such as irrigation. Salinization is a worldwide problem that affects the physical and chemical properties of soil, leading to the loss of crop productivity. As salt-affected soils are common in arid and semiarid climates with precipitation rates lower than evapotranspiration rates.

The Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) relate the nutrient contents in dual ratios (N/P, P/N, N/K, K/N .....), because of the relation between two nutrients, the problem with the biomass accumulation and reduction of the nutrients concentration in plants with its age is solved<sup>3,4</sup>. The use of DRIS on

concept of nutritional status of plants, this method puts the limitation of nutrients in order of plant demand, enabling the nutritional balance between the nutrients in leaf sample. With the use of dual relation on DRIS, the problem with the effect of concentration or dilution on the nutrients in plants is solved<sup>3, 5</sup>. The disadvantage of this methodology is that the DRIS index is not independent, because one nutrient concentration can have a hard influence on the other DRIS index for one nutrient but this problem can be corrected in parts with a hard selection of the nutrient that will compound the DRIS norms<sup>6</sup>. Considering that DRIS uses the nutritional balancing concept (relationship among nutrients), it is postulated that this method might be more precise than the others in the detection of nutritional deficiencies or/and excesses<sup>7</sup>.

Therefore, the aim of the research was to study the effect of different levels of soil salinity on the growth and yield and content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and its relationship with nutrient balance of peanut plants.

### Materials and Methods:

A field experiment was carried out in sandy clay soil of private farm at El-Tina region in Ismailia Governorate during summer season of 2014. To identify the initial characteristics of the experimental soil, a surface soil sample (0-30cm depth) was collected before the beginning of the experiment and subjected to some physical and chemical analyses according to Jackson<sup>8</sup> as well as some soil essential nutrients status<sup>9</sup>. The obtained results are presented in Table (1).

The samples of lettuce were dried at 65°C for 48 hrs, ground and wet digested using H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> method<sup>10</sup>. The digests samples were then subjected to measurement of N using Micro-Kjeldahle method; P was assayed using molybdenum blue method and determined by spectrophotometer<sup>11</sup> and K was determined by Flame Photometer<sup>12</sup>.

**Table (1): Some chemical properties of experimental soils:**

	pH*	ECe Sat.Ext ds/m	Anions				Cations				Available nutrients				
			Sat.extmeq/l.										(ppm)		
			Cl <sup>-</sup>	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	N	P	K		
1	8.02	6.3	30	nd	3.95	26.6	39	1.30	11.50	8.8	65	3.90	222		
2	8.05	7.6	42	nd	4.65	29.0	51	1.44	13.46	9.76	60	3.99	190		
3	8.12	8.8	54.6	nd	3.95	29.6	58.6	1.4	15.25	12.7	75	3.57	233		
4	8.00	9.4	55.0	nd	4.96	32.5	63	1.43	15.1	12.9	72	3.40	220		
5	8.00	10.6	64.5	nd	4.96	32.3	71.3	1.61	15.5	13.4	77	3.61	231		
6	8.10	11.8	79.5	nd	7.2	30.8	86.3	1.35	14.3	15.6	77	3.60	220		

All experimental plots were irrigated by water of El-Salam Canal which characterized by the mean chemical composition recorded in Table (2)

**Table (2): Some chemical properties of El-Salam Canal water**

pH*	EC ds/m	Anions				Cations			
		Sat.extmeq/l.							
		Cl <sup>-</sup>	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>
8.20	1.90	8.4	nd	3.95	6.67	10.1	0.16	3.56	5.2

### Calculation of DRIS indices:

The DRIS indices were calculated by using the following index equations by Bailey *et al*<sup>13</sup>:-

$$N\text{- Index} = \frac{-f(P/N) - f(K/N)}{n}$$

$$P\text{-Index} = \frac{f(P/N) + f(P/K)}{n}$$

$$K\text{- Index} = \frac{f(K/N) - f(P/K)}{n}$$

where

$$f(A/B) = 1000 [(A/B)/(a/b) - 1]/CV \text{ when } A/B > a/b$$

or

$$f(A/B) = 1000 [(1 - (a/b)/(A/B))/CV] \text{ when } a/b > A/B$$

in which A/B is the value of the ratio of the two nutrients (N, P, K) in the straw of peanut, and a/b is the value of corresponding norm, n is the number of function, and CV is the coefficient of variation associated with each nutrient ratio norm. The Nutritional Balance Index (NBI) was calculated by summing the value in module of the index generated in sample. This NBI may be useful to indicate the nutritional status of the plant. The higher NBI is the greater the nutritional imbalance.<sup>3</sup>

## Results and Discussion

Regarding the response of growth and yield, obtained data (Table, 3) indicated that increasing soil salinity levels from 6.3 to 11.8 dSm<sup>-1</sup> impacted negatively on all the characteristics of growth and yield. Plant height and number of branches/plant were decreased from 33.7 to 15.7 cm and 7.5 to 3.83, respectively. This decreasing in the value of each of plant height and number of branches/plant by was by 53.4 and 48.9 %, respectively. As well as all parameters of yield decreased when soil salinity increased. While the large decline in both straw and grain, which fell from 442.9 to 231.7 and 415.2 to 192.7 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, equivalent to a decline of 47.7 and 53.6 % respectively. Memon *et al*<sup>14</sup> showed that plant height, fresh and dry weight of shoot and leaf area of bean plants decreased when soil salinity increased. Abdul Qados<sup>15</sup> indicated that under low rate of salinity, bean plants height were increased. In contrast, when soil salinity was increased; bean plants height was decreased. Previous studies have shown that the effect of encouraging low level of salinity on plant height due to low levels of salinity may induce osmotic adjustment activity in the plants which may amend growth. On the other hand, the decrease of height plant under high levels of salinity could be due to the negative effect of salinity on the rate of photosynthesis, the changes in enzyme activity and also the decrease in the concentration of carbohydrates, which can lead to inhibition of the growth<sup>16,17</sup>.

Mensah *et al*<sup>18</sup> reported that under high saline conditions, peanut yield was decreased because adverse effects of salinity on water content, total dry weight, plant height and number of leaves, As well as salinity inhibits plant growth by exerting low water potentials, ion toxicity and ion imbalance. Ali *et al*<sup>19</sup> showed that inhibitory effect of salinity on the yield due to nutritional imbalance, which leading to reduction in photosynthetic efficiency.

**Table 3: Effect of different soil salinity on growth and yield of peanut plants.**

Salinity soil dSm <sup>-1</sup>	Growth		Yield			
	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/plant	Straw	Grain	Oil	Protein
			Kg fed <sup>-1</sup>		%	
6.3	33.7	7.50	442.9	415.2	42.1	23.1
7.6	30.5	6.49	343.2	386.3	40.6	22.95
8.8	24.7	6.16	321.7	278.1	40.0	22.1
9.4	21.7	5.33	268.4	251.7	39.9	21.7
10.6	20.8	4.67	232.6	211.9	38.8	20.3
11.8	15.7	3.83	231.7	192.7	37.4	19.85
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	1.07	0.60	7.3	11.8	0.25	0.13

Regarding the response of content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and its relationship with nutrient balance obtained data (Table, 4) indicated that increasing soil salinity levels from 6.3 to 11.8 dSm<sup>-1</sup> lead to decreasing on N and P content of straw, while K content of straw was increased. Nutrient indices confirmed that, it was described the decrease or increase in the nutrients content of plant. Under high rate of soil salinity (11.8 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) was high shortage on N and P content, This showed the value of each of N and P index (-14.42 and -20.092, respectively). These decreasing in N and P content lead to decreasing in grain yield because to importance both of N and P of growth and yield of all plants. The nutritional imbalance between the three nutrients (N, P and K) led to the decline in grain yield of peanut despite the increase in plant content and nutrient index of potassium. This attributed to under high levels of soil salinity could be K content in plants membranes increased to equal to the excess of sodium ions. Under saline condition, N accumulation reduced in plant, due to increase chloride uptake and accumulation is mostly accompanied by a decrease in nitrate concentration of plant tissues<sup>20</sup>. Hirpara *et al*<sup>21</sup> showed that increasing K content of plant under saline conditions due to increasing Na uptake of plants.

**Table 4: Effect of soil salinity on N, P and K content and nutrient indices and impact on grain yield of peanut.**

Salinity soil dSm <sup>-1</sup>	Nutrients content			Nutrient indices			NBI*	Grain yield kg fed <sup>-1</sup>
	N	P	K	N	P	K		
6.3	2.75	0.36	1.96	-3.365	-9.707	13.072	26.14	415.2
7.6	2.72	0.34	2.00	4.147-	-10.908	15.055	30.11	386.3
8.8	2.65	0.28	2.05	-7.798	-13.336	21.134	42.27	278.1
9.4	2.60	0.26	2.06	-10.20	-17.771	27.971	55.94	251.7
10.6	2.48	0.25	2.12	-12.70	-19.539	32.239	64.48	211.9
11.8	2.45	0.22	2.12	-14.42	-20.092	34.512	69.02	192.7

\* NBI = Nutrient Balance Index

Diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS) is claimed to have certain advantages over other conventional interpretation tools<sup>22</sup>. DRIS has been used successfully to interpret the results of foliar analyses for a wide range of crops such as forage grass<sup>23</sup>, pepper<sup>24</sup>, peanut<sup>25</sup> and lettuce<sup>26</sup>.

#### References:

- Reda, M. Soils of El-Salam Canal basin. El Salam canal development, symposium, El-Arish, Egypt, 2000.
- Nawar, S. Mapping Units of Some Soil of El-Salm Canal Basin Using Geographic Information Systems (GIS). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Suez Canal Univ., Egypt, 2009.
- Beaufils E.R. Diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS). Soil Science Bulletin I. Pietermaritzburg, South Africa: University of Natal, 1973.
- Singh, N.P., R. P. Awasthi and A. Sud. Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) norms for apple *Malus × Domestica* Borkh. L. CV. Starking Delicious in Himachal Pradesh. Indian J. Hortic., 2000, 53: 196-204.
- Walworth J. L. and M. W. Sumner. The diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS). Advances in Soil Science, 1987, 6: 150-188.
- Baldock, J. O. and E. E. Schulte. Plant analysis with standardized scores combines DRIS and sufficiency range approaches for corn. Agron. J., Madison, 1996, 88, 448-456.
- Bhaduri, D. and S. Pal. Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS): Concepts and applications on nutritional diagnosis of plants. J. of Soil and Water Conservation. 2013, 12: 70-79.
- Jackson M. I. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of Indian private limited, New Delhi, India, 1973.
- Soltanpour P.N. and A.P. Schwab. A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro- and micronutrients in alkaline soils. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 1977, 8: 195-207.
- Cotteine, A. Soil Management for Conservation and Production. New York, 1980, pp. 245-250.
- Chapman, H.D. and R. E. Pratt. Methods of analysis for soil, Plants and Water. Dept. of Soil, Plant Nutrition, Univ. of California. U.S.A., 1961.

12. Page A .L., Miller R.H. and D. R. Keeney. Methods of soil analysis .Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological properties 2nd ed . Amer. Soc. of Agron., pp. 411-414 and 421-422. Inc. Soil Sci. Society of America, Inc. Publ. ,Madis. , Wisc., USA., 1982.
13. Bailey J. S., Beattie J.A.M. and D.J. Kilpatrick. The Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) for diagnosing the nutrient status of grassland swards: I. Model establishment. *Plant and Soil*, 1997, 197: 127-135.
14. Memon, S.A., X. Hou and L. J.Wang.Morphological analysis of salt stress response of pak.Choi.EJEAFChe, 2010, 9 : 248–254.
15. Amira M.S. Abdul Qados. Effect of salt stress on plant growth and metabolism of bean plant *Vicia faba* (L.).*Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 2011, 10: 7–15.
16. Taffouo, V.D., J. K. Kouamou, L. M. T. Ngalangue, B. A. N. Ndjedji and A. Akoa. Effects of salinity stress on growth, ions partitioning and yield of some cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.)cultivars. *Int. J. Bot.*, 2009, 5: 135–143.
17. Kapoor, K. and A. Srivastava.Assessment of salinity tolerance of *Vingamungo* var. Pu-19 using ex vitro and in vitro methods. *Asian J. Biotechnol*, 2010, 2 : 73–85.
18. Mensah J. K., P. A. Akomeah, B. Ikhajiagbe and E. O. Ekpekurede.Effects of salinity on germination, growth and yield of five groundnut genotypes.*African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2006, 5 : 1973-1979.
19. Ali Y., Z. Aslam, M. Y. Ashraf and G. R. Tahir.Effect of salinity on chlorophyll concentration, leaf area, yield and yield components of rice genotypes grown under saline environment. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 2004, 1: 221-225.
20. Garg; B.K. and I.C. Gupta. *Saline Wastelands Environment and Plant Growth*. Jodhpur: Scientific Publishers, 1997.
21. Hirpara K. D., P. J. Ramoliya, A. D. Patel and A. N. Pandey. Effect of salinization of soil on growth and macro- and micro-nutrient accumulation in seedlings of *Butea monosperma* (Fabaceae).*Anales de Biología*, 2005, 27: 3-14.
22. Li J., X. X. Li, J. C. Peng and G. Q. Zhuang. Effect of five mineral elements on nutritional status of Navel orange tree. *Journal of Hunan Agriculture University*, 1999, 25: 36–39.
23. Bailey, J. S.; R. A. Dills, R. H. Foy and D. Patterson (2000). The Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) for diagnosing the nutrient status of grassland swards: III. Practical applications. *Plant Soil*, 222: 255-262.
24. Abd El-Rheem Kh. M., Khaled, S.M. and Sahar M. Zaghoul. Preliminary DRIS Norms for Evaluating the Nutritional Statue of Sweet Pepper Crop. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 2012, 6: 661-664.
25. Abd El-Rheem Kh. M. and Youssef, R. A. Using Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) to Determine Nutrient Norms for Peanut Crop. *Life Sci. J.*, 2013, 10:2922-2925.
26. Youssef, R. A; Abd El-Rheem Kh. M. and Shaymaa I. Shedeed. Use Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) Model to Diagnose For Nutrient Balance and Productivity of Lettuce Plants under Fertigation with Different Potassium Fertilizer Sources. *Life Sci.J.* 2014,11:34-38.

\*\*\*\*\*