



International Journal of ChemTech Research CODEN(USA): IJCRGG ISSN: 0974-4290 Vol.5, No.4, pp 1575-1577, April-June 2013

Ammonium Sulphamate Catalyzed Friedlander Synthesis of 1,8-Naphthyridines in the Solid State

K. Mogilaiah*, K. Jagadeeshwar and K. Dhanaja

Department of Chemistry, Kakatiya University, Warangal 506 009, India

*Corre. Author : kaleru. mogilaiah@gmail.com Mobile No: 09963353295

Abstract: Ammonium sulphamate (NH₂SO₃NH₄) catalyses the efficient Friedlander condensation of 2-aminonicotinaldehyde **1** with various carbonyl compounds containing -methylene group **2** in the solid state to afford the corresponding 1,8-naphthyridines **3.** The reaction proceeds efficiently at room temperature in high yields and in a state of excellent purity.

Keywords: Friedlander synthesis, 2-aminonicotinaldehyde, carbonyl compounds containing -methylene group, 1,8-naphthyridines, Ammonium sulphamate (NH₂SO₃NH₄), solid state.

INTRODUCTION

Friedlander synthesis is an acid or base catalyzed condensation followed by a cyclodehydration between an aromatic 2-aminoaldehyde or ketone with the carbonyl compound containing a reactive α -methylene group. 2-Aminonicotinaldehyde condense readily with active methylene compounds in the presence of base and acid catalysts to give 1,8-naphthyridines. However, most of these methods require high temperature, longer reaction times and give products in unsatisfactory yields. Therefore, it is important to develop a simple and environmentally safe solvent-free method to synthesize 1,8-naphthyridine derivatives. Solid state reaction without using harmful organic solvents is of great interest especially in relation to environmental concerns today. So, the grinding method has increasingly been used in organic synthesis in recent years. Compared to traditional methods, many organic reactions occure more effficiently in the solid state than in solution and in some cases even more selectively. Furthermore, the solid state reaction has many advantages: reduction pollution, low costs and simplicity in process and handling 3,4. In view of these facts, and in continuation of the interest on solid state organic reactions $^{5-7}$ herein is reported a convenient, practical and efficient Friedlander synthesis of 1,8-naphthyridines using $NH_2SO_3NH_4$ as catalyst under solid state grinding conditions at RT.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Friedlander condensation of 2-aminonicotinal dehyde $\mathbf{1}$ with various carbonyl compounds containing α -methylene group $\mathbf{2}$ in the presence of NH₂SO₃NH₄ in the solid state at RT afforded the corresponding 1,8-naphthyridines $\mathbf{3}$ (Scheme-1). The reaction is clean and efficient. The products are obtained in very good yields and in a state of high purity. The experimental procedure is very simple. The process is environmentally benign.

In a typical case, an equimolar mixture of **1**, acetoacetanilide **2a** ($R = CH_3$; $Ar = C_6H_5NH$) and $NH_2SO_3NH_4$ was ground in a mortar by pestle at RT for 5.0 min. The reaction mixture was treated with cold water followed by simple processing afforded 2-methyl-*N*-phenyl-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide **3a** ($R = CH_3$; $Ar = C_6H_5NH$) in 92% yield. The generality of this facile condensation was established by condensing other active methylene

compounds (**2b-1**) with **1** in the presence of $NH_2SO_3NH_4$ under solid state grinding conditions to get the corresponding 1,8-naphthyridines (**3b-1**). The results are summarized in (Table-1). The compounds obtained were characterized by spectroscopic (IR and 1H NMR) methods and finally by comparison with authentic samples $^{8-10}$.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the use of NH₂SO₃NH₄ as catalyst in the Friedlander synthesis of 1,8-naphthyridines under solid state conditions.

In conclusion, the present investigation under solid state conditions offers a convenient and alternative method for the Friedlander synthesis of 1,8-naphthyridines where the reaction is rapid, the yields are very good, the procedure is simple and employs only nontoxic and inexpensive catalyst.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were determined on a Cintex melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The purity of the compounds was checked using precoated TLC plates (Merk, 60F-254). IR spectra (KBr, cm⁻¹) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer spectrum BX series FT-IR spectrophotometer and ¹ H NMR spectra on Varian Gemini 200 MHz spectrometer using TMS as internal standard.

SCHEME-1

Table 1. 1,8-Naphthyridines (3a-l)

Compd	R	Ar	Reaction time	Yield	M.p. °C	
			(min)	(%)	Found	Reported
3a	CH_3	C_6H_5NH	5.0	92	215	215 ⁸
3b	CH_3	p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ NH	6.5	93	171	170^{8}
3c	CH_3	p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ NH	8.0	90	148	150^{8}
3d	CH_3	o-ClC ₆ H ₄ NH	7.0	92	150	150^{8}
3e	CH_3	p-ClC ₆ H ₄ NH	6.0	95	206	205^{8}
3f	C_6H_5	C_6H_5NH	7.0	90	280	280^{10}
3g	C_6H_5	p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ NH	7.0	92	279	278 ¹⁰
3h	C_6H_5	p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ NH	9.5	90	220	218 ¹⁰
3i	C_6H_5	o-ClC ₆ H ₄ NH	8.0	91	276	277^{10}
3j	C_6H_5	p-ClC ₆ H ₄ NH	7.0	93	200	20110
3k	CH ₃	C_6H_5	4.5	92	142	143 ⁹
<u>3l</u>	C_6H_5	C_6H_5	6.0	90	160	160 ⁹

GENERAL METHOD:

General procedure for the synthesis of 1,8-naphthyridines 3.

A mixture of 2-aminonicotinal dehyde **1** (0.01 mol), active methylene compound **2** (0.01 mol) and NH₂SO₃NH₄ (0.01 mol) was ground by pestle and mortar at RT for the period indicated in Table-1. On completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was treated with cold water. The solid separated was filtered, washed with water and recrystallized from appropriate solvent to furnish (**3a-l**) (Table-1).

N3-phenyl-2-methyl[1,8]naphthyridine-3-carboxamide 3a

IR (KBr): 3248 (NH), 1679 (C=O),1602 cm⁻¹ (C=N); 1 H NMR (DMSO- d_{6}) : 2.92 (s, 3H, CH₃), 8.32 (m, 2H, C₄-H, C₅-H), 9.10 (m, 1H, C₇-H) 7.03-7.82 (m, 6H, C₆-H , 5Ar-H), 10.38 (s, 1H, NH).

N3,2-diphenyl [1,8]naphthyridine-3-carboxamide 3f

IR (KBr): 3200 (NH), 1655 (C=O),1600 cm⁻¹ (C=N); 1 H NMR (DMSO- d_{6}): 8.10 (s, 1H, C₄-H), 8.65 (m, 1H, C₅-H), 7.86 (m, 1H, C₆-H) 9.16 (m, 1H, C₇-H) 6.97-7.78 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 10.25 (s, 1H, NH).

(2-methyl[1,8]naphthyridin-3-yl)(phenyl)methanone 3k

IR (KBr): 1656 (C=O), 1600 cm⁻¹ (C=N); ¹ H NMR (DMSO- d_6): 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 8.45 (m, 2H, C₄-H C₅-H), 7.93 (m, 1H, C₆-H) 9.00 (m, 1H, C₇-H), 6.98-7.52 (m, 5H, Ar-H).

phenyl(2-phenyl[1,8]naphthyridin-3-yl)methanone 3l

IR (KBr): 1654 (C=O), 1602 cm⁻¹ (C=N); ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6): 7.92 (s, 1H, C₄-H), 8.35 (m, 1H, C₅-H), 9.12 (m, 1H, C₇-H) 6.93-7.62 (m, 11H, C₆-H, 10Ar-H).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Director, IICT, Hyderabad for providing ¹H NMR spectra. One of them (KJ) is grateful to UGC, New Delhi for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- 1 Hawes E. M. and Wibberley D. G, J Chem Soc (C), (1966), 315.
- 2 Thummel R. P. and Kohli D. K, J Heterocyclic Chem, 14 (1977), 685.
- 3 Toda F, Synlett (Account), (1993), 303.
- 4 Tanaka K. and Toda F, Chem Rev, 100 (2000), 1025.
- 5 Mogilaiah K, Vidya K. and Kumara Swamy T, Indian J Chem, 48B (2009), 599.
- 6 Mogilaiah K, Shiva Kumar K, Kumar Swamy J. and Vinay Chandra A, Indian J Chem, 49B (2010), 840.
- 7 Mogilaiah K, Shiva Kumar K. and Shiva Prasad R, Indian J Chem, 50B (2011), 126.
- 8 Reddy K. R, Mogilaiah K. and Sreenivasulu B, J Indian Chem Soc, 64(1987),193.
- 9 Rao G. R, Mogilaiah K, Reddy K. R. and Sreenivasulu B, Indian J Chem, 27B (1988), 200.
- 10 Rao G. R, Mogilaiah K. and Sreenivasulu B, Indian J Chem, 35B(1996), 339.
