Geotechnical Properties of Black Cotton Soil Stabilized with Fly Ash and Geogrids
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Abstract: Geotechnical properties of black cotton soil may need to be improved by stabilization to make such soils suitable for construction of highway pavements. Stabilization of such soils has been traditionally depend on treatment with lime, cement, chemicals and waste materials, etc. fly ash is a waste material obtained from thermal power stations. This paper presents the results of stabilization of local black cotton soil with fly ash and geogrid. Series of laboratory tests have been conducted with varying percentage of these stabilizers, added individually with and without geogrids, to determine their optimum percentages. From the results, it is observed that CBR value, for both soaked and unsoaked conditions, increases substantially by addition of 25% of fly ash with double layer of geogrids. The pavement designed with these improved values of CBR indicated a marked reduction in its thickness leading to economy in the construction of road pavements on or using clayey soils.
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Introduction

Highway pavement construction requires good earth materials in very large quantity. In urban areas, borrowing earth is not easy, as it has to be hauled from a long distance. Quite often, Coimbatore in Tamilnadu is largely covered with highly plastic and expansive soil, which is not suitable to be used as earth material. Extensive laboratory and field studies have been carried out by various researchers Prasad, DSV & College (2011); Singh et al. (2011); Boominathan and Rathnakumar 1996) and found significant increase in properties of soil for application of such expansive soil after stabilization.

Expansive soils are those that change significantly in volume with changes in water content. The problem with expansive soils has been recorded all over the world. In monsoon they absorb water and swell, where as in summer they shrink on evaporation of water. This alternate swelling and shrinkage severely damages lightly loaded structures like residential buildings, pavements and canal linings. Predominant forms of damages such as cracks, upliftment and settlement are common. It is therefore, necessary to mitigate these
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problems posed by expansive soils and prevent damages of structures. This affects the service of structures and pavements resulting in increased maintenance cost for damages. The need for adequate provisions of transportation facilities are enormously increasing with increase in population and expansion of the city. The available soil in the city is not useful for subgrade preparation. Non-swelling, low plastic soils are transported from faraway places and are used for subgrade preparation. However, this is a temporary process. The quantity of soil required is very high. The demand for non-swelling soil can be solved by converting this high swelling soil into a non-swelling and improved soil. Owing to this fact, continuous researches have been carried and still being carried out by individual, firms and institutions on ways to improve the engineering properties of soils. Most of the available soils do not have adequate engineering properties to really bear the expected wheel load. So improvisations have to be made to make these soils better. This lead to the concept called soil stabilization which can be any treatment (including, compaction) applied to a soil to improve its strength and reduce its vulnerability to water. If the treated soil is able to withstand the stresses imposed on it by traffic under all weather conditions without excessive deformation, then it is generally regarded as stable (Rifa et al., Eka Putri et al. 2012, Osinubi & Eberemu 2010, Moses & Osinubi, 2013, Sharma et al. 2012, Sabat 2012, Taskiran 2010, Trivedia et al 2013, Mukesh A. patel, HS Patel, 2013, BIS 2720).

Material and Methods

Methodology

Methodology mainly consists of three parts. Part 1 includes identification of problems in construction of roads in black cotton soil, review of literatures, collection of clay, flyash and geogrids. Part 2 and part 3 are laboratory works which are mainly focused on determination of index and engineering, properties of soil with geogrid and flyash mixture (BIS 2720). Methodology is graphically represented by figure 1.
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Materials

Collection of Clay

Clay for this research work is collected from GCT Campus, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu state, India. The locations are 11.0362N, 77.01830E. The soil sample was present at depth of 5 feet from ground level.
Fly ash and Geogrid

Industrial waste such as fly ash (Figure 2) was collected from Mettur Thermal Power Station at Mettur and Geogrid (Figure 3) was collected from IVRCL private limited at Avinashi highway project, Avinashi.
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Laboratory Investigation

Table 1 Properties of Clay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Natural Moisture Content</td>
<td>9.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sieve Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Gravel</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of sand</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Silt</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Clay</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Differential Free Swell Index</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Liquid Limit ( (W_L) )</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plastic Limit ( (W_P) )</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrinkage Limit ( (W_S) )</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow Index ( (I_f) )</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plasticity Index ( (I_p) )</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toughness Index ( (I_t) )</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquidity Index ( (I_L) )</td>
<td>-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consistency Index ( (I_C) )</td>
<td>117.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil Classification</td>
<td>CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activity ( (A) )</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Optimum Moisture Content</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Dry Density</td>
<td>1.7 g/cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Unconfined Compressive Strength ( (q_u) )</td>
<td>92.5 kN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cohesion ( (C_u) )</td>
<td>46.25 kN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CBR unsoaked</td>
<td>13.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBR soaked</td>
<td>2.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laboratory tests are conducted in the laboratory with the collected soil sample to classify the clay, to evaluate its physical and engineering properties and study the compaction characteristics. Standard Proctor Compaction Test, Unconfined Compressive Strength Test, CBR (unsoaked and soaked) tests are conducted on samples with 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% addition of fly ash with single and double layer of geogrid combinations. The Standard Proctor Compaction tests are conducted on the sample to evaluate the Optimum Moisture Content and Maximum dry density of soils. Unconfined Compressive Strength tests are conducted to evaluate the unconfined compressive strength and cohesion. The CBR value for the virgin soil is obtained. Results are analyzed and conclusions are made based on the results (BIS 2720). The results are reported in Experimental Study.

Experimental Study

Experimental study elaborates on various engineering properties of soil such as natural moisture content, specific gravity, liquid limit, plastic limit, shrinkage limit, particle size distribution, Optimum Moisture Content, Maximum Dry Density, Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS), California Bearing Ratio (CBR), free swell Index etc (Taskiran 2010, Trivedia et al 2013, Mukesh A. patel, HS Patel, 2013, BIS 2720).

Compaction Test

Standard Proctor Compaction tests are conducted on soil samples with addition of coal ash in proportions of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% of the weight of soil samples with single and double layer of geogrids (Figure 4). The variation of Maximum Dry Density and Optimum Moisture Content is recorded (Taskiran 2010, Trivedia et al 2013, Mukesh A. patel, HS Patel, 2013, BIS 2720).

Unconfined Compressive Strength Test

Unconfined Compressive Strength tests are conducted on soil samples with addition of coal ash in proportions of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% of the weight of soil samples. The variation of unconfined compressive strength is shown in figure 5-8 (Taskiran 2010, Trivedia et al 2013, Mukesh A. patel, HS Patel, 2013, BIS 2720).
California Bearing Ratio Test

California bearing ratio tests are conducted in order to determine the California bearing ratio value for soil with optimum percent of fly ash. The samples are compacted at optimum moisture content for both unsoaked and soaked test with single and double layer of geogrid (Figure 9).
Conclusions

Based on the experimental investigations on stabilization of clay, the following conclusions are drawn.

1. The initial laboratory investigations showed that collected clay soil sample is solid, stiff and has high compressibility.
2. The optimum percentage replacement of fly ash is found to be 25% with double layer of geogrid.
3. The results improved at 25% replacement are as follows – The liquid limit, plasticity index decreases and maximum dry density shows a significant change.
4. The optimum moisture content increases with increase in fly ash replacement.
5. The unconfined compressive strength of untreated soil is 92.5 KN/m$^2$. The addition of fly ash has increased the UCC strength to 211.48 KN/m$^2$ at 25% fly ash with double layer of geogrid.
6. The blend suggested from this investigation is clay with 25% replacement by fly ash with double layer of geogrid, would be an economical approach.
7. Furthermore if the soil reinforcement is provided, there will be more perfection in properties of expansive soil.
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