

# **International Journal of ChemTech Research**

CODEN (USA): IJCRGG, ISSN: 0974-4290, ISSN(Online):2455-9555 Vol.10 No.9, pp 988-993, 2017

ChemTech

## Co-digestion of Water Hyacinth *(Eichhornia crassipes)* mixed with Cow Manureto enhance Biogas Production

## Muhammad Shalahuddin Rahmansyah<sup>1</sup>,Susi A. Wilujeng<sup>1</sup>, Warmadewanthi<sup>1</sup>and EllinaS. Pandebesie<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departement of Environmental Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya 60111, Indonesia

**Abstract :** The objectives of the study was to determine composition ofwater hyacinth and cow manure, and pretreatment of water hyacinth effect on digestion to produce biogas. The batch experiment were carried out by mixed water hyacinth and cow manure with ratio 90:10; 70:30 and 50:50. Water hyacinth pretreatment prepared by heatingin 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 60 minute at 100°C. Experiment set for water hyacinth pretreatment and non pretreatment mixed with cow manure by using anaerobic digester. Research conducted for 30 days. The results of this study showed that pretreatment with a composition of 70:30% (w/w) water hyacinth and cow manure produced the highest volume of biogas as 395 mL, and without pretreatment with a composition of 70:30% (w/w) is 15.56% and the highest points of methane gas produced without pretreatment on the composition of 70:30% (w/w) is 11.48%, which accieved on 30<sup>th</sup> day **Keywords :** anaerobic, cow manure, digestion, pretreatment, water hyacinth.

## Introduction

The growth of water hyacinth is influenced by the nutrients in a body of water, especially nitrogen, phosphate and potassium. Water hyacinth is able to reproduce two times faster asexually and may reach an half hectare within eight months<sup>1</sup>. The impact that can be caused by water hyacinth, among others: (1) decreaseslight penetration on water body, sooxygen concentration decreases, (2) reduce the aesthetic value of the aquatic environment, and (3) the water hyacinth that have died willcome down to the bottom of water body and cause sedimentation<sup>2</sup>. The rapid growth of water hyacinth can be overcome by using it as raw material for biogas production.

Anaerobic bacteria naturally degradedwater hyacinth into biogas through a long process, because it needs to break lignocellulose before the next process<sup>3</sup>. And thenorganic compounds are degraded into biogas through four processes: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis<sup>4</sup>. Pretreatmentby using dilute acid and high temperature can break the chain of lignocellulose to release cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin from its bond<sup>5,6</sup>. The temperature and duration of pretreatment has a significant role on both the amount of lignin removed from the biomass and the amount of digestible cellulose and hemi- cellulose that are retained<sup>7</sup>. Co-digestion of two or more types of organic materials is expected to overcome the disadvantages of a single material<sup>4</sup>, such as material with low C/N ratio as Water hyacinth.Cow manure is potentially used as a mixture of water hyacinth in the biogas formation process, as it has a high enough of C/N ratio, abundantly and readily available. Mixing between Water Hyacinth and cow manure is expected to increase the value of C/N

ratio to near the properly C/N ratio for anaerobic digestion process. The achievement of C/N ratio ranged between 25-30 is expected to establish optimum biogas produced<sup>8,9</sup>. However, recent studies have shown that composting can be carried out effectively at a lower C/N of 15<sup>10</sup>. The Objectives of this study were: (1)to determine the optimum composition of water hyacinth and cow manure to produce maximum volume of biogas, and (2)to compare the amount of gas produced in the reactor withpretreatment and without pretreatment.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Water hyacinth taken from watersource around Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS)campus and cow manure that is used taken from the slaughterhouse of Pegirian Surabaya. The preparation of raw materials is done by separating the water hyacinth leaves and stems from the roots, and then washed andblended. Water hyacinth pretreatment is done by heatingin 5%  $H_2SO_4$  for 60 minute at 100°C. The purpose of pretreatment was to breakthe bonds of lignocellulose into hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin. After pretreatment, water hyacinth pH was neutralized by using NaOH until the pH becomes neutral. Hydrolysis stage can reduce the cellulose into glucose, and hemicellulose into glucose, mannose, xylose, and arabinose<sup>11</sup>.

C-organic content, N-Total, and C/N ratio of raw materials are presented in Table 1.The experiments wasconducted by mixing water hyacinth and cow manure, pour into a reactor, and then diluted with water until it reached 5.5 L volume and total solid concentration 10%.Atotal mixture added was 770 gram wet weight.The ratio of water hyacinth to cow manure were 90:10; 70:30 and 50:50 (% w/w).

Measurement of gas production volume is done every day, for 30 days.Biogas volume measured by calculated increase water level in the manometer. The concentration of methane in the biogas was measured on days 15 and 30.Measurement of methane concentration in the biogas using Gas Chromatography (GC) Hewlett Packard (HP-series 6890).The variables used in this study were composition and pretreatment.

#### **Results and Discussions**

#### **Raw materials characteristic**

C-organic and N-total concentrasions have an effect on the process of biogas formation. Excessive Corganic concentration can decrease the production of the formed gas, and if the N-organic content is too high it can slow the work of microorganisms in the biogas formation process<sup>11</sup>. Table 1 shows the characteristics of raw materials, where water hyacinth has a high N content and cow manure has a high C content. Therefore, mixing of two materials produced a C/N ratio suitable for microorganism life, so the digestion process can work properly<sup>12</sup>.

Parameter	Water hyacinth	Cow manure
Water content (%)	90.3	87.5
Mass Density (mg/mL)	1.41	1.37
C organic (mg/L)	46.23	53.17
N Total (mg/L)	4.46	2.83
C/N ratio	10.6	18.79

#### Table 1.Raw materials characteristic

Table 2 showed the content of hemicellulose and cellulose in water hyacinth, which can be utilized as a source of energy. It It will be broken down into polysaccharides. Polysaccharides are long polymers of sugar groups that need special treatment, to form reducing sugar. Reducing sugar utilized by microorganisms as a carbon source to form biogas.

Parameters	<b>Content</b> (%) <sup>a</sup>	<b>Content</b> (%) <sup>b</sup>	<b>Content</b> (%) <sup>c</sup>
Cellulose	34.2	27.0	23.31
Hemicellulose	27.0	20.3	22.11
$a = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 a\right) \frac{1}{2} \left(1 a\right)$	6(1.4)		

#### Table 2 Water Hyacinth Content

Sources:<sup>a</sup>(13); <sup>b</sup>(3); <sup>c</sup>(14)

#### Pretreatment effect on the biogas production

Pretreatment intended to break lignocellulose, and then hydrolyzedhemicellulose and celluloce is known to increase the production of  $CH_4^{3,5}$ . Somehow in this study, reactors with pretreatment produced the volume of biogas is lower than without pretreatment. Table 3 shown the highest cumulative gas volume and methane levels of biogas generated by a mixture of water hyacinth and cow manure 70:30 (%w/w) without pretreatment (A<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>). The cumulative biogas volume produced at reactor A<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub> was 438.43 mL. The cumulative gas volume produced in the same mixture without pretreatment at reactor (A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>)was 394.91mL.

Treatment	Reactor	Composition	Volume (mL)
Pretreatment	$A_1B_1$	90:10	392.59
	$A_2B_1$	70:30	394.91
	$A_3B_1$	50:50	382.36
Non Ptertratment	$A_1C_1$	90:10	406.04
	$A_2C_1$	70:30	438.43
	$A_3C_1$	50:50	428.99

Table 3. Cumulatif Volume of Biogas

Decrease the volume of the biogas produced with pretreatment are influenced by specific ion in the substrate and toxic to microorganism. For examplea compound with excessive concentrations of ions, such as Na<sup>+</sup> and Ca<sup>+</sup>> 8,000 mg/L. Meanwhile, Cu, Cr, Ni and Zn in low concentrations can be toxic to life anaerobic bacteria<sup>15</sup>. In the neutralization process, addition of NaOH required as much as  $\pm$  150.3g to each reactor. It means each liter contains 15,713.18mg of ion Na<sup>+</sup>. The content of Na<sup>+</sup> greater than 8,000 mg/L, where this concentration could inhibit microorganisms growth.

Glucoside bond hydrolysis resulting in dissolution of the cellulose in the initiation by the presence of water. Increased reducing sugar is expected to increase the produced gas. Advantages pretreatment method, and then hydrolysis are produced reducing sugar can be directly utilized by methanogenic bacteria. Methanogenic bacteria are added via cow manure to utilize reducing sugar. In this research, pretreatment has no effect on increasing the formation of biogas.

#### Raw materials composition effect on biogas production

Reactor without pretreatment  $(A_2C_1)$  is generated the highest biogas production on composition of 70:30% (w/w), which produced 438 mL of biogas. This composition same to reactor with pretreatment  $(A_2B_1)$ , which produced the highest biogas volume of 394.9 mL. The cumulative volume of biogas produced without treatment reactor  $(A_2C_1)$  is greater than 9.8% of the rector with pretreatment  $(A_2B_1)$ . Fig. 1 shows the production of cumulative biogas generate by pretreatment smaller than without pretreatment for all composition.



Figure 1. Cumulative Biogas Volume: (a) Composition 90:10; (b)Composition 70:30; (c) Composition 50:50

At the beginning of the process until the fifteenth day, biogas has not been detected in reactors  $A_1B_1$  and  $A_1C_1$ . Then from the fifteenth day biogas began to be produced until the end of the research on the thirtieth day. The rest of reactors produced biogas started on 9 days. This shows that the microorganisms in eachreactors are still in the acclimation stage. After biogas is formed, biogas production increases gradually and reached a stable condition at day 18of the reactors  $A_1B_1$  and  $A_1C_1$ , and is stabled at day 16 on other reactors. At the end of the experiment, biogas is still formed although the volume has started to decrease slowly.Based on Figure 1 and Table 3, raw materials composition did not produce cumulative biogas volume differed significantly.

#### The concentration of methane in the biogas produced

Concentration of methane is an important factor in this study. Predicted in the pretreatment reactor produces the highest methane concentrations caused by reduction of the sugar content is more numerous in the pretreatment reactor. Hydrolysis can reduce levels of cellulose and increase levels of reducing sugars<sup>3</sup>. Table 4 shows the concentration of the biogas produced. The quality of biogas is assessed from the methane content obtained. In this research reactor with pretreatment able to produce gas volume between 380-392 mL and the percentage of methane gas produced ranged between 15-18%. In the reactor without pretreatment treatment produced gas volume ranged between 400-438 mL and the percentage of methane gas of 10-17.5%.

Treatment	Reactor	composition	Methane (%)	
			15 days	30 days
Pretreatment	$A_1B_1$	90:10	0.037	18.314
	$A_2B_1$	70:30	0.021	15.581
	$A_3B_1$	50:50	0.026	16.992
Non Pretreatment	$A_1C_1$	90:10	0.376	10.942
	$A_2C_1$	70:30	0.430	11.909
	$A_3C_1$	50:50	0.776	17.511

Table 4. Gas concentrationin each composition

The highest concentration of biogas generated by pretreatment reactor ona composition of 90:10% (w/w) produced 18.3% of biogas ( $A_1B_1$ ). The volume of gas produced in the biogas formation can not be a determinant of the quality of biogas concentration. Biogas concentration much influenced by pH and temperature<sup>15</sup>. This may be the case even though the 90:10 composition of the reactor  $A_1B_1$  did not produce the largest biogas volume, but can result in the greatest methane concentration. Beside as described above, the addition of Na<sup>+</sup> to neutralize the pH may affect the performance of microorganisms.

Theoretically if the degradation of water hyacinth maximum, or the entire volatile organic components completely degraded into  $CH_4$ , and  $CO_2$  usually $CH_4$ concentration range 50-75% dan  $CO_2$  concentration range 25-50% <sup>16</sup>. The highest biogas volumeproduced in 30 days, whereas it only produced18.3%. Therefore, to obtain maximum results, the processing time required is more longer. To shorten the processing time can be engineered by adding appropriate microorganisms at the beginning of the process, so that microorganisms do not require acclimation time.

## Conclusions

The highest cumulative gas volume and methane levels of biogas generated by a mixture of water hyacinth and cow manure 70:30 without pretreatment. The highest cumulative volume produced in the reactor  $A_2C_1$  amounted to 438.43 mL and methane percentage of 11,9%. Composition of raw material did not effect on biogas volume, but effect on methan concentration.

### Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thankMinistry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia, funding with contract No.: 01703/IT3.11/PN.08/2016,Februari 17, 2016.

### References

- 1. Gunnarsson C. C, Petersen C. M. Water Hyacinth as a Resource in Agriculture and Ecergy Production. Waste Management, 2007, 27(1): 117-129.
- 2. Lowe S, Browne M, Boudjelas S, De Pooeter M. 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien Species, A selection from the Global Invasive Spesies Database. 2000.Auckland, New Zealand. Invasive Species Specialist Group.
- 3. Cheng J, Xie B, Zhou J, Song W, Cen K. Cogeneration of H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> from Water Hyacinth by Two-Step Anaerobic Fermentation.International Jurnal of Hydrogen Energy, 2010, 35: 3029-3035.
- 4. Surendra K.C, Takara D, HashimotoA.G, Khanal S.K.Biogas as a sustainable energy source for developing countries: Opportunities and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014, 31:846-859.
- 5. Ma F, Yang N, Xu C, Yu H, Wu J, Zhang X. Combination of biological pretreatment with mild acid pretreatment for enzymatic hydrolysis and ethanol production from water hyacinth. Bioresource Technology, 2010,101: 9600-9604.
- 6. Cheng J, Xia A, Su H, Song W, Zhou J, Cen K. Promotion of H<sub>2</sub> production by microwave-assisted treatment of water hyacinth with dilute H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> through combined dark fermentation and photofermentation. Energy Conversion and Management, 2013, 73:329-334.
- 7. Forrest A. K, Hernandez J, Holtzapple M.T. Effects of temperature and pretreatment conditions on mixed-acid fermentation of water hyacinths using a mixed culture of thermophilic microorganisms. Bioresource Technology ,2010, 101: 7510-7515.
- 8. Tchobanoglous G, Theisen H, Vigil S. Integrated Solid Waste Management: Engineering Princiles and Management Issues. 1993, Singapore. McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- 9. WangX, LuX, LiF, YangG. Effects of Temperature and Carbon-Nitrogen (C/N) Ratio on the Performance of Anaerobic Co-Digestion of Dairy Manure, Chicken Manure and Rice Straw: Focusing on Ammonia Inhibition. PLOS ONE, 2014, 9(5): 1-7.
- 10. Kumar A, Kumar K, Kaushik N, Sharma S, Mishra S. Renewable energy in India: Current status and future potentials. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2010,14: 2434–2442.

- 11. Harun M.Y, Radiah D.A.B, Abidin Z.Z, Yunus R. Effect of Physical Pretreatment on Dilute Acid Hydrolysis of Water Hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). Bioresource Technology, 2011, 5193-5199.
- 12. Esposito G,,Frunzo L, Giordano A, Liotta F, Panico A, Pirozzi F.Anaerobic co-digestion of organic wastes.Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol., 2012, 11 (4): 325-341.
- 13. Mishima D, Kuniki M, Sei K, Soda S, Ike M, Fujita M. Ethanol Production from Candidate Energy Crops: Water Hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and Water Lettuce (*Pistia Stratiotes L.*). Bioresource Technology, 2008, 99: 2495-2500.
- 14. Xia A, Cheng J, Song W, Yu C, Zhou J, Cen K. Enhancing enzymatic saccharification of water hyacinth through microwave heating with dilute acid pretreatment for biomass energy utilization. Energy, 2013, 61: 158-166.
- 15. Bitton, G. Wastewater Microbiology. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Wiley Liss Inc. New York. 1999.
- 16. Arroyo-López F. N, Orlić S, Querol A, Eladio B. Effects of temperature, pH and sugar concentration on the growth parameters of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *S. kudriavzevii* and their interspecific hybrid. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2009, 131:120-127.
- 17. Zheng Y, Zhao J, Xu F, Li Y. Pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for enhanced biogas production. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 2014, 42: 35-53.

\*\*\*\*