



Revealing Diversity of Bacillariophyceae in Brantas River through Project Based Learning

Susriyati Mahanal^{1*}, Siti Zubaidah²

^{1,2}Universitas Negeri Malang, Jalan Semarang No. 5, Malang 65145, Indonesia

Abstract : Bacillariophyceae is a group of microscopic, unicellular or colonial algae, enclosed within a cell wall made of silica called frustule. Students of the Department of Biology of Universitas Negeri Malang study Bacillariophyceae on the course subject of Thallophyta through the project-based learning method. On project-based learning, students are given a project to identify the Bacillariophyceae found in five streamside observation stations of Brantas River, Malang, Indonesia. The students were grouped into five groups. Each team observed the Bacillariophyceae in a different observation station. This article presents the identification results of Bacillariophyceae found along the Brantas River. There were 84 species of Bacillariophyceae altogether. The number of species found differed in each station, namely 43 species in the 1st station, 70 species in the 2nd station, 34 species in the 3rd station, 53 species in the 4th station, and 41 species in the 5th station. The factors contributing to the different number of species found at each station are still unknown and shall be an interesting field of further research.

Keywords : project-based learning, identify, *Bacillariophyceae*.

Introduction

Bacillariophyceae is a single-celled or colonial organism. Bacillariophyceae lives in various aquatic environments with sufficient sunlight which can supply the photosynthesis activities to supply marine oxygen concentration¹. Bacillariophyceae is characterized with silica-made cell wall typically made up of two valves which overlap one another like petri dish². The upper valve is called as *epitheca* while the below valve is called *hypotheca*²⁻⁴.

The class of Bacillariophyceae is classified as belonging to Chrysophyta division by Smith and Papenfus³. The members of class Bacillariophyceae are known as diatoms. Bacillariophyceae is classified into two orders, i.e. Pennales or Bacillariales and Centrales or Biddulphiales²⁻⁴. Pennales order consists of four sub-orders⁵ including Araphidinae, Raphidiodinae, Monoraphidinae, and Biraphidinae. Centrales order includes three sub-orders^{6,7}, namely Coscinoidiceae, Rhizosoleniinae, and Biddulphiinae.

Bacillariophyceae plays an essential role in marine ecosystem since it is a producer in a food chain which produces organic material for invertebrate⁸⁻⁹ and it also has a role in the biogeochemical cycle of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and silicon, with a significant impact on global climate¹⁰. It also acts as a good indicator to assess the ecological quality of waters for the last fifty years¹¹ since it has a high sensitivity of the physicochemical changes of waters¹².

Bacillariophyceae is one of the topics studied on Thallophyta course subject in Department of Biology, Universitas Negeri Malang. The course goal is, among others, for students to have the skills of taxonomy

concerned with collecting, describing, identifying, and classifying specimens. Such skills can be enabled through project-based learning strategy.

Project-based learning is defined as a learning method which encourages students' active participation, either individual or group in a certain period to achieve specific products or outcomes relating to real situations in a period of time to cultivate students' responsibility, discipline, and independence¹³⁻¹⁵. Project-based learning develops a mastery of 21st Century essential learning since it engages students in designing projects, developing their knowledge, problem solving problem, and their reasoning and communication abilities¹⁶. The main goal of project-based learning is to assist students to be responsible in their learning process so that they can understand the lesson independently and can produce a specific project either autonomously or collaboratively¹⁷⁻¹⁸.

Method

The samples for this research were gathered by the students of the Universitas Negeri Malang who took the Thallophyta course subject with project-based learning strategy. Project-based learning includes three stages, namely project planning (planning), project implementation (creating), and project evaluation (processing)¹⁹.

1. Planning

a. Selection of research area

Brantas River selected as the research area flows over 43,000 meters across Malang, Indonesia. The research area is divided into the following 5 observation stations. The 1st station located 1250 meter above sea level in Junggo village, Bumiaji District. The 2nd station located 575 meters above sea level in Sengkaling village, Dau District. The 3rd station located 450 meters above sea level in the center of Malang. The 4th station situated approximately 420 meters above sea level in Bumiayu village, Kedung Kandang District. The 5th station located 360 meters above sea level in the downstream area (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Map of Five Observation Stations at Brantas River, Indonesia

b. Class preparation

The course participants were 30 students, divided into five groups. Each group consisted of 6 students with various academic abilities. Each group held a discussion to determine the goal of the project, to look at the logistics for the project implementation, to study the literature on gathering and washing Bacillariophyceae for easier observation. Subsequently, the groups designed the project to observe the Bacillariophyceae in different stations.

2. Creating

a. Field collection of Bacillariophyceae

Students collected the *Bacillariophyceae* from 5 observation stations located in Brantas River. Each group was responsible for collecting *Bacillariophyceae* from various observation stations. Students performed such collection for five times by using synthetic substrates made from flat glass. The flat glass with the size of 15 x 10 x 0.5 cm³ was used and exposed for 14 days. *Bacillariophyceae* was collected by scraping both sides of the glass to be then rinsed off by using 30 ml distilled water. Then, the collected *Bacillariophyceae* was saved in a sample bottle. Next, five drops of 40 % formalin were dropped into the bottle as the preservative. The following processes were performed in the Laboratory of Biology of the Universitas Negeri Malang.

b. Laboratory preparation of Bacillariophyceae

In the laboratory, students rinsed the collected *Bacillariophyceae* by adding potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) until it turned to purple in color and then added by concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) until it turned into clear²⁰⁻²². Next, the liquid was centrifuged at the speed of 2000 rpm for 10 minutes. The rinse aimed to remove dirt from the frustule so that the specimen would be easy to describe. The supernatant was removed by using a pipette so that it would not contaminate the deposit. After that, the tube was filled with distilled water and centrifuged for one more time. The process was repeated for three times. The deposit was then moved to the sample bottle and 10 ml distilled water was added. Then, such deposit was observed through a light microscope with 400-time zoom. Lastly, the students identified the species of *Bacillariophyceae*²³⁻²⁹.

3. Processing

- Sharing: in this stage, students presented the findings of the project, i.e. the *Bacillariophyceae* species found in each station.
- Reflection and evaluation: students reflected and evaluated the project-based learning process in groups and individually.

Results and Discussion

1. Species Diversity of Bacillariophyceae Class



Figure 2. *Bacillariophyceae* species found in Brantas River



Figure 3.*Bacillariophyceae* species found in Brantas River

The Bacillariophyceae communities found by five student groups through the project through 5-time collection in each station are presented in Figure 2 and 3 and Table 1

Table 1.*Bacillariophyceae*Species found in five observation stations of Brantas River

No	Species	Station				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	<i>Achnanthes crenulata</i> Grun.	√	√	-	√	-
2	<i>Achnanthes hongarica</i> Grun	√	√	-	√	√
3	<i>Achnanthes lanceolata</i> (Breb.) Grun.	√	√	-	√	-
4	<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i> Kutz.	√	-	-	√	√
5	<i>Amphora acutiuscula</i> Kutz	√	√	-	√	√
6	<i>Amphora bitumida</i> Prowse.	-	√	√	-	-
7	<i>Amphora bullatoides</i> Hohn & Hellerman	-	√	-	-	-
8	<i>Amphora delphinea</i> Bailey	√	√	√	√	√
9	<i>Amphora holsatica</i> Hustedt	-	√	-	√	√
10	<i>Amphora normanii</i> Robenhurst	-	√	-	√	√
11	<i>Amphora ovalis</i> Kutz	√	√	-	√	√
12	<i>Amphora proteus</i> Gregory	-	√	-	-	-
13	<i>Amphora strigosa</i> Hustedt	-	√	-	-	-
14	<i>Bidulphialeavis</i> Ehr.	√	√	-	√	-
15	<i>Caloneis bacillum</i> (Grun.) Cleve	-	√	-	√	√
16	<i>Caloneis silicula</i> Ehr.	-	√	-	√	-
17	<i>Cocconeis pediculus</i> Ehr.	√	√	-	-	-
18	<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehr.	√	√	√	-	-
19	<i>Cocsinodiscus argus</i> Ehr.	√	√	√	-	-
20	<i>Cymbella kolbei</i> Hustedt.	√	-	√	-	-
21	<i>Cymbella microcephala</i> Grun.	√	-	-	-	-
22	<i>Cymbella tumida</i> (Breb.)van Heurck.	-	√	-	-	-
23	<i>Cymbella turgida</i> Gregory	-	√	-	-	-
24	<i>Cymbella turgidulla</i> Grun.	√	√	-	-	-

25	<i>Cymbella ventricosa</i> Kutz.	√	√	-	-	-
26	<i>Diploneis subovalis</i> Cleve	√	-	√	√	-
27	<i>Eutoniafaba</i> Ehr.	√	-	√	√	-
28	<i>Eutonia monodon</i> Ehr.	√	-	-	√	√
29	<i>Flagilaria construens</i> (Ehr.) Grun.	√	√	-	-	-
30	<i>Flagilaria crotonensis</i> Kitton	√	√	-	√	√
31	<i>Flagilaria vaucherias</i> Kutz.	√	√	-	√	-
32	<i>Frustulia rhomboides</i> Ehr.	√	√	√	√	√
33	<i>Frustulia saxonica</i> Rabenhorst	√	√	-	√	√
34	<i>Frustulia vulgaris</i> (Thwaites)	√	√	√	-	-
35	<i>Gomphonema clevei</i> Fricke	√	√	-	√	-
36	<i>Gomphonema christenseni</i> Lowe & Kociolek	√	√	-	-	√
37	<i>Gomphonema gracile</i> Ehr.	√	-	√	√	√
38	<i>Gomphonema lanceolatum</i> Ehr.	√	√	-	√	√
39	<i>Gomphonema parvulum</i> Kutz.	√	√	√	√	√
40	<i>Gomphonema vibrio</i> Ehr.	√	√	-	√	√
41	<i>Gyrosigma scalproides</i> (Rabh.) Cleve	-	√	-	-	√
42	<i>Gyrosigma spenceri</i> (W. Smith) Cleve	-	√	-	√	√
43	<i>Hantzchia amphioxys</i> (Ehr.) Grun	√	√	√	-	-
44	<i>Melosira granulata</i> (Ehr.) Ralfs.	-	√	-	√	-
45	<i>Melosira italika</i> (Ehr.) Kutz	-	√	-	-	-
46	<i>Melosira solida</i> Eulenstein	-	√	-	-	-
47	<i>Melosira varians</i> C. A. Agardh	-	√	-	√	-
48	<i>Navicula bacillum</i> Ehr.	√	√	√	√	-
49	<i>Navicula cincta</i> Grun	-	-	-	√	-
50	<i>Navicula cryptocephala</i> Kutz	√	√	√	√	-
51	<i>Navicula cryptotenella</i> Lang, B.	√	√	-	√	√
52	<i>Navicula confervacea</i> Kutz	-	√	√	√	-
53	<i>Navicula cuspidata</i> Kutz.	-	-	-	√	√
54	<i>Navicula feverborni</i> Hust.	-	-	√	-	-
55	<i>Navicula pupula</i> Kutz.	√	√	√	√	√
56	<i>Navicula rhyncocephala</i> Hust.	-	√	√	√	√
57	<i>Neidium iridis</i> (Ehr.) Cleve	-	√	-	√	√
58	<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i> Grun.	-	√	√	√	√
59	<i>Nitzschia filiformis</i> (W.Sm.) V.H.	√	-	√	√	-
60	<i>Nitzschia gandersheimiensis</i> Krasski.	√	√	-	√	-
61	<i>Nitzschia gracilis</i> Hantzsch	-	√	-	-	√
62	<i>Nitzschia ignorata</i> Krasski.	-	-	-	√	-
63	<i>Nitzschia microcephala</i> Grun.	-	√	√	√	-
64	<i>Nitzschia obtusa</i> W. Smith	-	√	√	√	√
65	<i>Nitzschia philipinarum</i> Hust.	√	√	-	√	√
66	<i>Nitzschia palea</i> (Kg.) W. Smith	-	√	√	√	√
67	<i>Nitzschia paradoxa</i> (Gmelin) Grun.	√	√	√	-	√
68	<i>Nitzschia ponticula</i> Grun.	-	-	-	√	-
69	<i>Nitzschia subtilis</i> Hust.	-	√	√	√	-
70	<i>Nitzschia parvula</i> Lewis	-	√	-	√	√
71	<i>Nitzschia sigma</i> (Kulz). W Smith	-	√	-	√	-
72	<i>Nitzschia stagnorum</i> (Rabh.) Grun.	√	√	-	-	-
73	<i>Nitzschia tenuis</i> W. Smith	-	√	√	√	√
74	<i>Nitzschia tryblionella</i> Hantzsch	√	√	-	√	√
75	<i>Pinnularia microstauron</i> (Ehr.) Cleve	√	√	√	-	√
76	<i>Surirella angusta</i> Kutz.	-	√	-	-	√
77	<i>Surirella linearis</i> W. Smith	-	√	√	-	√
78	<i>Surirella robusta</i> Ehr.	-	√	-	√	-

79	<i>Surirella tenuisima</i> Hust	-	√	√	-	√
80	<i>Stauroneis anceps</i> Ehr.	√	√	√	-	-
81	<i>Stauroneis phonicenteron</i> (Nitz) Ehr	-	√	√	-	√
82	<i>Stauroneis pusila</i> A. Cleve	√	√	√	-	√
83	<i>Synedra rumpens</i> Kutz.	-	-	√	√	√
84	<i>Synedra ulna</i> (Nitz.) Ehr	-	√	√	√	√
	Total	43	70	34	53	41

Based on Table 1, there were 84 species of Bacillariophyceae found in Brantas River. There were different numbers of varieties and species found in every station. There were 43 species found in the 1st station, 70 species found in the 2nd station, 34 species found in the 3rd station, 53 species found in the 4th station, and 41 species found in the 5th station. The factors contributing to the diversities and different numbers of Bacillariophyceae are still unknown since the course subject only aimed to improve students' skills in collecting, describing, identifying, and classifying specimen. Such differences possibly resulted from physico-chemical factors, such as flow speed, temperature, dissolved oxygen, BOD, etc. on each different station. It becomes an interesting field of further research.

2. Taxonomy and descriptions of Class Bacillariophyceae species

This subsection presents the morphology characteristic descriptions of the species found in the five observation stations of Brantas River. The identification of each species was made based on the references which explained about each species written after the names in taxonomy. The followings are several abbreviations related to taxonomic descriptions.

L: shell length

W: shell width

D: shell diameter

S: striae number in 10 μ m.

P: punctae in 10 μ m

Ordo: Centrales

Sub Ordo: Coscinoidiceneae

Family: Coscinodiscaceae

Genus: *Coscinodiscus*

1. *Coscinodiscus argus* Ehr.²⁴.
D. 70-100 μ m

Genus: *Melosira*

2. *Melosira granulata* (Ehr.) Ralfs.^{24,26}.
L. 16-28 μ m, D. 5-10; S. 8-11 in 10 μ m
3. *Melosira italika* (Ehr.) Kutz.^{24-25,29}.
L. 16-28 μ m, D. -10 μ m, S. 10-20 in 10 μ m
4. *Melosira solida* Eulenstein²⁵⁻²⁷.
D. 9 μ m,
5. *Melosira varians* C. A. Agardh²⁹.
L. 13-16 μ m, D. 8-35 μ m

Sub Ordo: Biddulphineae

Family: Biddulphiaceae

Genus: *Fragillaria*

6. *Bidulphialeavis* Ehr.²⁴.
D. 65-120 μ m

Order: Pennales

Sub Order: Araphidinneae

Family: Fragillariaceae

Genus: *Fragillaria*

7. *Fragillaria construens* (Ehr.) Grun²⁶⁻²⁹

- L. 4-35 μm , W. 2-12 μm , S. 12-20 in 10 μm
8. *Fragilaria crotonensis* Kitton^{25,27,29}
L. 40-170, W. 2-4(5) μm , S. 11- 15 in 10 μm
 9. *Fragilaria vaucherias* Kutz.²⁴⁻²⁵ .
L. 50-90 μm , W. 3-6 μm , S. 9-12 in 10 μm

Genus: *Synedra*

10. *Synedra rumpens* Kutz.²⁵⁻²⁸
L. 54 μm , W. 3 μm , S. 16 in 10 μm
11. *Synedra ulna* (Nitz.) Ehr.^{24,25,27,28}
L. 150-250 μm , W. 5-7 μm , S. 8-10 in 10 μm

Sub Order: Raphidiodineae

Famili Eunotiaceae

Genus: *Eunotia*

12. *Eunotia faba* Ehr.²⁴
L. 13-15 μm , W. 3-4 μm , S. 19-20 in 10 μm
13. *Eunotia monodon* Ehr.²⁸
L. 65-70 μm , W. 10-15 μm , S. 10-12 in 10 μm

Sub Order: Monoraphidinae

Family: Achnantaceae

Genus: *Achnanthes*

14. *Achnanthes crenulata* Grun³⁰
L. 30-87 μm , W. 13-22 μm , S. 8-9 in 10 μm
15. *Achnanthes hongarica* Grun²³
L. 16-18 μm , W. 4,5-7,5 μm . S. 18-24 in 10 μm
16. *Achnanthes lanceolata* (Breb) Grun.²³
L. 12-31 μm , W. 4,5-8 μm , S. 11-14 in 10 μm .
17. *Achnanthes minutissima* Kutz.²⁷
L. 5-25 μm , W. 2.5-4 μm (mostly 3-3.5 μm), S. 30-32 in 10 μm .

Genus: *Cocconeis*

18. *Cocconeis pediculus* Ehr.^{25,27,29}
L. 5-25 μm , W. 8-40 μm , S. 27-32 in 10 μm
19. *Cocconeis placentula* Ehr.²⁵⁻²⁹
L. 7.5-98 μm , W. 8-40 μm , S. 24-26 in 10 μm

Sub Order: Biraphidinae

Family: Naviculaceae

Genus: *Caloneis*

20. *Caloneis bacillum* (Grun.) Cleve^{25,27,29}
L. 15-40 μm , W. 4-9 μm , S. 22-28 in 10 μm
21. *Caloneis silicula* Ehr.²³⁻²⁹
L. 25-120 μm , W. 6-24 μm , S. 16-20 in 10 μm

Genus: *Diploneis*

22. *Diploneis ovalis* Cleve²⁴.
L. 20-25 μm , W. 12-15 μm , P. 18-22 in 10 μm

Genus: *Frustulia*

23. *Frustulia rhomboides* Ehr.^{24,29}
L. 30-55 μm , W. 8-12,5 μm , S. 30-35 in 10 μm
24. *Frustulia saxonica* Rabenhorst.^{24,29}
L. 30-40 μm , W. 8-10 μm , S. 29-32 in 10 μm
25. *Frustulia vulgaris* (Thwaites)^{25,29}
L. 40-60 μm , W. 8-12 μm , S. 27-32 in 10 μm

Genus: *Gyrosigma*

26. *Gyrosigma scalproides* (Rabh. Cleve) Hust
L. 40-70 μm , W. 7-11 μm . S. 20-24 in 10 μm
27. *Gyrosigma spenceri* (W. Smith) Cleve.²⁷

L. 95-140 μm , W. 13-15 μm , S. 18-24 in 10 μm

Genus:*Navicula*

28. *Navicula bacillum* Ehr.²⁵
L.30-80 μm , W. 10-20 μm , S. 12-14 in μm
29. *Navicula cincta* Grun(Ehrenberg) Ralfs²⁹
L. 14-45 μm , W. 5,5-8 μm , S. 8-12 in 10 μm
30. *Navicula cryptocephala* Kutz^{23, 25,27-29},
L. 24-42 μm , W. 5-7 μm , S. 15-16 in 10 μm
31. *Navicula cryptotenella* Lang, B.^{25,27-29}
L. 12-40 μm , W. 5-7 μm , S. 14-16 in 10 μm
32. *Navicula confervacea* Kutz^{24-25,27-29}
L. 18-25 μm , W. 7-9 μm , S. 18-20 in 10 μm
33. *Navicula cuspidata* Kutz.^{23,24-29}
L. 95-100 μm , W. 25-30 μm , W. 17-35 μm , S. 17-18 in 10 μm
34. *Navicula feverborni*Hust.²³⁻²⁴
L. 40-48 μm , W. 6-8 μm , S. 10-12 in 10 μm .
35. *Navicula pupula* Kutz.^{23-25,27}
L.10-90 μm , W. 13-15 μm ; S.18-19 in 10 μm .
36. *Navicula rhyncocephala* Hust.^{25,27}
L. 27-30 μm ; W. 8,5-10 μm ; S. 10-12 in 10 μm .

Genus:*Neidium*

37. *Neidium iridis* (Ehr.) Cleve.²³⁻²⁴
L. 35-40 μm , W. 10-20 μm , S. 16-18 μm

Genus:*Pinnularia*

38. *Pinnularia microstauron* (Ehr.)^{24,28}
L.50-70 μm , W. 10-14 μm , S. 10-13 in 10 μm

Genus:*Stauroneis*

39. *Stauroneis anceps* Ehr.²³
L. 47-48 μm , W. 11-12 μm , S. 16-18 in 10 μm .
40. *Stauroneis phonicenteron* (Nitz) Ehr.^{23,28}
L. 49-56 μm , W.7-11 μm , S. 12-20 in 10 μm
41. *Stauroneis pusila* A. Cleve²⁴
L. 30-45 μm , W. 7-11 μm , S. 30 in 10 μm

Genus:*Gomphonema*

42. *Gomphonema clevei*Fricke.^{25,28}
L. 12-50 μm , W. 4-9 μm , S. 10-18 in 10 μm
43. *Gomphonema christenseni*Lowe & Kociolek²⁵
L.46-73 μm , W. 8,5-10 μm , S. 11-13,5 in 10 μm
44. *Gomphonema gracile* Ehr.²³⁻²⁴
L. 40-50 μm , W. 8-10 μm , S.12-15 in 10 μm
45. *Gomphonema lanceolatum* Ehr²⁴
L. 20-70 μm , W. 7-10 μm , S. 8-10 in 10 μm
46. *Gomphonema parvulum* Kutz^{25-26,29}
L. 10-36 μm , W. 4-8 μm , S. 7-20 in10 μm
47. *Gomphonema vibrio* Ehr.²⁵⁻²⁶
L. 20-37 μm , W. 4-5 μm , S. 10-14 in 10 μm

Family: Cymbellasceae

Genus:*Amphora*

48. *Amphora acutiuscula*Kutz^{24,29}
L. 30-60 μm , W. 6-8 μm , S. 18-20 in 10 μm .
49. *Amphora bitumida*Prowse.²⁴
L. 18-23 μm , W. 11-12 μm , S. 17-18 in 10 μm
50. *Amphora bullatoides*Hohn& Hellerman³¹
L. 17-30 μm , W. 4-6 μm , S. 16-18 in 10 μm
51. *Amphora delphinea*Bailey²³

- L. 16 μm , W. 4 μm
52. *Amphora holsatica*Hustedt.²⁴
L. 40-45 μm , W. 7-9 μm , S. 12-13 in 10 μm
53. *Amphora normanii*Robenhrst.²⁴
L. 30-40 μm , W. 9-14 μm , S. 16-20 in 10 μm
54. *Amphora ovalis*Kutz.^{23,25,27}
L. 32-95 μm , W. 8-10 μm , S. 17 in 10 μm
55. *Amphora proteus* Gregory.²⁴
L. 40-60 μm , W. 7-10, S. 10-13 in 10 μm
56. *Amphora strigosa*Hustedt^{24,29,32}
L. 17,7-30 μm , W. 3,5-6,2 μm , S. 16-20 in 10 μm

Genus:*Cymbella*

57. *Cymbella kolbei*Hustedt^{24,29}
L. 25-30 μm , W. 9-11 μm , S. 11-12 in 10 μm
58. *Cymbella microcephala*Grun.²⁷⁻²⁹
L. 10-23 μm , W. 3.5-4.2 μm , S. 23-25 in 10 μm
59. *Cymbella tumida*(Breb.)van Heurck^{25,27-29}
L. 35-95 μm , W. 16-24 μm , S. 8-13 in10 μm
60. *Cymbella turgida*Gregory²³
L. 31-52 μm , W. 10-14 μm , S. 11-12 in10 μm
61. *Cymbella turgidulla*Grun.^{23-25,29}
L.30-50 μm , W. 11-14 μm , S. 8-14 in 10 μm
62. *Cymbella ventricosa*Kutz.^{24,29}
L. 21- 29 μm , W. 5-7 μm , S.14-19 in 10

Family:Nitzchiaceae

Genus:*Hantzchia*

63. *Hanzchia amphioxys* (Ehr.) Grun^{26,29}
L. 30-100 μm , W. 5-10 μm , S. 13-20 ini10 μm

Genus:*Nitzschia*

64. *Nitzschia amphibia* Grun.^{25,27-28}
L. 18-20 μm , W 4,6-5,0 μm , S. 16-17 in 10 μm .
65. *Nitzschia filiformis* (W.Sm.) V.H.^{25,27}
L. 40-100 μm , W. 4-6 μm , S. 27-36 in 10 μm
66. *Nitzschia gandersheimiensis* Krasski.^{24,27}
L. 90-100, W. 4 μm , S. >30 in 10 μm
67. *Nitzschia gracilis*Hantzsch²⁷⁻²⁸
L.45-110 μm . W. 2.5-4 μm . S. 38-42 in 10 μm
68. *Nitzschia ignorata* Krasski.²⁴
L. 40-60 μm , W. 4 μm , S. >30 in 10 μm
69. *Nitzschia microcephala*Grun.²⁴
L. 10-19 μm . W. 2,3-4 μm . S 30-41 in 10 2,3-4 μm
70. *Nitzschia obtusa* W. Smith.^{24-25,27}
L. 25-80 μm , W. 4-5 μm , S. 28-30 in10 μm
71. *Nitzschia philipinarum* Hust²⁸
L.65-70 μm , W. 3.5-4.5 μm , S. 32 in 10 μm
72. *Nitzschia palea* (Kg.) W. Smith.^{23,25,27,28}
L. 15-70 μm , W. 2,5-5 μm , S. 28-40 in 10 μm .
73. *Nitzschia paradoxa* (Gmelin) Grun.²⁴
L. 60- 90 μm , W. 5-8 μm , S. 20-24 in 10 μm
74. *Nitzschia ponticula* Grun.²⁴
L. 12-15 μm , W.2-4 μm , S. 28-30 in 10 μm
75. *Nitzschia subtilis* Hust.²⁴
L. 90-130 μm , W. 3-5 μm , S. 28-32 in 10 μm
76. *Nitzschia parvula* Lewis.²⁴
L 30-40, W. 4-5 μm , S. 29-30 in 10 μm .
77. *Nitzschia sigma* (Kulz). W Smith²⁴

- L. 35-100 μm , W. 4-5 μm , S. 22-30 in 10 μm
 78. *Nitzschia stagnorum*(Rabh.) Grun.²⁴
 L.30-60 μm , W. 6-10 μm , S.26 in 10 μm
 79. *Nitzschia tenuis*W. Smith²⁷
 L 146 μm , W. 5 μm , S. 25 in 10 μm
 80. *Nitzschia tryblionella*^{23,27}
 L. 60-180 μm , W. 16-35 μm , S. 30-35 in 10 μm

Family: Surirellaceae

Genus: *Surirella*

81. *Surirella angusta* Kutz.²⁷
 L. 30-50 μm , W. 9-10 μm , S. 22-28 in 10 μm
 82. *Surirella linearis*W. Smith^{24,28}
 L. 40-100 μm , W.12-20 μm , S. 23 in 10 μm
 83. *Surirella robusta* Ehr.²³
 L. 48-72 μm , W. 28-34 μm , S. 44-60 in 10 μm
 84. *Surirella tenuissima* Hust
 L. 17-38 μm , W. 6-11 μm

The Bacillariophyceae communities in Brantas River found by the students were then classified based on the genera, families, sub orders and orders. From such classification, they found 22 genera, 10 families, six sub orders and two orders, namely Pennales and Centrales. The main diversities between the order Pennales and Centrales are valve structures and ornamentation. The Centrales valve is round, ellipse, polygonal and irregular between radial or concentric ornamentations, while the Pennales valve is anellipse with bilateral symmetrical ornamentation^{4,33-34}.

The Pennales order presents various valve areas. There is a gap called *raphe* found across the entire or a part of the cell wall in Pennales order. There are also Pennales members with rudimentary raphe located on the edge of the cell wall creating *pseudoraphe*⁵. According to the structures, Pennales order consists of four sub orders⁵. The first is Araphidinea which have a pseudoraphe, such as *Asterionella*, *Diatom*, *Fragilaria*, and *Synedra*. The second is Raphidiodinea which have rudimentary raphe at the edges of the cell, for example, *Actinella* and *Eutonia*. The third is Monoraphidinea which have a raphe in one valve and a pseudoraphe in another valve, such as *Achnanthes* and *Cocconeis*. The last is Biraphidinea which have raphes on both valves, e.g. *Amphora*, *Cymbella*, *Gomphonema*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, *Pinnularia* and *Surirella*.

There is not a *raphe* in the Centrales order valves. The frustules of centrales order are discoid, cylindrical or irregular^{4,24}. The Centrales Order includes three sub orders⁶⁻⁷. The first is Coscinoidiceae with the cylindrical cell, round valves, radial striae structures such as *Cyclotella* and *Melosira*. The second is Rhizosoleniineae with elongated, cylindrical or sub cylindrical cell, a complex girdle with several bands such as *Rhizosolenia*. The third is Biddulphiineae with the square cell, two or more popping valves like animal horns, such as *Biddulphia* and *Triceratium*.

The most abundant Bacillariophyceae communities in Brantas River were from the order Pennales of 79 species (94%), while there were only five species (6%) identified from order Centrales. The order Pennales were dominantly identified in freshwater since it is its typical environmental niche³⁴ and they live as periphyton²⁹. Many Pennales were found attaching to the flat glass since they are more adhesive than the species included in the order Centrales. The order Pennales has crystalloid organelle and fibrils which produce mucous (mucilage) or chitin organelle to attach³⁵, such as the genus *Cocconeis*, *Achnanthes*, and *Synedra*³⁷. Such organelles are not found in the orders of Centrales. Therefore, most of Centrales are planktonic^{24,29,38}. Some species from the genus *Cyclotella* and genus *Melosira* live as periphyton temporarily.

In this research, the students could identify the Bacillariophyceae specimens found in each station collaboratively in groups. In performing the project, students did brainstorming, respected others' opinions, and worked in a team to produce ideas. They negotiated to solve problems collectively and finally did self-evaluation. The project-based learning seems to promote social skills such as negotiating, communicating, collaborating, being creative, and problem solving^{15,39}.

Each group has determined the purpose of the project and designed a real scientific investigation to implement the project. The project-based learning can improve the students' ability to conduct research⁴⁰⁻⁴¹. There are several essential aspects of project-based instruction for the success of projects, namely, among others, the harmony between the learning purpose and the implemented project and real-world investigation skill⁴². The collection of Bacillariophyceae was followed by the process of description and identification in a fragment of Brantas River related to the real daily lives of the students. The projects have to relate to the real world situation. Thus, students can understand what they learn and why they learn it⁴⁰.

Conclusion

The project-based learning method utilized in Thallophyta course subject has given students the opportunity to get experiences in collecting, describing, identifying, and clarifying the Bacillariophyceae they found from Brantas River. They Found 43 species in the 1st station, 70 species in the 2nd station, 34 species in the 3rd station, 53 species in the 4th station, and 41 species in the 5th station. The different number of species found at each stations seems interesting for further research to find out the reason that contribute to those factors.

References

- Allan, J.D. Stream Ecology Structure and Function of Running Waters. London: Chapman & Hall, 1996.
- Lee, R.E. Phycology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- Gupta, J.S. Algae. New Delhi: Mohan Pramlani, Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., 1981.
- Kim, Chong-chun, 2010, *Algal Flora of Korea, Chrysophyta: Bacillariophyceae: Centrales Freshwater Diatoms I (Volume 3, Number 1)*. Seo-gu Incheon: the National Institute of Biological Resources.
- Wetzel, R.G. Limnology. 2nd Ed. Saunders college publishing co., New York, 1983, p. 1-767.
- Gireesh, R., Varghese, M., Thomas, V.J. Phytoplankton-collection, estimation, classification, and diversity. Central Marine Fisher Research Institut, 2015, p. 24-29.
- Modayil, M.J. Mangrove Ecosystems A manual for the Assesment of Biodiversity. Kerala, India: Central Marine reseach Institute, Cochin-18, 2005.
- Morin, S., Coste, M., Delmas, F. A comparison of specific growth rates of periphytic diatoms of varying cell size under laboratory and field conditions. *Hydrobiologia* 614:285–297 DOI 10.1007/s10750-008-9513-y, 2008.
- Noga, T., Stanek-Tarkowska, J., Kloc, U., Kochman-Kędziora, N., Rybak, M., Peszek, L., & Pajęczek, A. Diatom diversity and water quality of a suburban stream: a case study of the Rzeszów city in SE Poland. *Biodiv. Res. Conserv.* 41: 19-34. DOI 10.1515/biorc-2016-0004, 2016.
- Wilhelm, C., Büchel, C., Fisahn, J., Goss, R., Jakob, T., LaRoche, J., Lavaud, J., Lohr, M., Riebesell, U., Stehfest, K., Valentin, K. & Kroth, P.G. 2006. The regulation of carbon and nutrient assimilation in diatoms is significantly different from green algae.- *Protist* 157: 91-124. <http://www.elsevier.de/protis> Published online date 12 April 2006.
- Srivastava, P., Verma, J., Gover, S., Sardar, A. On The Importance Of Diatoms As Ecological Indicators In River Ecosystems: A Review. *Indian Journal of Plant Sciences ISSN: 2319–3824(Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at <http://www.cibtech.org/jps.htm>*, January-March, 2016, 5(1): 70-86.
- B-Beres, V., Torok, P., Kokai, Z., Krasznai, E.T., Tothmeresz, B., Bacsı, I., Ecological diatom guilds are useful but not sensitive enough as indicators of extremely changing water regimes. *Hydrobiologia* DOI 10.1007/s10750-014-1929-y, 2014.
- Blumenfeld, P., Soloway, E., Marx, R., Krajcik, J., Guzdial, M., & Palincsar, A. Motivating project-based learning: Sustaining the doing, supporting the learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 1991, 26(3&4): 369-398.
- CORD, 2007. *Project-Based Learning*, (Online), (<http://www.cord.org/project-base-learning/>), diakses 17 Februari 2008).
- Bell, S. 2010. Project-Based Learning For The 21st Century: Skills For The Future. In *The Clearing House*, 2010, 83: 39-43.

16. Baker,R., Trygg, B., Otto,P., Tudor,M., Ferguson. L. 2011. *Project-based Learning Model Relevant Learning for the 21st Century*. Pacific Education Institute, 2011. (online) www.fishwildlife.org/files/ConEd-Project-based-Learning_Model.pdf.
17. Cole, K., Means, B., Simkins, M. & F. Tavalı. (2002). *Increasing student learning through multimedia projects*. Virginia, Alexandria (USA): Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development
18. Saban, A. *New theories and approaches in the teaching and learning process*, Nobel Press, Ankara, 2000.
19. Han, S., and Bhattacharya, K. "Constructionism, Learning by Design, and Project Based Learning". Orey press (Ed.), U.S, 2001.
20. Hasle, G.R., and Fryxell, G.A. Diatoms: Cleaning and Mounting for Light and Electron Microscopy. *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society*, (Oct., 1970), 1974, 89(4): 469 -474
21. Franchini, W. How To" Tutorial Series : The Collecting, Cleaning, and Mounting of Diatoms. In *Modern Microscopy Journal*, 2013.
22. Karthick, B., Taylor, J.C., Mahesh, M.K., Ramchandra, T.V. 2010. "Protocols for collection, preservation and enumeration of diatoms from aquatic habitats for water quality monitoring in India," *UP Journal of Soil and Water Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 25–60.
23. Negoro, K. dan Higashino, M. Diatom Vegetation of Paddy Fields in Japan. Report I. Diatom Vegetation of Paddy Fields in the Vicinity of Sakura City, Nara Prefecture. *Diatom*, 1986, 1-8.
24. Prowse, G.A.1962. Diatom of Malayan Frewater. *Garden Bulletin Singapore*, 1962, 19: 1-104
25. Gotoh, T. Diatom Community of the Kumano-gawa River Estuary. *Diatom*, 2, 1986, 103-115.
26. Kubota, H. Fossil Diatom Assemblage from Ohkui Diatomite in Kkomoro City, Nagano Prefecture. *Diatom*, 2, 1986, 175-186.
27. Watanabe, T., Asai, K., Houki, A., Tanak, S., Hizuka, T. Saprophilous and Eurysaprobic Diatom Taxa to Organic Water Pollution and Diatom Assemblage Index (DAIpo). *Diatom*, 2, 1986, 23-73.
28. Watanabe, T. and Usman, R. Epilithic Frewater Diatomae in Central Sumatra. *Diatom*. 1987, 3: 33-87.
29. Taylor, JC., Harding, WR., Archibald, CGM. An Illustrated Guide to Some Common Diatom Species from South Africa. *Water Research Commission*. ISBN 1- 77005-484-7, 2007.
30. Toyoda, K., William, D.M., Tanaka, J., Nagumo, T. Morphological investigations of the frustule, perizonium and initial valves of the freshwater diatom *Achnanthes crenulata* Grunow (Bacillariophyceae). *Phycological Research*, September , 2006, 54(3):173-182.
31. Chung, J. And Watanabe, T.H. Studies on the Diatoms in the Suburbs of Kyungju. *Korean Journal of Botany*, 1984,27(3): 191-214.
32. Cavalcante, KP., Tremarin, PI. and Ludwig, TAV. New records of amphoroid diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) from Cachoeira River, Northeast Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.*, 2014, 74(1): 257-263.
33. Kraysky, D. M., E. Meave del Castillo, E. Zamudio, J. N. Norris, and S. Fredericq. Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) of the Gulf of Mexico, Pp. 155–186 in Felder, D.L. and D.K. Camp (eds.), *Gulf of Mexico—Origins, Waters, and Biota. Biodiversity*. Texas: A&M University Press, College Station, 2009.
34. Gell, P.A., Sonneman, J.A., Reis, M.A., Illman, M.A., Sincok, A.J. An Illustrated Key To Common Diatom Genera From Southern Australia. *Presented at the Inaugural Australian National Algal Workshop University of Adelaide*, 17-19 February, 1999.
35. Hunter, J. 2007. Diatoms As Environmental Indicators: A Case Study In The Bioluminescent Bays Of Vieques, Puerto Rico. In *20th Annual Keck Symposium*; <http://keck.wooster.edu/publications>
36. Dawes. *Marine Botany*. New York: Jhon Wiley and Sons, 1984.
37. Rock, L. K.2000. *The Effect of Substrate and Environmental Gradients on Attached Diatom Distribution*. Thesis, University of Hawai'i - Hilo, Hilo, Hawai'i. (Online) <https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/bitstream/10125/23473/1/Rock%20L.pdf> diakses 18 Februari 2017.
38. Trainor, F.R. *Introduction Phycology*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1978.
39. Hynes, H.B.W. *The Ecological of Runing Waters*. London: Livervool University Press, 1972.
40. Bilgin, I., Karakuyu, Y., Ay, Y. 2014. The Effects of Project Based Learning on Undergraduate Students' Achievement and Self-Efficacy Beliefs Towards Science Teaching. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*,2014, 3-11.
41. Yalcin, S.A., Turgut, U., Byukkasap, E. The Effect of Project Based Learning on Science Undergraduates' Learning of Electricity, Attitude towards Physics and Scientific Process Skills. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 2009, 1(1): 81-105.

42. Baumgartner, E. & Zabin, C..J. A case study of project-based instruction in the ninth grade: a semesterlong study of intertidal biodiversity. *Environmental Education Research*, April 2008, 14(2): 97-114.

***** *****