



Bio, Mineral and Organic Fertilization Increase Head Yield, Enrich Mineral Content and Nutritional Value of Broccoli Heads

Hanaa A. Abd-Alrhman^{1*}; M. F. Zaki¹; U. A. EL-Behairy²;
A. F. AbouHadid² and M. M. Abou EL-Magd¹

¹Department of Vegetable Res., National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.

²Department of Horticulture, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract : Two field experiments were carried in sandy soil opened field using drip irrigation system during two successive winter seasons in 2012/2013 and 2013/2014. This work aimed to investigate the effect of mineral and organic fertilizers with or without bio-fertilizers inoculation on yield, mineral content and nutritional value of broccoli heads. Five treatments of bio-fertilizers were applied using a mixture of nitrogen fixing and phosphorus solubilizing microorganisms (*Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Bacillus megaterium*, *Arbiscular mycorrhizae*, *Bacillus polymyxa*). Four equations of mineral and organic fertilizers were applied. Applying mixture of *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Arbiscular mycorrhizae* recorded the highest values of total heads yield, heads mineral content, i.e. N, P, K, Ca and Mg and nutritional value of broccoli heads expressed as crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids contents. Fertilizer equation of 75% mineral + 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units exhibited the highest values of total heads yield, the mineral content (P, K, Ca and Mg) and nutritional value of heads as compared with the other treatments. Fertilizer equation of 25% mineral+ 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units achieved the highest vitamin C content of broccoli heads. The combined effect of the two mixtures of *Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Arbiscular mycorrhizae* with equation of 75% mineral+ 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units recorded the highest values of total heads yield, mineral content and nutritional value of broccoli heads except for vitamin C content. The highest vitamin C content was obtained by the equation of 25% mineral +75% organic fertilizer without bio-fertilization.

Key words: Broccoli; Bio-fertilizers; Mineral fertilizers; Organic fertilizers; Yield; Nutritional value; Mineral content.

Introduction

Brassicaceous vegetables represent a distinguished role of the human diet worldwide¹. Broccoli is a high nutritional value crop belonging to Brassicaceae and has been appointed as an anti-cancer source by American Cancer Society^{2,3,4,5}. It is a rich source of vitamin A, vitamin B₂, calcium and proteins^{6,7,1} as well as minerals especially K, S, P, Mg⁸ and other compounds that could explain their preventive mechanisms in human health. The major protective dietary antioxidants are vitamins C, E and carotenoids¹.

Nutritional value of broccoli plants is affected by many factors *i.e* genotype (species, cultivar), environmental conditions, soil fertility, and soil structure⁹. Plant fertilization is the most important factor affecting plant quality¹⁰. The agronomical practices such as fertilization can highly influence average levels of bioactive components in brassica^{11,1}.

Transplanting broccoli in newly reclaimed soils faces many problems such as low soil organic matter contents, unreliable rainfall and poor soil nutrients availability coupled with poor soil management. As a result, many farmers intended to use large amounts of mineral fertilizers^{12,13}. As known, application of mineral fertilizers have many negative effects on environment and human health¹². Implementation of organic and bio-fertilizers in a fertilization system is considered a useful strategy for decreasing such negative effects. Many nitrogen fixing and phosphorus solubilizing microorganisms are widely used as bio-fertilizers. Therefore, this work was conducted to study the effect of bio, mineral and organic fertilizers supplementation on the total heads yield, mineral content and nutritional value of broccoli plants in the newly reclaimed sandy soils of Egypt.

Materials And Methods

Two field experiments were conducted on broccoli plants (*Brassica oleracea* var *italic* plenck) at National Research Center farm, Nubaria, Beheira Governorate, Egypt during the two successive winter seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014. The aim of this work was to study the effect of fertilizer sources, *i.e.* bio, mineral and organic on yield, mineral content and nutritional value of broccoli heads.

Physical and chemical analysis of soil samples were executed according to¹⁴ (Table, 1).

Table (1). Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil.

		Physical properties								
Season	Sand(%)	Clay (%)	Silt (%)	Texture						
2012/2013	61.4	4.9	33.7	Sandy						
2012/2013	58.4	3.6	38	Sandy						
		Chemical properties								
Season	E.C.(dS/m)	pH	Cations (Meq./L)				Anions (Meq./L)			
			Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺⁺	K ⁺	CO ₃ ⁻	HCO ₃ ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ⁻
2012/2013	1.55	7.88	6.94	3.4	4.57	1.32	Nil	1.58	1.07	13.58
2012/2013	1.63	7.81	7.41	3.7	4.36	1.37	Nil	1.67	1.23	13.94

Ditches of 20 cm width and 20 cm depth were prepared at every irrigation line. Organic fertilizers (compost and rock phosphate), and calcium super phosphate as well as agricultural sulphur 100 Kg per faddan were spread through the ditches and covered with sand. Drip irrigation lines were established over the ditches and soil was irrigated continuously for three days before transplanting. Compost analysis (table 2) was done according to¹⁵. Available phosphorus and available potassium have been evaluated according to¹⁶. Total nitrogen content was measured according to¹⁵.

Table (2): Chemical analysis of the used compost in the two seasons of the experiment.

Character	2013/2014	2012/2013
pH	7.50	7.80
E.C. (dSm-1)	4.30	3.50
Organic matter (%)	23.10	30.50
Organic carbon (%)	19.10	12.20
Total nitrogen (%)	1.30	1.17
C/N ratio	1:18	1:15
Total phosphorus (%)	0.75	0.48
Total potassium (%)	0.90	1.14

Rock phosphate (20.5% P_2O_5), was used as an organic phosphatic source. Rock phosphate were analyzed at central laboratories sector, the Egyptian mineral resources authority (EMRA), the Ministry of petroleum of Egypt as shown in Tables (3).

Table (3): Chemical analysis of the used rock phosphate.

Content	(%)	Content	(%)
SiO ₂	12.80	Na ₂ O	1.12
TiO ₂	0.02	K ₂ O	0.05
Al ₂ O ₃	0.35	P ₂ O ₅	20.80
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.12	Cl	1.56
MnO	0.07	SO ₃	1.98
MgO	0.61	L.O.I	13.60
CaO	44.10		

Broccoli transplants (45 days age) were sown 0.5 m apart on one side of the irrigation line, one transplant besides every irrigation eye. The area of the experimental plot was 11.2 m² consisted of two rows; each row was 8 m length and 0.7 m width.

The bio-fertilizer was kindly supported by Microbiology department Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo, Egypt. It contains a mixture of N₂-fixing bacteria (*Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Bacillus polymyxa*) and phosphate dissolving bacteria such (*Bacillus megaterium*) or phosphate mobilizing bio-fertilizer (*Arbiscular mycorrhizea*). The bio-fertilizers were prepared as mentioned by¹⁷. *Mycorrhizae* was applied by dipping the roots of broccoli seedlings in liquid suspension of the *mycorrhizae* for a quarter of an hour, directly before transplanting. Other bio-fertilizers treatments were applied by injection through irrigation water. Needed horticultural practices of growing broccoli were followed. Nitrogen source of mineral fertilizer (ammonium sulfate 21.5% N) and potassium sulfate (48% K₂O) at a rate of 60 K₂O units/fed were applied within the growing season through irrigation system and were stopped two weeks before harvest.

1- Without: Without bio-fertilizer

2- Bio 1: *Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*

3-Bio2:*Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Arbiscular mycorrhizae*

4-Bio 3: *Bacillus polymyxa*+ *Bacillus megaterium*

5-Bio 4:*Bacillus polymyxa*+*Arbiscular mycorrhizea*

Bio-fertilizer treatments were applied twice, the first was after 2 weeks and the second was after 5 weeks of transplanting.

Mineral and organic fertilization equations:

1- 100 % mineral of the recommended fertilizer unit (120 Unit N/fadden and 90 Unit P₂O₅/fadden).

2- 75% mineral + 25% organic (of the recommended fertilizer units).

3- 50% mineral + 50% organic (of the recommended fertilizer units).

4- 25% mineral + 75% organic (of the recommended fertilizer units).

Recorded data :

Total head yield:

All broccoli heads of each plot were harvested at the green mature stage. Primary head yield (main yield of the apical heads), secondary head yield (yield of the side heads) were recorded and the summation was the total heads yield.

Mineral content of primary heads:

The percentages of phosphorus and potassium in the acid digested samples of broccoli dry heads were determined. phosphorus was determined colorimetrically by NH₄-Metavanidate method¹⁸. Potassium was flame-photometrically estimated¹⁸. Calcium and magnesium were evaluated according to¹⁸.

Nutritional value of primary heads:

Ascorbic acid was evaluated using 2, 6 di-chlorophenol-indophenol method as described in¹⁹.

Crudeprotein content: Nitrogen content was estimated by modified Kjeldahl's methods¹⁸. Protein content was calculated by multiplying nitrogen percentage with a factor 6.25 according to²⁰.

Total pigments content of primary heads: The total chlorophyll and total carotenoids contents of the apical head tissues were determined in representative fresh heads samples according to²¹. The obtained extracts were measured by spectrophotometer at the wave length of 663, 647 and 470 nm, using N,N-Dimethylformamide as a blank.

Experimental design and Statistical analysis:

Experimental plots were arranged in a split plot design system with three replicates. Bio-fertilizers treatments were assigned in the main plots, whereas other fertilizers treatments were allotted in the sub-plots. Data were statistically analyzed using Mstatic (M.S.) software. Comparison among different treatments means was made as illustrated by²².

Results and Discussion

A) Head mineral content and yield:

Effect of bio-fertilization:

It is clear from Table (4) that head mineral content (P, K, Ca and Mg%) as well as primary, secondary and total heads yield were significantly affected by bio-fertilization in both seasons. The highest values of head mineral percentages, primary, secondary and total heads yield were produced by inoculated plants with Bio2 treatment (*Azotobacter*+ *mycrohizae*). Whereas, the untreated plants produced the lowest values.

Enhancement of mineral content of heads, primary, secondary and total heads yields may be due to the role of *Azotobacter* + *mycrohizae* in fixing nitrogen, producing some growth promoters such as GA₃, IAA and cytokinines and increase nutrient dissolution^{23,24}. The induced nutrients uptake enhancement in Bio 2 treatment may be due to many reasons such as root system efficiency improvement, rizosphere pH reduction and also the increase of nutrient dissolving and availability in the soil which encouraged photosynthetic activity of the treated plants. The mentioned photosynthesis activity encouragement produced more different metabolic substances consequently, more dry matter accumulation in plant tissues and reflected more heads yield. Many investigators reported similar results^{25,26,27,28,29}.

Effect of mineral and organic sources:

Data reported in Table (5) indicated that equation of 75% mineral + 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units increased mineral content of primary heads (P, K, Ca and Mg), as well as primary, secondary and total yields. However, the lowest values were recorded by equation of 25% mineral + 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units.

The increased total yields and nutrients in heads of broccoli plants could be attributed by the increase in nutrients absorption due to the combined effect of mineral fertilizers (more soluble and available to plants) and organic fertilizers (have different dynamics of nutrient availability)³⁰. Using a fertilizer equation consisting of organic and mineral fertilizers improves the ability of soil to retain moisture and increase nutrients content of the soil solution and nutrients use efficiency from organic and mineral fertilizers³¹. They added that there is a negligible and parallel result between drip fertigation with 75% NPK (mineral fertilizer)+Humic substances (organic fertilizer) and drip fertigation with 100% NPK (mineral fertilizer) lonely on all macronutrients in head

tissues. Fertilizer equation of 75% mineral + 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units increases in heads yield of broccoli plants may be due to its role in enhancing nutrients uptake, photosynthesis and biosynthesis capacities, carbohydrates and protein synthesis. Many investigators came to similar results^{32,33}.

Interaction between bio-fertilizer and mineral and organic sources:

Data presented in Table (6) indicated that application of bio-, mineral and organic fertilization treatments were significantly affected mineral content and total heads yield. The highest values of mineral content, primary, secondary and total heads yield were recorded by equation of 75% mineral + 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units. On the other hand, the lowest values were recorded by equation of 25% mineral + 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units without bio-fertilizer addition in both seasons.

Mineral content and total heads yield increase in broccoli heads tissues may be due to the induced plant roots absorption enhancement. This enhancement is caused by the interaction effect between bio-fertilizer with 75% mineral +25% organic³⁰. Many investigators have obtained the same results similar to our findings^{34,25}.

Table (4): Effect of bio-fertilization on head mineral content and yield of broccoli plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.

Bio-fertilization treatments	Primary head yield (ton/fed.)	Secondary heads yield (ton/fed.)	Total heads yield (ton/fed.)	P (%)	K (%)	Ca (%)	Mg (%)
	(2012-2013)						
Without Bio	2.45 ^E	1.24 ^D	3.69 ^E	2.09 ^D	2.16 ^D	1.57 ^D	1.38 ^E
Bio 1	3.53 ^B	1.49 ^B	5.02 ^B	3.54 ^B	3.76 ^B	2.36 ^B	3.37 ^A
Bio 2	3.66 ^A	1.56 ^A	5.22 ^A	4.18 ^A	4.10 ^A	2.66 ^A	3.91 ^A
Bio 3	3.38 ^D	1.27 ^D	4.65 ^D	3.11 ^C	3.48 ^C	2.07 ^C	2.87 ^D
Bio 4	3.45 ^C	1.41 ^C	4.86 ^C	3.52 ^B	3.74 ^B	2.20 ^{BC}	3.33 ^C
(2013-2014)							
Without Bio	2.13 ^E	1.39 ^E	3.52 ^D	2.28 ^E	2.28 ^D	1.74 ^E	1.89 ^E
Bio 1	3.42 ^B	1.88 ^B	4.80 ^B	3.90 ^C	3.88 ^B	2.89 ^B	3.54 ^B
Bio 2	3.69 ^A	1.97 ^A	5.16 ^A	4.46 ^A	3.95 ^A	3.04 ^A	3.98 ^A
Bio 3	2.77 ^D	1.52 ^D	4.29 ^C	3.72 ^D	3.78 ^C	2.45 ^D	3.28 ^D
Bio 4	2.98 ^C	1.69 ^C	4.68 ^B	4.04 ^B	3.84 ^B	2.62 ^C	3.33 ^C

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

Bio 1, *Azotobacterchroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*; Bio 2,*Azotobacterchroococcum* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizae*

Bio 3, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Bacillus megaterium*; Bio 4, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Arbiscularmycorrhizae*

Table (5): Effect of fertilizer equation on head mineral content and yield of broccoli plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.

Fertilizer equation Treatments	Primary head yield (ton/fed.)	Secondary heads yield (ton/fed.)	Total heads Yield (ton/fed.)	P (%)	K (%)	Ca (%)	Mg (%)
	(2012-2013)						
25% M + 75% O	2.37 ^D	0.75 ^D	3.12 ^D	2.55 ^B	2.76 ^C	1.32 ^C	2.40 ^D
50% M + 50% O	3.23 ^C	1.31 ^C	4.54 ^C	2.63 ^B	2.82 ^C	1.69 ^B	2.68 ^C
75% M + 25% O	3.82 ^A	1.80 ^A	5.63 ^A	4.00 ^A	4.29 ^A	2.91 ^A	3.50 ^A
100% M	3.76 ^B	1.71 ^B	5.46 ^B	3.98 ^A	3.93 ^B	2.78 ^A	3.31 ^B
(2013-2014)							
25% M + 75% O	1.58 ^C	0.84 ^D	2.43 ^D	2.51 ^D	3.17 ^D	1.55 ^D	2.59 ^D
50% M + 50% O	2.32 ^B	1.33 ^C	3.65 ^C	2.60 ^C	3.30 ^C	2.11 ^C	2.99 ^C
75% M + 25% O	4.11 ^A	2.32 ^A	6.03 ^A	4.83 ^A	4.04 ^A	3.33 ^A	3.64 ^A
100% M	3.99 ^A	2.27 ^B	5.85 ^B	4.79 ^B	3.68 ^B	3.20 ^B	3.59 ^B

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

M, Mineral; O, Organic

Table (6): Effect of interaction between bio-fertilization and fertilizer equation on head mineral content and yield of broccoli plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.

Bio-fertilization Treatments	Fertilizer equation	Primary head Yield (ton/fed.)	Secondary heads yield (ton/fed.)	Total heads Yield (ton/fed.)	P (%)	K (%)	Ca (%)	Mg (%)
(2012-2013)								
Without Bio	25% M + 75% O	1.92 ^k	0.38 ^l	2.31 ⁿ	1.79 ^g	1.67 ^h	0.99 ^h	1.16 ^s
	50% M + 50% O	2.12 ^j	1.14 ⁱ	3.26 ^l	1.85 ^g	1.83 ^h	1.30 ^{fgh}	1.30 ^r
	75% M + 25% O	2.73 ^h	1.52 ^{ef}	4.25 ^j	2.35 ^f	2.55 ^g	1.97 ^d	1.39 ^q
	100%M	3.02 ^g	1.91 ^{ab}	4.93 ^{gh}	2.37 ^f	2.58 ^g	2.05 ^d	1.66 ^p
Bio 1	25% M + 75% O	2.40 ⁱ	0.94 ^j	3.34 ^l	2.69 ^e	3.04 ^{ef}	1.48 ^{efg}	2.83 ^l
	50% M + 50% O	3.63 ^e	1.43 ^{fg}	5.07 ^g	2.80 ^e	3.05 ^{ef}	1.77 ^{de}	2.92 ^k
	75% M + 25% O	4.10 ^{ab}	1.90 ^{ab}	6.00 ^{ab}	4.36 ^b	4.69 ^b	3.24 ^b	3.96 ^c
	100%M	4.00 ^{bc}	1.69 ^{cd}	5.69 ^{de}	4.32 ^b	4.26 ^{cd}	2.97 ^{bc}	3.76 ^e
Bio 2	25% M + 75% O	2.80 ^h	1.12 ⁱ	3.92 ^k	3.38 ^d	3.38 ^e	1.75 ^{de}	2.93 ^k
	50% M + 50% O	3.64 ^e	1.44 ^{fg}	5.08 ^g	3.43 ^d	3.39 ^e	2.05 ^d	3.56 ^g
	75% M + 25% O	4.16 ^a	1.95 ^a	6.11 ^a	4.98 ^a	5.04 ^a	3.66 ^a	4.96 ^a
	100%M	4.05 ^{ab}	1.72 ^{cd}	5.76 ^{cd}	4.95 ^a	4.59 ^{bc}	3.19 ^b	4.20 ^b
Bio 3	25% M + 75% O	2.35 ⁱ	0.49 ^l	2.84 ^m	2.24 ^f	2.72 ^{fg}	1.10 ^{gh}	2.37 ^o
	50% M + 50% O	3.33 ^f	1.20 ^{hi}	4.53 ⁱ	2.30 ^f	2.79 ^{fg}	1.67 ^{def}	2.53 ⁿ
	75% M + 25% O	4.05 ^{ab}	1.78 ^{bc}	5.83 ^{bcd}	3.98 ^c	4.46 ^{bc}	2.76 ^c	3.33 ^h
	100%M	3.81 ^d	1.60 ^{de}	5.40 ^f	3.93 ^c	3.97 ^d	2.74 ^c	3.27 ⁱ
Bio 4	25% M + 75% O	2.38 ⁱ	0.80 ^k	3.18 ^l	2.66 ^e	3.01 ^f	1.26 ^{gh}	2.73 ^m
	50% M + 50% O	3.43 ^f	1.33 ^{gh}	4.76 ^h	2.79 ^e	3.04 ^{ef}	1.68 ^{def}	3.07 ^j
	75% M + 25% O	4.07 ^{ab}	1.88 ^{ab}	5.95 ^{abc}	4.34 ^b	4.68 ^b	2.94 ^{bc}	3.87 ^d
	100%M	3.91 ^{cd}	1.63 ^{de}	5.54 ^{ef}	4.31 ^b	4.25 ^{cd}	2.93 ^{bc}	3.67 ^f

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

Bio 1, *Azotobacterchroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 3, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Bacillus megaterium*;

M, Mineral;

Bio 2, *Azotobacterchroococcum* + *Arbiscularmycorrhizea*

Bio 4, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Arbiscularmycorrhizea*;

O, Organic

Table (6): Continued

Bio-fertilization Treatments	Fertilizer equation	Primary head yield (ton/fed.)	Secondary heads yield (ton/fed.)	Total heads Yield (ton/fed.)	P (%)	K (%)	Ca (%)	Mg (%)
(2013-2014)								
Without Bio	25% M + 75% O	1.32 ^l	0.39 ^l	1.72 ^k	1.67 ^l	1.79 ^j	1.27 ^m	1.71 ^q
	50% M + 50% O	1.99 ^{ij}	1.20 ⁱ	3.18 ⁱ	1.80 ^k	1.95 ⁱ	1.78 ^{ij}	1.84 ^p
	75% M + 25% O	2.10 ⁱ	1.87 ^f	3.97 ^g	2.83 ^{fg}	2.68 ^h	1.94 ^h	1.95 ^o
	100% M	3.11 ^g	2.10 ^d	5.21 ^e	2.84 ^{fg}	2.71 ^h	1.95 ^h	2.07 ⁿ
Bio 1	25% M + 75% O	1.71 ^{jk}	1.03 ^j	2.75 ^j	2.72 ^{hi}	3.53 ^f	1.71 ^j	2.84 ^k
	50% M + 50% O	2.51 ^h	1.37 ^g	3.88 ^{gh}	2.81 ^{gh}	3.68 ^{de}	2.23 ^f	3.34 ⁱ
	75% M + 25% O	4.93 ^a	2.57 ^{ab}	6.50 ^a	5.07 ^c	4.37 ^b	3.87 ^a	4.07 ^c
	100% M	4.52 ^{bc}	2.54 ^b	6.07 ^{bcd}	5.02 ^c	3.92 ^c	3.74 ^b	3.92 ^d
Bio 2	25% M + 75% O	2.06 ^{ij}	1.24 ^{hi}	3.30 ⁱ	2.91 ^{ef}	3.59 ^f	1.87 ^{hi}	2.85 ^k
	50% M + 50% O	2.94 ^g	1.41 ^g	4.35 ^f	3.00 ^e	3.71 ^d	2.63 ^e	3.86 ^e
	75% M + 25% O	5.01 ^a	2.65 ^a	6.66 ^a	6.01 ^a	4.55 ^a	3.93 ^a	4.68 ^a
	100% M	4.75 ^{ab}	2.57 ^{ab}	6.32 ^{abc}	5.92 ^a	3.94 ^c	3.75 ^b	4.54 ^b
Bio 3	25% M + 75% O	1.28 ^l	0.54 ^k	1.82 ^k	2.59 ^j	3.39 ^g	1.37 ^l	2.74 ^m
	50% M + 50% O	1.97 ^{ij}	1.31 ^{gh}	3.29 ⁱ	2.70 ⁱ	3.56 ^f	1.87 ^{hi}	2.96 ^j
	75% M + 25% O	4.12 ^{de}	2.24 ^c	6.37 ^{ab}	4.83 ^d	4.28 ^b	3.43 ^c	3.74 ^g
	100% M	3.70 ^f	2.00 ^e	5.70 ^d	4.76 ^d	3.89 ^c	3.11 ^d	3.68 ^h
Bio 4	25% M + 75% O	1.54 ^{kl}	1.01 ^j	2.55 ^j	2.64 ^{ij}	3.53 ^f	1.51 ^k	2.81 ^l
	50% M + 50% O	2.18 ^{hi}	1.36 ^g	3.54 ^{hi}	2.70 ⁱ	3.59 ^{ef}	2.06 ^g	2.98 ^j
	75% M + 25% O	4.37 ^{cd}	2.28 ^c	6.65 ^a	5.43 ^b	4.32 ^b	3.46 ^c	3.77 ^f
	100% M	3.84 ^{ef}	2.13 ^d	5.97 ^{cd}	5.38 ^b	3.90 ^c	3.44 ^c	3.76 ^{fg}

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

Bio 1, *Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 3, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Bacillus megaterium*;

M, Mineral;

Bio 2, *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*

Bio 4, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*;

O, Organic

B) Nutritional value of broccoli heads:

Effect of bio-fertilization:

It is clear from Table (7) that nutritional value of broccoli heads *i.e.* crude protein, vitamin C, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids were significantly enhanced by bio-fertilization in both seasons. The highest values of crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids were obtained by plants that inoculated with Bio 2 treatment (*Azotobacter+ mycrohizae*). While, no significant differences in crude protein content in broccoli heads were detected among all different bio-fertilization treatments in the second season. Whereas, the untreated plants produced the lowest values of crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids. The highest vitamin C content in broccoli heads was recorded in the non-inoculated plants following by Bio 3 treatment without significant differences in the first season when compared with that of the other bio-fertilization treatments, in both seasons. On the contrary, the lowest vitamin C content was recorded by Bio 2 treatment in both seasons.

The increase in crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids content in broccoli heads by bio-fertilization may be due to the use of beneficial micro-organisms that could colonize the roots and increase plant growth by improving supply or availability of essential nutrients to the plants³⁵. However, there is an inverse relationship between the increase of nutrients in plant tissue and secondary metabolites synthesis such as vitamin C^{36,37}. Our findings agree with those reported by³⁸.

Effect of mineral and organic fertilizer sources:

Data reported in Table (8) indicated that equation of 75% mineral+ 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units increased nutritional value (crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids) of broccoli heads except with vitamin C. However, the lowest values were recorded by plants that treated with equation of 25% mineral+ 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units. On the other hand, the highest and lowest values of vitamin C were obtained by the plants that received the fertilizer equation of 25% mineral+ 75% organic and 100% mineral of the recommended fertilizer units, respectively in each season.

Increases in nutritional value (crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids) may be caused by the increased nutrient absorption, vegetative growth and photosynthetic activity due to effect of the equation of 75% mineral+ 25% organic. Also, we should consider the high availability of N, P and K as an important factor in developing that increase. However, the lowest produced content of crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids in case of equation, 25% mineral+ 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units treatment may be caused by the slow release of nitrogen by organic matter decay³⁹. These results are in accordance with those obtained from^{32,36,33}.

Interaction effect of bio-, mineral and organic fertilizer sources:

Data presented in Table (9) indicated that application of bio-, mineral and organic fertilizers treatments significantly affected crude protein, vitamin C, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids. The highest values were recorded by the equation of 75% mineral+ 25% organic of the recommended units except with vitamin C. Highest vitamin C content in broccoli heads was obtained by the equation of 25% mineral+ 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units. On the other hand, the lowest values of crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids were recorded in case of 25% mineral + 75% organic of the recommended fertilizer units without any bio-fertilizers addition in both seasons.

Simultaneous application of bio, mineral and organic fertilizers led to the highest content of crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids compared to the other treatments, which may be due to the induced enhancement of soil fertility and nutrient supply and photosynthetic activity. These results were similar to^{40,41}.

Table (7): Effect of bio-fertilization on nutritional value of broccoli heads during 2012-2013/2013-2014.

Bio-fertilization treatments	Nutritional value of primary head			
	Protein (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100g F.W.)	Chlorophyll (mg/100g F.W)	Carotenoids (mg/100gF.W)
	(2012-2013)			
without Bio	13.66 ^C	77.14 ^A	11.18 ^C	4.47 ^D
Bio 1	18.28 ^{AB}	68.70 ^{BC}	12.71 ^B	5.09 ^B
Bio 2	19.91 ^A	66.79 ^C	13.64 ^A	5.45 ^A
Bio 3	16.01 ^{BC}	75.56 ^A	11.70 ^C	4.68 ^C
Bio 4	17.98 ^{AB}	71.50 ^B	12.39 ^C	4.96 ^B
	(2013-2014)			
without Bio	10.15 ^B	75.54 ^A	9.67 ^E	4.20 ^D
Bio 1	18.03 ^A	60.20 ^D	11.92 ^B	5.18 ^B
Bio 2	20.71 ^A	56.84 ^E	12.86 ^A	5.59 ^A
Bio 3	17.02 ^A	68.28 ^B	10.63 ^D	4.62 ^C
Bio 4	19.96 ^A	63.33 ^C	11.52 ^C	5.01 ^B

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

Bio 1, *Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 2, *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*

Bio 3, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 4, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*

Table (8): Effect of fertilizer equation on nutritional value of broccoli heads during 2012-2013/2013-2014

Fertilizer equation treatments	Nutritional value in primary head			
	Protein (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100g F.W.)	Chlorophyll(mg/100g F.W)	Carotenoids (mg/100gF.W)
	(2012-2013)			
25% M + 75% O	13.60 ^C	81.97 ^A	8.20 ^D	3.28 ^D
50% M + 50% O	15.19 ^C	76.79 ^B	10.78 ^C	4.31 ^C
75% M + 25% O	22.07 ^A	66.70 ^C	15.54 ^A	6.22 ^A
100% M	17.81 ^B	62.29 ^D	14.78 ^B	5.91 ^B
	(2013-2014)			
25% M + 75% O	9.23 ^C	76.48 ^A	7.22 ^D	3.14 ^D
50% M + 50% O	12.24 ^B	67.64 ^B	9.68 ^C	4.21 ^C
75% M + 25% O	24.59 ^A	60.45 ^C	14.78 ^A	6.43 ^A
100% M	22.64 ^A	54.77 ^D	13.60 ^B	5.91 ^B

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

M, Mineral; O, Organic

Table (9): Interaction between bio-fertilization and fertilizer equation on nutritional value of broccoli heads during 2012-2013/2013-2014.

Bio-fertilization treatments	Fertilizer equation treatments	Nutritional value in primary head			
		Protein (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100g F.W.)	Chlorophyll(mg/100g F.W)	Carotenoids (mg/100gF.W)
(2012-2013)					
Without Bio	25% M + 75% O	9.74 ⁱ	89.38 ^a	7.68 ^h	3.07 ^l
	50% M + 50% O	10.47 ^{hi}	85.38 ^a	9.37 ^{gh}	3.75 ^{ijk}
	75% M + 25% O	16.42 ^{d-g}	69.33 ^{d-g}	12.51 ^{cde}	5.01 ^{ghi}
	100% M	18.00 ^{def}	64.47 ^{f-i}	15.15 ^{cde}	6.06 ^{fgh}
Bio 1	25% M + 75% O	16.08 ^{d-g}	77.23 ^{bcd}	8.35 ^h	3.34 ^{kl}
	50% M + 50% O	17.40 ^{d-g}	71.15 ^{def}	11.28 ^{def}	4.51 ^{ghi}
	75% M + 25% O	22.74 ^{abc}	65.62 ^{f-i}	15.88 ^b	6.35 ^{cd}
	100% M	16.90 ^{d-g}	60.82 ^{hi}	15.35 ^{cde}	6.14 ^{efg}
Bio 2	25% M + 75% O	15.31 ^{fg}	71.76 ^{def}	8.92 ^h	3.57 ^{jkl}
	50% M + 50% O	17.47 ^{d-g}	69.33 ^{d-g}	11.98 ^{def}	4.79 ^{ghi}
	75% M + 25% O	26.34 ^a	66.41 ^{f-i}	17.24 ^a	6.90 ^a
	100% M	20.50 ^{b-e}	59.68 ⁱ	16.41 ^{bcd}	6.56 ^{ef}
Bio 3	25% M + 75% O	12.88 ^{ghi}	87.56 ^a	7.92 ^h	3.17 ^{kl}
	50% M + 50% O	14.73 ^{fgh}	82.70 ^{abc}	10.38 ^{fgh}	4.15 ^{h-k}
	75% M + 25% O	21.03 ^{bcd}	68.11 ^{e-h}	15.55 ^{bcd}	6.22 ^{de}
	100% M	15.38 ^{fg}	63.86 ^{f-i}	12.94 ^{efg}	5.17 ^{ghi}
Bio 4	25% M + 75% O	13.98 ^{f-i}	83.91 ^{ab}	8.10 ^h	3.24 ^{kl}
	50% M + 50% O	15.88 ^{efg}	75.40 ^{cde}	10.90 ^{gh}	4.36 ^{hij}
	75% M + 25% O	23.80 ^{ab}	64.05 ^{f-i}	16.53 ^{bc}	6.61 ^{bc}
	100% M	18.24 ^{c-f}	62.64 ^{ghi}	14.04 ^{cde}	5.62 ^{ab}

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

Bio 1, *Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 2, *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*

Bio 3, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 4, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*;

M, Mineral;

O, Organic.

Table (9): Continued

Bio-fertilization treatments	Fertilizer equation treatments	Nutritional value in primary head			
		Protein (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100g F.W.)	Chlorophyll(m g/100g F.W)	Carotenoids (mg/100gF.W)
(2013-2014)					
without Bio	25% M + 75% O	6.45 ^e	91.34 ^a	6.72 ^o	2.92 ⁱ
	50% M + 50% O	8.31 ^{de}	84.63 ^b	8.17 ^{kl}	3.55 ^{efg}
	75% M + 25% O	10.88 ^{de}	68.43 ^d	11.31 ^{hi}	4.92 ^d
	100% M	14.98 ^d	57.76 ^{e-h}	12.45 ^{de}	5.41 ^{ef}
Bio 1	25% M + 75% O	8.94 ^{de}	70.87 ^d	7.30 ^{mn}	3.18 ^g
	50% M + 50% O	13.81 ^{de}	58.67 ^{e-h}	10.20 ^{hij}	4.43 ^{efg}
	75% M + 25% O	25.43 ^{abc}	57.45 ^{e-h}	16.85 ^b	7.33 ^b
	100% M	23.94 ^{bc}	53.79 ^{hi}	13.34 ^f	5.80 ^e
Bio 2	25% M + 75% O	12.42 ^{de}	61.72 ^e	7.72 ^{lm}	3.35 ^{fg}
	50% M + 50% O	13.94 ^{de}	57.45 ^{e-h}	10.78 ^{hi}	4.69 ^{efg}
	75% M + 25% O	30.21 ^a	56.84 ^{e-h}	17.74 ^a	7.71 ^a
	100% M	26.25 ^{abc}	51.35 ⁱ	15.21 ^{ef}	6.61 ^d
Bio 3	25% M + 75% O	8.83 ^{de}	79.41 ^c	7.15 ^o	3.11 ^h
	50% M + 50% O	11.60 ^{de}	76.97 ^c	9.18 ^{ijkl}	3.99 ^{efg}
	75% M + 25% O	25.39 ^{abc}	60.50 ^{ef}	13.33 ^{cd}	5.80 ^c
	100% M	22.26 ^c	56.23 ^{fgh}	12.84 ^h	5.58 ^{ef}
Bio 4	25% M + 75% O	9.53 ^{de}	79.07 ^c	7.18 ^{no}	3.12 ^{efg}
	50% M + 50% O	13.52 ^{de}	60.50 ^{ef}	10.08 ^{ijk}	4.38 ^{efg}
	75% M + 25% O	31.03 ^a	59.01 ^{efg}	14.68 ^c	6.38 ^b
	100% M	25.77 ^{abc}	54.74 ^{ghi}	14.15 ^g	6.15 ^{ef}

Values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at 5%

Bio 1, *Azotobacter chroococcum*+ *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 2, *Azotobacterchroococcum* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*

Bio 3, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Bacillus megaterium*;

Bio 4, *Bacillus polymyxa* + *Arbiscular mycorrhizea*;

M, Mineral;

O, Organic.

Conclusion

Our results indicated that the combined effect of Bio 2 with equation of 75% mineral+ 25% organic of the recommended fertilizer units resulted in higher heads yield of broccoli plants, their nutrients content (P, K, Ca and Mg) as well as the nutritional value (crude protein, total chlorophyll and total carotenoids). These results might save about 25% of the mineral fertilizer and their expenses. These results enable farmers to produce higher heads yields with high nutritional value. In addition, producing safe product for human consumption.

References

1. Aires, A. 2015. Chapter 3; Brassica composition and food processing. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-404699-3.00003-2>.
2. Yoldas, F.; S. Ceylan; B. Yagmur and N. Mordogan. 2008. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on yield quality and nutrient content in broccoli. *J.PlantNutr.*, 31: 1333–43.
3. Salunkhe, D. K. and S. S. Kadam. 1998. Handbook of Vegetable Science and Technology: Production, Storage and Processing, 1st edition. Marcel Dekker, Inc. Madison Avenue, New York, USA.
4. Talalay, P. and J. W. Fahey. 2001. Phytochemicals from Cruciferous plants protect against cancer by modulating carcinogen metabolism. *American Soc. Nutr.Sci.*, 23: 33.

5. Rangkadilok, N.; M. E. Nicolas; R. N. Bennett; R. R. Premier; D. R. Eagling and P. W. J. Taylor. 2002. Determination of sinigrin and glucoraphanin in Brassica species using a simple extraction method combined with ion-pair HPLC analysis. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 96: 27-41.
6. Sanders, D. C. 1996. Broccoli production. Leaflet No. 5, NC cooperative Extension service.
7. Decoteau, D. R. 2000. Vegetable Crops. Upper Rever Company. New Jersey, U.S.A.
8. Aboul-Nasr, M. H. and W. S. M. Ragab. 2000. Yield, head quality and nutritional composition of a new late flowering broccoli variety grown under Assiut conditions. *Assiut Journal of Agricultural Science*, 31(1): 55-77.
9. Cartea, M. E.; P. Velasco; S. Obregon; G. Padilla and A. de Haro. 2008. Seasonal variation in glucosinolate content in Brassica oleracea crops grown in northwestern Spain. *Phytochemistry* 68, 403–410.
10. Savci, S. 2012. An agricultural pollutant: chemical fertilizer. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, 3 (1): 77-80.
11. Samec, D.; J. Piljac-Zegarac; J., Bogovic; M. Habjanic and K. J. Gruz. 2011. Antioxidant potency of white (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*) and Chinese (*Brassica rapa* L. var. *pekinensis* (Lour.)) cabbage: The influence of development stage, cultivar choice and seed selection. *Sci. Hortic.* 128, 78–83.
12. Arisha, H. M. and A. Bradisi. 1999. Effect of mineral fertilizers and organic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of potato under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 26: 391-405.
13. Stewart, M. W.; W. D. Dibb; E. A. Johnston and J. T. Smyth. 2005. The contribution of commercial fertilizer nutrients to food production. *Agronomy Journal*, 97:1 –7.
14. Chapman, H. D. and P. E. Pratt. 1978. *Methods of analysis for soil and waters*. University of California. Dep. of Agric. Sci. USA, pp. 1-309.
15. Jackson, M. L. 1973. *Soil Analysis*. Constable Co. Ltd., London, pp: 1-15.
16. Black, C. A. 1965. *Method of soil analysis (ed.) Part-2*. American Society of Agronomy. Wisconsin, USA: 1179-1232.
17. Gomaa, A. M. 1995. Response of certain vegetable crop to bio-fertilization. Ph. D. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.
18. Motsara, M. R. and R. N. Roy. 2008. Guide to laboratory establishment for plant nutrient analysis. Food and agricultural organisation of the United Nations FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin. Rome. 219pp.
19. A.O.A.C. 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis*, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 16th Ed., Washington. D. C., U.S.A.
20. Tai, Y. P. and Young, G. P. 1974. Variation in protein percentage in different properties of peanut cotyledons. *Crop Sci.*, 14: 222-229.
21. Moran, R. 1982. Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N,N-dimethylformamide. *Plant Physiol.* 69, 1376–1381.
22. Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran. 1982. *Statistical Methods*. 7th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
23. Ibrahim, A. N. and I. M. Abd El-Aziz. 1977. Solubilization of rock phosphate by streptomycetes. *Agr. Talajton*, 26 : 424–434.
24. Abdel-Latif, M. R.; A. A. El-Bana and A. A. Galal. 2001. Effect of biofertilizers Microbein and Phosphorine on bacterial pod blight of guar and black cumin damping off root rot and with diseases. Proc. of the International fifth Arabian Horticulture Conference, Ismailia, Egypt, March 2001, pp. 24–28, and pp. 133–140.
25. Ertan Y.; K. Huseyin; T. Metin; D. Atilla and G. Fahrettin. 2011. Growth, nutrient uptake, and yield promotion of broccoli by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria with Manure. *Hortscience*, 46(6):932–936.
26. Zaki, M. F; A. S. Tantawy; S. A. Saleh and Yomna I. Helmy. 2012. Effect of bio-fertilization and different levels of nitrogen sources on growth, yield components and head quality of two broccoli cultivars. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 8(8): 3943-3960.
27. Abou El- Magd, M. M.; Asmaa R. Mahmoud; Magda M. Hafiz and Aisha H. Ali. 2013. Effect of different levels of mineral phosphate fertilizer and bio-phosphate on vegetative growth, head yield and quality of broccoli. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 9(5): 164-169.

28. Abou El-Magd, M. M; M. F. Zaki and S. A. Abo Sedera. 2014. Effect of Bio-Nitrogen as a Partial Alternative to Mineral-nitrogen Fertilizer on Growth, Yield and Head Quality of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*L. Var. *Italica*). World Applied Sciences, Journal 31 (5): 681-691.
29. Singh, A.; S. Maji and S. Kumar. 2014. Effect of biofertilizers on yield and biomolecules of anti-cancerous vegetable broccoli. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 5(2):262-268.
30. Jen, H. C.; T. W. Jeng; C. Y. Chiu. 2008. The Combined Use of Chemical, Organic Fertilizers or Biofertilizer for Crop Growth and Soil Fertility. Soil and Environ, 10(2):1-12.
31. Abou El-Magd, M. M; A. A. Abd El-Fattah and E. M. Selim. 2009. Influence of mineral and Organic Fertilization Methods on Growth. J Agric Sci 5(5): 582-589.
32. Sharma, K. C. 2000. Influence of integrated nutrient management on yield and economics in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. *varitalica*) cv 'Green Head' plenck under cold temperate conditions. Journal of Vegetable Science, 27 (1), 62-63.
33. Kanwar, K.; S. S. Paliyal and T. R. Nandal. 2002. Integrated nutrient management in cauliflower (Pusa Snowball K-1). Research on Crops, 3(3):579-589.
34. Sharma A.; D. K. Parmar; P. Kumar; Y. Singh and R. P. Sharma. 2008. *Azotobacter* soil amendment integrated with cow manures reduces need for NPK fertilizers in Sprouting Broccoli. International Journal of Vegetable Science, 14(3): 273-285.
35. Vessey, K. 2003. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil. 255, 571-586.
36. Worthington V. 2001. Nutritional quality of organic versus conventional fruits, vegetables and grains. Journal of Alternative Complementary Medicine 7: 161-73.
37. Tarozzi, A.; S. Hrelia; C. Angeloni; F. Morroni; P. Biagi; M. Guardigli; G. Cantelli-Forti and P. Hrelia. 2006. Antioxidant effectiveness of organically and non-organically grown red oranges in cell culture systems. Eur. J. Nutr. 2006, 45, 152-158.
38. Ramakrishnan, K. and G. Selvakumar. 2012. Effect of biofertilizers on enhancement of growth and yield on Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.). International Journal of Research in Botany, 2(4): 20-23.
39. Salunkhe, D. K. and B. B. Desai. 1988. Effects of agricultural practices, handling, pro- cessing, and storage on vegetables. Nutritional evaluation of food processing. pp. 23-71.
40. Mahendran, P. P. and N. Kumar. 1997. Effect of organic manure on cabbage cv. Hero (*Brassica oleraceae* var. *capitata* L.). South Indian Horticulture, 45(5/6): 240-243
41. Chaterjee, B.; P. Ghanti; U. Thapa and P. Tripathy. 2005. Effect of organic nutrition in sport broccoli (*Brassica aleraceae* var. *italic* plenck), Vegetable Science, 33(1): 51-54.
