



Influence of Spraying Kalamata and Picual Olive Trees with GA₃ and ZnSO₄ on Productivity and some Fruit Properties

Esraa M.M. Farahat^{1*}, Desouky I.M.², Shaltout A. D.² and Laila F. Haggag¹

¹Pomology. Dept. National Research Centre, El-Tharir Str., Dokki, Egypt.

²Hortic. Dept. Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract : This work was performed to study the effect of spraying Kalamata and Picual olive trees with the aqueous solution of GA₃ at (0.0, 20 and 40 ppm) or ZnSO₄ at (0.0, 0.5 and 1%) and their companions on trees productivity and fruit properties. Treatments were applied when fruits reached about two third of their commercial volume, the (beginning of final swelling stage). Data obtained revealed that values of average yield as (kg/ tree) for Kalamata olive trees received GA₃ at 40 ppm alone surpassed those of the other tested treatments. Also, values of average fruit weight (g) and volume (cm³), flesh/ stone ratio and dry oil percent were enhanced due to these two treatments (GA₃ at 40 ppm alone or coupled with ZnSO₄ at 0.5%). As for the Picual trees, the maximum increase in yield and flesh oil percentage resulted from applying GA₃ at 40 ppm alone. The highest values of fruit weight and volume and flesh /stone ratio were obtained in trees sprayed with GA₃ at 40 ppm coupled with ZnSO₄ at 1%.

Kew words: Olive (*Olea europaea* L.), Kalamata, Picual, GA₃, ZnSO₄, yield, fruit weight, fruit volume, flesh/ stone ratio, oil content.

Introduction

The olive tree (*Olea europaea* L.) has been cultivated for approximately 6000 years in Mediterranean countries where about 95% olive resources are located. Its habitat is determined by the Mediterranean climate, which is characterised by relatively mild winters and hot, dry summers. The proverbial adaptation of the olive tree to the Mediterranean climate is the reason why it is basically a dry formed crop¹.

According to² the cultivated area of olive in the world reached about 4,268,415 feddans producing about 20,344,343 tons about 90% of which is channelled into oil production while the remaining 10% is for table olives.

Olives are considered among the major commercial fruit varieties grown in Egypt its ranks the fourth after citrus, mango and grapes

Gibberellins have the capacity to increase cell enlargement^{3,4}. The effect of GA₃ has ,at least, three important actions, intensifies an organ ability to function as a nutrient sink, ability to increase the synthesis of IAA in tissues of plant and works on accelerating the synthesis of hydrolytic enzymes as amylase and other hydrolytic enzymes in aleurone cell⁵.

Zinc is one of the necessary micronutrients for plant growth. Zinc plays a role in the synthesis of tryptophan, which is a source of IAA. Zinc is a cofactor for more than 300 enzymes, proteins and has a specific effect on cell division, protein synthesis and nucleic acid metabolism⁶.

Many studies have shown that nutrients spraying, especially zinc and gibberellic acid (GA₃) are useful with respect to yield and fruit quality. Such as orange^{7, 8}, sweet cherry⁹, guava¹⁰, apple¹¹, and also on olive^{12, 13, 14, 15, 16} found that spraying Shengeh olive trees with gibberellic acid and zinc sulphate caused a significant improvement in fruit volume, weight and total yield. And also¹⁷, noticed that the foliar application of GA₃ and ZnSO₄ significantly increased yield and oil production.

The aim of this work was to study the possibility of improving yield and fruit characteristics of Kalamata and Picual olive cultivars using gibberellic acid and zinc sulphate applications.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out during two successive seasons, (2012, 2013) in a private orchard located at Ismailia Governorate, Egypt. The study was conducted on forty -five mature trees of the tow olive cultivars (Kalamata and Picual). The trees were 8 years old, grown in a sandy soil at planting distance of 5x5 meters apart under drip irrigation system The trees were almost similar in vigor, free from any visible pathogenic symptoms and at the same bearing phase.

Selected trees were divided into nine similar groups each of five trees; each group received one of the following treatments:

1. Control (water spray).
2. GA₃ at 0 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 0.5%.
3. GA₃ at 0 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 1 %.
4. GA₃ at 20 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 0.0 %.
5. GA₃ at 20 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 0.5%.
6. GA₃ at 20 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 1 %.
7. GA₃ at 40 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 0.0 %.
8. GA₃ at 40 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 0.5%.
9. GA₃ at 40 ppm + ZnSO₄ at 1%.

Therefore each treatment was replicated five times and each replicate consisted of one tree.

Sprays were applied when fruits reached about two third of their commercial volume. Each tree was sprayed alone with the aqueous nutrient solution, and 1% soluble soap was added as a wetting substance which was enough for thorough tree drenching.

The measurements:

1. Yield

The trees were harvested at black maturity stage and the yield as kg/ tree was determined.

2. Fruit quality

A sample of 30 fruits per tree was selected at random for the following determination:

1. 2. Fruit weight: By ordinary balance with 0.01 gm sensitivity.

2.2. Fruit volume: By water displacement method.

2.3. Flesh / stone ratio: Values were calculated by dividing the weight of the flesh over the weight of the stone.

2.4. Oil percentage: It was determined according to ¹⁸ method by extracting the oil from the dried flesh fruit with soxhelt apparatus using petroleum ether 60-80 ° C of boiling point and expressed in percentage on dry weight basis.

All the obtained data were statistical analyzed according to Duncan's multiple rang test that was used to differentiate means at 5% ¹⁹.

Results and Discussions

Effect of spraying Kalamata and Picual olive trees with GA₃ and ZnSO₄:-

1. Yield.

Concerning Kalamata cultivar, data in Table(1) revealed that, the two GA₃ concentrations caused a significant increase in tree yield (kg) when compared with the control, yet the difference between the two GA₃ concentrations was insignificant. This was true in the two studied seasons.

The yield of trees received the ZnSO₄ application differed from season to season, while it was slightly increased in the first season, it showed a very slight decreased in the second one comparing to that of the control.

Concerning the interaction between the two studied materials. All treatments caused an increase in tree yield, yet this increase was not significant in most cases. The only significant interaction was detected when the trees received the 40 ppm GA₃ + 0.5% ZnSO₄ in the first season or the GA₃ alone in the second season. These two treatments gave about 24 and 23 % increase respectively in tree yield comparing with control.

As for Picual cultivar, the GA₃ treatments caused significant increase the yield (kg/ tree) in the first season comparing with that of the control, yet this increase was not significant in the second season. As for ZnSO₄ application, the 1% concentration gave significant higher tree yield comparing with that of the control.

With respect to the interaction, significant increase in tree yield was due to spraying trees with GA₃ at 40 ppm coupled with ZnSO₄ at 1% (about 26% over the control) in the first season, yet this same GA₃ concentration (40 ppm) alone showed the same increase value (about 26%) in the second season.

It is worthy to mention that, spraying GA₃ caused a pronounced increase in tree yield this increase was significant in over this of the control, most cases whereas the increase in tree yield due to ZnSO₄ applications was not pronounced in most cases.

Concerning the combined analysis, data of both cultivars showed that, the two GA₃ concentrations caused a significant increase in tree yield (kg) when compared with the control. Yet no significant difference was detected between y

ield from trees received the ZnSO₄ sprays. The maximum increase in yield of Kalamata (about 19%) and (about 22%) in Picual were obtained from trees received the GA₃ at 40 ppm alone.

In most cases, the effect of GA₃ at 40 ppm was always better that at 20 ppm either sprayed alone or in combination with ZnSO₄. This was true when considering the yield as (kg/ tree) of the two olive cultivars as (kg/ tree) in both seasons.

The obtained results of spraying GA₃ are in line with those reported by²⁰ on Sakkoty date palm, ⁷on Washington navel orange, ^{13,14,15}on olive and ²¹on "Swelling" Peach trees who noticed that spraying GA₃ caused significantly increased yield.

The detected results of spraying ZnSO₄ are in agreement with that obtained by²² on pear and ²on black walnut who found that yield was not consistently influenced by zinc foliar fertilization.

Furthermore, our results of spraying GA₃ and ZnSO₄ are in harmony by ¹⁶ who reported that yield was significantly increased most by concentrations of GA₃ and ZnSO₄ treatments and use of 0.5% ZnSO₄ + 30 ppm GA₃ treatments was optimum for improvement of olive fruit yield.

2. Fruit weight and volume

From data in Table (2) all treatments increased average fruit weight when compared with the control, in general, effect of GA₃ sprays surpassed the effect of ZnSO₄ in this respect.

For the Kalamata trees, the increase in mean fruit weight reached (about 19%) in fruits from trees sprayed with GA₃ at 40 ppm coupled with ZnSO₄ at 0.5% in the first season, this increase reached (17%) in fruits from trees sprayed with GA₃ alone in the second one.

Concerning Picual cultivars, the maximum increase in Picual fruits (about 23% over the control) was obtained from trees sprayed with GA₃ at 40 ppm coupled with 1% ZnSO₄ in the first season and (about 19%) from trees received GA₃ at 40 ppm alone in the second one.

Concerning the combined analysis, data cleared that GA₃ at the two tested concentrations (20 and 40 ppm) significantly increased mean fruits weight and volume in both cultivars. Yet no significant difference was detected between fruits from Kalamata olive trees received the ZnSO₄ sprays. The only significant difference in mean fruit weight and volume of Picual were noticed when the high ZnSO₄ concentration (1%) was applied. The maximum increase in Kalamata fruit weight (13 % than the control) was obtained in trees applied with GA₃ at 40 ppm alone and (about 19%) in Picual fruits from trees of GA₃ at 40 ppm coupled with ZnSO₄ at 1% treatment.

Concerning the effect of treatments on fruit volume (cm³), data cleared the change in mean fruit volume followed about the same pattern.

The obtained results of spraying GA₃ are in line with that reported by^{13, 14, 15} on olive who found that spraying trees with GA₃ resulted in significantly increased yield, fruit weight and volume. Similar results were confirmed by²⁰ on Sakkoty date palm, ⁷on Washington navel orange and ²¹on "Swelling" Peach trees, who noticed that spraying GA₃ caused significantly increased fruit weight.

The obtained results of spraying Kalamata olive trees with ZnSO₄ are in agreement with that obtained by²² on pear who found that foliar application of Zinc did not cause any significant differences on the average of fruit weight. While The obtained results of spraying Picual olive trees with ZnSO₄ are in harmony with that obtained by^{24,25} on Washington navel orange, ²⁶on *Citrus reticulata* Blanco cv. Feutrell's Early, ²⁷on 'Kinnow' mandarin tree and ²⁸on Pistachio, they obtained that spraying ZnSO₄ significantly increased fruit weight, In addition, our results agree with^{29,30,31} on olive and ³²on pecan, who found that fruit weight and volume increased by spraying ZnSO₄.

The obtained results of spraying GA₃ and ZnSO₄ are in harmony by^{16, 17} on olive they reported that fruit weight was significantly increased most by concentrations of GA₃ and ZnSO₄ treatments.

3. Flesh/ stone ratio

As Kalamata cultivar, data in Table (3) indicated that GA₃ at both studied concentrations (20 and 40 ppm) significantly increased flesh/ stone ratio more than control, while there was no significant difference between both concentrations (20 and 40 ppm) ,this effect was true in both seasons.

With respect to the effect of spraying ZnSO₄, It could be seen that, the effect of ZnSO₄ on increasing flesh/ stone ratio was rather slight.

Interaction between spraying GA₃ and ZnSO₄ showed that, in the first season, flesh/ stone ratio reached its highest value in trees sprayed with (40 ppm GA₃ + 0.5 % ZnSO₄). While in the second season the highest flesh/ stone ratio was recorded with spraying 40 ppm GA₃ alone.

Concerning Picual cultivar, in the first season, flesh/ stone ratio was significantly increased by spraying GA₃ at both concentrations (20 and 40 ppm). The same trend was noticed in the second season.

Concerning the effect of spraying ZnSO₄ on flesh/ stone ratio, it could be seen that in the first season, spraying 1% ZnSO₄ significantly increased flesh/ stone ratio compared to control and the 0.5% concentration. While in the second season, both ZnSO₄ concentrations caused significant increase than the control.

By studying the combined statistical analysis the data noticed that flesh/ stone ratio was significantly increased by spraying 1% ZnSO₄.

Concerning interaction between spraying GA₃ and ZnSO₄, data showed that the highest flesh/ stone ratio was obtained from trees sprayed with (40 ppm GA₃ + 1% ZnSO₄) in both seasons. Otherwise, untreated trees gave the lowest values in both seasons.

Concerning the combined analysis, It could be seen that flesh/ stone ratio of Kalamata and Picual fruit was significantly affected by spraying GA₃ at both concentrations (20 and 40 ppm). As for spraying ZnSO₄, it could be observed that there were no significant differences in flesh/ stone ratio of Kalamata olive fruit when spraying with ZnSO₄, while flesh/ stone of Picual olive fruit was affected significantly by spraying 1% ZnSO₄. The highest flesh/ stone value of Kalamata fruits was obtained from trees sprayed with (40 ppm GA₃+0.5% ZnSO₄), while treated Picual trees with (40 ppm GA₃+1 % ZnSO₄) gave the highest flesh/ stone value.

This finding agrees with¹⁵ on olive who indicated that pulp/ pit ratio significantly increased when treated with spraying GA₃.

The obtained results of spraying ZnSO₄ are in harmony by^{30,31} on olive who found that spraying ZnSO₄ 0.5% has a positive effect on improving pulp/ pit ratio.

4. Oil percentage (on dry weight basis):

Concerning Kalamata cultivar, the data in Table (4) indicated that in the first season, flesh oil percentage was increased significantly by spraying GA₃ at both concentrations (20 and 40 ppm) more than control and GA₃ sprayed at high concentration (40 ppm) was more effective than the lower one (20 ppm). Furthermore, in the second season spraying GA₃ (20 and 40 ppm) seemed to have no significant effect on flesh oil percentage. Concerning, the combined statistical analysis, data indicated that, flesh oil percentage was increased significantly by spraying GA₃ at both concentrations (20 and 40 ppm) more than control and GA₃ sprayed at high concentration (40 ppm) was more effective than the lower one (20 ppm).

As for ZnSO₄, in the first season flesh oil percentage value was significantly affected by spraying 1% ZnSO₄ compared with those of spraying 0.5% ZnSO₄ and control. While in the second season, the results cleared that flesh oil percentage was slightly improved by spraying ZnSO₄ at (0.5%), while the flesh oil percentage was significantly increased by spraying ZnSO₄ at 1% compared with control. Data of combined statistical analysis showed that, there were significant increases on flesh oil percentage when spraying ZnSO₄ either at 0.5% or 1%.

The data of interaction between spraying GA₃ and ZnSO₄ cleared that, in the first season spraying GA₃ at 40 ppm either alone or coupled with ZnSO₄ at 1% gave the highest flesh oil percentage these two treatments gave about 28 and 26% increase in flesh oil percentage over the control respectively. In the second, applying ZnSO₄ at 1% caused the highest flesh oil percentage which reached (about 10% over the control). This same concentration + GA₃ at 40 ppm resulted in (about 9%) increase in flesh oil percentage over the control.

Concerning Picual cultivar, in both seasons, the flesh oil percentage was significantly affected by spraying GA₃ at (40 ppm) compared with spraying GA₃ at (20 ppm) and control.

Concerning the effect of spraying ZnSO₄, the results indicated that in the first season, there were no significant differences in the flesh oil percentage between control and spraying ZnSO₄ at (0.5% and 1%). However, in the second season, it was noticed that the flesh oil percentage was significantly affected by spraying ZnSO₄ at both concentrations (0.5% and 1%). Meanwhile, the combined statistical analysis of the results clearly showed that the flesh oil percentage was significantly affected by spraying ZnSO₄.

Concerning the effect of interaction, it could be seen that in the first season applying GA₃ at 40 ppm either alone or in compensation with ZnSO₄ at 0.5% resulted in (about 15 and 12%) increase in flesh oil percentage than the control for the two treatments respectively. Whereas in the second season about 16% increase in flesh oil percentage was due to the GA₃ at 20 ppm plus ZnSO₄ at 1%.

Data of combined analysis showed that, fruits from trees received the GA₃ alone at the high concentration 40 ppm showed the greatest flesh oil percentage. This was true for both cultivars.

The results of spraying GA₃ are in agreement with that obtained by^{13,14,33,12} on olive trees; they reported that fruit oil percentage in dry weight was greatly increased by spraying GA₃. The obtained results of spraying ZnSO₄ are in harmony with that reported by³⁴ on almond, ³²on pecan nut and ^{35,31}on olive showed that the foliar application of zinc improved the oil content as a percentage of dry weight.

The results of spraying GA₃ and Znso₄ in line with that observed by¹⁷ on olive they found that spraying with GA₃ in combination with ZnSO₄ increased the percentage of fruit oil on a dry weight basis

Table (1): Effect of spraying Kalamata and Picual olive trees with GA₃ acid and ZnSO₄ on yield during 2012&2013 seasons.

Yield (kg/ tree)												
	2012				2013				Combined analysis			
ZnSO ₄ / GA ₃	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean
	Kalamata											
0 ppm	27.00c	29.50bc	27.50 c	28.00B	29.75 c	31.25bc	30.75 bc	30.58B	28.38 c	30.38bc	29.13 c	29.30B
20 ppm	30.25abc	32.00ab	31.25ab	31.17A	34.25ab	32.75abc	32.25 bc	33.08A	32.25ab	32.38ab	31.75ab	32.13A
40 ppm	31.00ab	33.50 a	32.25ab	32.25A	36.50 a	32.25 bc	33.25abc	34.00A	33.75 a	32.88ab	32.75ab	33.13A
Mean	29.42 A	31.67A	30.33A		33.50A	32.08 A	32.08 A		31.46A	31.88A	31.21A	
	Picual											
0 ppm	16.40 b	17.60ab	19.00ab	17.67B	18.00 c	19.40 bc	21.00abc	19.47A	17.20 c	18.50bc	20.00ab	18.57B
20 ppm	18.20ab	18.40ab	19.60 a	18.73A	22.00ab	20.20abc	18.60 c	20.27A	20.01ab	19.30ab	19.10b	19.47AB
40 ppm	19.20ab	18.80ab	20.60 a	19.53A	22.60 a	20.40abc	19.20bc	20.73A	20.90 a	19.60ab	19.90ab	20.13 A
Mean	17.93B	18.27B	19.73A		20.87A	20.00 A	19.60 A		19.37A	19.13A	19.67A	

Mean in each column with similar letter(s) is not significantly different at 5 % level.

Table (2): Effect of spraying Kalamata and Picual olive trees with GA₃ and ZnSO₄ on fruit weight and volume during 2012&2013 seasons.

ZnSO ₄ GA ₃	2012				2013				Combined analysis			
	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean
Kalamata												
Fruit weight (g)												
0 ppm	6.31 c	6.63 bc	6.55 bc	6.50 B	6.93 d	7.52 b	7.03 cd	7.16 B	6.62 c	7.08 ab	6.79 bc	6.82 B
20 ppm	6.74abc	7.18 ab	7.12ab	7.01 A	7.69 ab	7.49 b	7.63 b	7.60 A	7.22 ab	7.34ab	7.38 ab	7.31 A
40 ppm	6.89 ab	7.50 a	7.22ab	7.2 0A	8.08 a	7.37 bc	7.29bcd	7.58 A	7.49 a	7.44 a	7.26 ab	7.39 A
Mean	6.65 B	7.10A	6.96AB		7.57 A	7.46AB	7.31 B		7.11 A	7.29 A	7.14 A	
Volume(cm³)												
0 ppm	6.07 c	6.40 bc	6.28 bc	6.25 B	6.52 c	7.18 b	6.69 c	6.76 B	6.30 c	6.79 ab	6.44 bc	6.51 B
20 ppm	6.57abc	6.94 ab	6.96 ab	6.82 A	7.34 b	7.16 b	7.24 b	7.25 A	6.96 ab	7.05 ab	7.10 ab	7.04 A
40 ppm	6.63abc	7.32 a	7.06 ab	7.00 A	7.74 a	6.96 bc	7.09 b	7.26 A	7.19 a	7.14 ab	7.08 ab	7.13 A
Mean	6.42 B	6.89 A	6.77AB		7.20A	7.10AB	6.97 B		6.82 A	6.99 A	6.87A	
Picual												
Fruit weight (g)												
0 ppm	7.37 d	7.96 c	8.51 b	7.95 C	7.26 c	7.88 b	8.00 ab	7.71 B	7.32 d	7.92 c	8.25bc	7.83 C
20 ppm	8.00 c	8.38bc	8.62 b	8.33 B	8.19 ab	8.06 ab	8.35 ab	8.20 A	8.10 c	8.22 bc	8.49 ab	8.27 B
40 ppm	8.48b	8.24 bc	9.08 a	8.60 A	8.42 a	8.25 ab	8.31ab	8.33 A	8.45 ab	8.25 bc	8.70 a	8.47A
Mean	7.95 B	8.19 B	8.74 A		7.96 A	8.06A	8.22 A		7.96 B	8.13 B	8.48 A	
Volume(cm³)												
0 ppm	7.13 d	7.65 c	8.01 bc	7.60 B	6.97 c	7.58 b	7.61 b	7.39 B	7.05 e	7.62 d	7.81 cd	7.49 C
20 ppm	7.74 c	8.04 bc	8.34 ab	8.04 A	7.83 ab	7.71 ab	7.95 ab	7.83 A	7.79 cd	7.88bcd	8.15 ab	7.94B
40 ppm	8.01bc	7.92 bc	8.75 a	8.23 A	8.13 a	7.93 ab	7.99 ab	8.02 A	8.07 bc	7.93 bc	8.37 a	8.12 A
Mean	7.63 B	7.87 B	8.37 A		7.64 A	7.74 A	7.85 A		7.64 B	7.81 B	8.11 A	

Mean in each column with similar letter(s) is not significantly different at 5 % level.

Table (3): Effect of spraying Kalamata and Picual olive trees GA₃ and ZnSO₄ on flesh/ stone during 2012&2013 seasons.

Flesh/ stone ratio												
ZnSO ₄ GA ₃	2012				2013				Combined analysis			
	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean
Kalamata												
0 ppm	5.01 b	5.25 ab	5.12ab	5.13B	5.60 d	5.96 cd	5.57 d	5.71 B	5.31 d	5.61bcd	5.35 cd	5.42B
20 ppm	5.13ab	5.59ab	5.65 ab	5.46 A	6.39 ab	6.27abc	6.27abc	6.31 A	5.76abc	5.93 ab	5.96 ab	5.88 A
40 ppm	5.56 ab	5.94 a	5.56 ab	5.69 A	6.62 a	6.30abc	6.15 bc	6.36 A	6.09 a	6.12 a	5.86 ab	6.02 A
Mean	5.25 B	5.59 A	5.44AB		6.20 A	6.18 A	6.00 A		5.72 A	5.88 A	5.72 A	
Picual												
0 ppm	6.23 d	6.65 cd	7.03 bc	6.64 C	5.79 c	6.58ab	6.62 ab	6.33 B	6.01 d	6.62 c	6.83 bc	6.49 B
20 ppm	6.62 cd	6.91 bc	7.45ab	6.99 B	6.95 a	6.68 ab	6.73 ab	6.79 A	6.79 bc	6.80 bc	7.09 ab	6.89 A
40 ppm	7.31 ab	7.32 ab	7.82 a	7.48 A	6.65 ab	6.45 b	6.99 a	6.70 A	6.98 bc	6.89 bc	7.41 a	7.09 A
Mean	6.72 B	6.96 B	7.43 A		6.46 B	6.57AB	6.78 A		6.59 B	6.77 B	7.11 A	

Mean in each column with similar letter(s) is not significantly different at 5 % level

Table (4): Effect of spraying Kalamata and Picual olive trees with GA₃ and ZnSO₄ on oil percentage (on dry weight basis) during 2012&2013 seasons.

Oil %												
	2012				2013				Combined analysis			
ZnSO ₄ \ GA ₃	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean	0%	0.5%	1%	Mean
Kalamata												
0 ppm	41.26 f	44.03de	45.47cd	43.59 C	39.54 d	41.26abcd	43.19 a	41.33 A	40.40 e	42.65 cd	44.33 b	42.46 C
20 ppm	43.14 e	47.18 b	47.13 b	45.81 B	40.57 cd	41.86abc	40.63cd	41.02A	41.85 d	44.52 b	43.88 bc	43.42 B
40 ppm	52.82 a	46.86 bc	51.94 a	50.54 A	42.34abc	40.90bcd	42.98ab	42.08 A	47.58 a	43.88 bc	47.46 a	46.31 A
Mean	45.74 B	46.02 B	48.18 A		40.82 B	41.37AB	42.26 A		43.28 B	43.68 B	45.22 A	
Picual												
0 ppm	46.53 g	48.76ef	51.31bc	48.87 B	42.02 e	47.67ab	46.24bc	45.31B	44.27 e	48.22 c	48.78 bc	47.09 C
20 ppm	48.03 fg	50.21cde	50.58bcd	49.61 B	43.52 d	45.72 c	48.85 a	46.03B	45.77 d	47.97 c	49.72 ab	47.82 B
40 ppm	53.37 a	52.00ab	49.29def	51.55 A	47.03 bc	47.66ab	46.63bc	47.11A	50.20 a	49.83 ab	47.96 c	49.33 A
Mean	49.31 B	50.32 A	50.39 A		44.19 B	47.02 A	47.24 A		46.75 B	48.67 A	48.82 A	

Mean in each column with similar letter(s) is not significantly different at 5 % level

References

1. International Olive Oil Council (2000). World Catalogue of olive Varieties.
2. FAO (2013): The Statistical Database (FAOSTAT). Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available in: <http://faostat.fao.org> .
3. Arteca RN (1996). Plant Growth Substances: Principles and Applications. Chapman and Hall Press, New York, USA, p. 332.
4. Davis, P.J. (2004):The plant hormones: their nature, occurrence and functions. In: Davis, P.J. (Ed.), Plant Hormones. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 1-1.
5. Addicott, F. T. and A. B. Addicott (1982): Abscission. Un,GA. Press. Lts. London, England, 30-135.
6. Marschner H. (1986): Mineral nutrition in higher plants. Wd Ltd. The Greystone Press, Antrim, Northern Ireland.
7. Abd El-Rahman, G. F.; Hoda, M. Mohamed and Ensherah, A. H. Tayh (2012): Effect of GA₃ and potassium nitrate in different dates on fruit set, yield and splitting of Washington level. Nature and Science, 10(1): 148-157.
8. Eman AA, Abd El-moneim; M. M. M. Abd El Migeed and Omaima M. Ismail (2007): GA₃ and Zinc Sprays for Improving Yield and Fruit Quality of Washington Navel Orange Trees Grown under Sandy Soil Conditions. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 3(5): 498-503.
9. Usenik, V. and F. Stampar (2002). Effect of foliar application of zinc plus boron on sweet cherry fruit set and yield. Acta Hort. 594:245–249.
10. El-Sharkawy S. H. M. M., Mehaisen, S. M. A. (2005). Effect of gibberellin and potassium foliage sprays on productivity and fruit quality of guava trees. Egypt J. Appl. Sci. 20(3): 151-162.
11. Neilsen, G. H. and Neilsen, D. (2002): Effect of foliar zinc, form and timing of Ca sprays on fruit Ca concentration in new apple cultivars. Acta Horticulturae, Wageningen, 594: 435-443.
12. EL Badry. N. (2012): Physiochemical characteristics and quality criteria of Olive oil extracted from Picual olive fruits treated by some growth regulators. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences, 2(1): 37-50.
13. Khalil, F.; K. M. Qureshi; A. Khan; F. Ul- Hassan, and N. Bibi (2012): Effect of girdling and plant growth regulators on productivity in olive (*Olea europea*). Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 25 (2): 120- 128.
14. Abdrabboh, G. A. (2013): Effect of some growth regulators on yield and fruit quality of Manzanillo olive trees. Nature and Science, 11 (10): 143-151.
15. Nafea, M. S. and K. H. Abdulfatah (2014): Effect of foliar application of GA₃ and NAA for reducing alternate bearing of olive trees (*Olea europaea* L. cv.Ashrasie). Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, (7): 08-12.
16. Ramezani, S. and A. Shekafandeh, (2009): Roles of gibberellic acid and zinc sulphate in increasing volume and weight of olive fruit. African J. Biotech., 8(24): 6791-6794.
17. Ramezani, S.; A. Shekafandeh, and M. R. Taslimpour, (2010): Effect of GA₃ and Zinc Sulfate on Fruit Yield and Oil Percentage of ‘Shengeh’ Olive trees. Journal of Fruit Science, 10:228–234.
18. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists A.O.A.C. (1995). Official methods of analysis 15th ed. Published by A.O.A.C. Washington, D. C., USA.
19. Duncan, D.B. (1955): Multiple range and multiple "F" tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
20. Soliman, S. S. and Enas, A. M. Ali (2009): Effect of GA₃ on yield and fruit characteristics of Sakkoty date palm under Aswan conditions in Egypt. Green Farming 2 (7): 459-462.
21. El-Shazly, S. M.; Eisa, A. M.; Moâtamed, A. M. H. and Kotb, H. R. M. (2013): Effect of Some Agro-Chemicals Preharvest Foliar Application on Yield and Fruit Quality of "Swelling" Peach Trees. Alexandria Journal of Agricultural Research, 58(3):219-229.
22. Erdem, H. and B. Öztürk. (2012): Effect of foliar applied zinc on yield, mineral element contents and biochemical properties of Pear varieties grafted to BA-29 rootstock Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi - Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi, 7 (1):93-106.
23. Reid, W. R. and A.L. Thomas (2013): Influence of foliar fertilization on foliar zinc levels and nut production in black walnut. Proceedings of the 7th Walnut Council Research Symposium pp: 101- 105.
24. Omaima, H. M. and I. M. El-Metwally (2007): Efficiency of zinc and potassium sprays alone or in combination with some weed control treatments on weeds growth, yield and fruit quality of Washington navel orange orchards. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 3(7): 613-621.

25. Khafagy, S. A. A.; N. S. Zaid; M. M. Nageib; M. A. Saleh and A. A. Fouad (2010): The beneficial effects of yeast and zinc sulphate on yield and fruit quality of Navel orange trees. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 6 (6): 635-638.
26. Khan, A. S.; W. Ullah; A. U. Malik; R. Ahmad; B. A. Saleem, and I. A. Rajwana (2012): Exogenous applications of boron and zinc influence leaf nutrient status, tree growth and fruit quality of Feutrell's early. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.* 49(2):113-119.
27. Razzaq, K.; A. S. Khan; A. U. Malik; M. Shahid and S. Ullah (2013): Foliar application of zinc influences the leaf mineral status, vegetative and reproductive growth, yield and fruit quality of 'Kinnow' Mandarin. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 36:1479-1495.
28. Soliemazadeh, A.; V. Mozafari; A. T. POUR and A. Akhgar, (2013): Effect of Zn, Cu and Fe foliar application on fruit set and some quality and quantity characteristics of Pistachio trees. *Journal of Horticulture Biology and Environment*, 4 (1): 19-3.
29. Ramezani, S. and A. Shekafndh (2011): Influence of Zn and K Sprays on Fruit and Pulp Growth in Olive (*Olea europaea* L. cv. 'Amygdalifolia'). *Iran Agricultural Research*, 30(1& 2):1- 10.
30. Jasrotia, A.; P. Bakshi; K. V. Wali; B. Bhushan and D. J. Bhat (2014): Influence of girdling and zinc and boron application on growth ,quality and leaf nutrient status of olive cv. Frontoio. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(18): 1354-1361.
31. Laila, F. Haggag; M. M. M. Abd El-Migeed; M. F. M. Attia; M. F. Shahin; E. A. E. Genaidy and N. S. Mustafa (2015): Influence of spraying zinc sulphate before and during blooming stage on fruit quality and quantity of "Manzanillo" olives. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 11(4): 875-888.
32. Nira Ashraf; M. Ashraf; G. Hassan; M. U-Rehman; N. A. Dar; I. M Khan; U. Iqbal and S. A. Banday (2013): Effect of foliar application of nutrients and biostimulant on nut quality and leaf nutrient status of pecan nut cv. "Western Schley". *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8 (6):559-563.
33. Ali, H. E. and N. EL Badry, (2015): Physiochemical evaluation of olive oil extracted from olive fruits treated by gibberellic Acid. *Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences* 5 (2): 307-317.
34. Nezami, M. T. (2012): The effects of foliar applications of nitrogen, boron, and zinc on the fruit setting and the quality of Almonds. *Life Science Journal*, 9(4) :1979- 1989
35. Saadati, A. S.; N. Moallemia; S. M. H. Mortazavi and S. M. Seyyed nejadb (2013): Effects of zinc and boron foliar application on soluble carbohydrate and oil contents of three olive cultivars during fruit ripening. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 164: 30-34.

International Journal of ChemTech Research

[\[www.sphinxesai.com\]](http://www.sphinxesai.com)

Publish your paper in Elsevier Ranked, SCOPUS Indexed Journal.

[1] RANKING:

has been ranked NO. 1. Journal from India (subject: Chemical Engineering) from India at International platform, by [SCOPUS- scimagojr.](http://scimagojr.com)

It has topped in total number of CITES AND CITABLE DOCUMENTS.

Find more by clicking on Elsevier- SCOPUS SITE....AS BELOW.....

http://www.scimagojr.com/journalrank.php?area=1500&category=1501&country=IN&year=2011&order=cd&min=0&min_type=cd

Please log on to - www.sphinxesai.com

[2] Indexing and Abstracting.

International Journal of ChemTech Research is selected by -

CABI, CAS(USA), **SCOPUS**, MAPA (India), ISA(India),DOAJ(USA),Index Copernicus, Embase database, EVISA, DATA BASE(Europe), Birmingham Public Library, Birmingham, Alabama, RGATE Databases/organizations for Indexing and Abstracting.

It is also in process for inclusion in various other databases/libraries.

[3] Editorial across the world. [4] Authors across the world:

For paper search, use of References, Cites, use of contents etc in-

International Journal of ChemTech Research,

Please log on to - www.sphinxesai.com
